

# Drew Acorn

Vol. XLIX, No. 8

STUDENT NEWSPAPER OF THE COLLEGE

October 25, 1974

## Lt. McKenna Here for Drug Discussion-Oct. 29



Police Lieutenant Paul McKenna of the Morris County Narcotics Squad will hold an "open discussion on drugs and the law" at Drew on, October 29th in the University Commons 102 at 3:45 p.m. The lieutenant told the press, after the Fairleigh Dickinson drug bust: "this was the first phase of an ongoing investigation that will continue all year." McKenna, who has been on the Morris County Narcotics force for ten years after eight years as a Madison Policeman, recently explained to the Acorn that his previous statement was not meant to imply that there is any special drug campaign aimed at college cam-

puses. "Colleges are only one small part of the narcotics situation," he said.

McKenna continued: "We went to Fairleigh Dickinson University looking for drugs other than Marijuana, but this does not mean we won't bust for pot. Marijuana is illegal, and the narcotics squad cannot decide which laws to enforce."

While working out of the Morris County Prosecutor's Office, Lt. McKenna hopes to obtain Federal grants by the end of this year in order to establish a special narcotics task force. He says this possibility is in its "formative stages." At the present time the lieutenant is

working with an eight man narcotics squad, supplemented by state and county officers, in cooperation with the various town police departments.

### Drew Reaction

Dean Sawin's reaction to the Fairleigh Dickinson drug raid was: "Anyone who wants to use marijuana should do so with the full realization that they may have to answer to the law." Student Government Association President Ken Grebenstein feels that: "Paranoia may have set in among students, but they should realize that the police do not consider campuses a sanctuary for drugs, especially at election time."

## SGA LEADERS SPEAK OUT ON BUDGET

### Editor's Note:

The following is an assessment of the Drew University Budget by SGA leaders. It includes their opinions as to the crucial concerns in the budget and what changes are necessary in Drew's accounting system. This article was sent to Mr. Pepin as the result of the financial crisis that took place last year.

by: Dave Audretsch, Kevin Flach, Ken Grebenstein, Carl Winner

A serious problem exists at Drew University. Several undergraduate offerings, such as the Afro-American History course, have been cut, and many other courses may be threatened in future years, despite the fact that the lack of backup help was listed as a critical problem throughout the University especially in chemistry in the 1969 "Self Study of Department 'Rationales'", the seven per cent budget cut last year called for a reduction in chemistry stockroom help. This would have meant faculty in the chemistry department would be spending less time in the classroom and more time in the stockroom. Even the President acknowledged the need for adequate supporting services for the faculty in a 1970 memo to the Committee on Long Range Planning. Much to the relief of students and faculty, this cut was narrowly averted and the stockroom help in chemistry was restored for this year. However, if college costs keep spiraling, the chemistry department may not be so fortunate in the future.

Perhaps most damaging are the cuts in part time faculty that have taken place this year. The 1968 Middle States Committee warned "the faculty workload is dangerously too heavy." A different group of Middle States Evaluators did not find the problem alleviated in 1970. They reported, "In almost every case the department is understaffed for proper coverage of its discipline." The President seemed to agree with the committee's conclusion when he noted in the previously mentioned memo that the teaching load in the Liberal Arts College is too heavy for continued and continuous good creative teaching. Of course, students observe this problem every day when they walk into overcrowded classrooms.

These cuts have been necessary to meet increased costs due to inflation. In the past inflationary trends have been met by expanding the size of the student body and raising tuition. Now, however, it is doubtful whether the undergraduate enrollment can be increased without a severe deterioration in the quality of the student body. It is also questionable that tuition can be pushed above its current astronomical height.

Because the high quality

of the undergraduate program is being threatened, many students are beginning to question how their tuition money is being spent and where their dollars are going. This is not the first time these questions have been asked.

In July, 1967, the "University Senate of the Methodist Church," (the Wicke Committee), reported that, "Actually the Theological School could not operate on its present level without the strength, especially financial, which comes from the undergraduate college." The 1970 report of the Middle States Committee also expressed concern:

...we all heard comments — in some cases apprehensions — expressed by some faculty members that the University Administration was planning to expand graduate work at the expense of other parts of the University, especially the undergraduate Liberal Arts program.

Similar sentiment is repeated later in the same report:

...since staffing them (graduate programs) takes away from the College of Liberal Arts, instructors, and teaching loads which are perceived to "belong" basically to the undergraduate program. To build graduate programs by begging or borrowing people and time from the undergraduate program is indeed a risky and uncertain procedure.

In 1968 a Middle States Committee comprised of a different group of evaluators observed the relationship between the college and the rest of the University, "It may seem to have become a tail that was the dog."

Why are the Middle States evaluators and the Wicke Committee, as well as many individuals within the Drew community, so alarmed? A few calculations using the 1973 Report of the Treasurer reveal:

	Specified Revenues (tuition + designated gifts, grants and student aid)	Specified Expenditures (instruction + student aid + administrative)
CLA	\$3,792,474	\$2,653,475
Theological	446,066	615,227
Graduate	234,444	175,032

The revenues for each school include those revenues designated specifically for that school. Grants, interest income, and student aid that is not designated to any one (Continued on page 5)

## Security Question Discussed

by Linda Ney

On October 22 a meeting was held in Administrator Art Perry's office to discuss the efficiency of Drew's security patrol and security per se.

Dean Erickson voiced the primary concern of RD's and students, which is that security officers have not been seen patrolling resident halls at night which has been routine procedure during previous years. The question of the efficiency of night security patrols dominated the one and a half hour meeting. RD and RA representatives have observed the lack of student

communication and a general ignorance on the part of the student body as to just who the security officers are. The recent slashings and robberies has caused an undercurrent of uneasiness at Drew and students are becoming nervous. Dean Erickson cited the problem being the apparent estrangement if not invisibility of the night patrolmen. They have not been seen patrolling the dorm floors and so the students are unfamiliar with them. In addition to this, RD's have reported seeing two to three officers cruising

around campus in the van instead of actually entering the buildings.

The night patrol is divided into three shifts (6, 8 and 12 P.M.), with at the most three men responsible for the locking of academic buildings, patrolling grounds, locking external doors of all dorms and handling emergency calls. The only students working with security this year are ten students chosen to aid officers, for instance, in parking cars during social events. Carl Winner, SGA Vice-President

(Continued on page 4)



# Drew Acorn

## COMMUNICATIONS IN SEARCH OF CHANNELS

Recently there have been many dilemmas at Drew which possibly could have been avoided, untangled, demystified or at least clarified if existing channels of communication were being properly utilized. These channels of communication have been exploited to a dangerous level. They have been employed to exaggerate, misrepresent or simply distort situations. At other times communication has been intentionally stifled in order to "settle" the issue. Overreactions and paranoia are but a few of the products of this communication breakdown.

There have been conflicts in dorms which could have been more easily dealt with if there was direct communication with the parties involved instead of bypassing them and consequently involving outside factions unnecessarily.

It has taken Wood about two months to put "things in order." Just where are those toasters; why do they consistently run out of food; and when are the ice machines going to be fixed? Complaints, questions and suggestions have been voiced but with few results. Why? because there always seems to be someone else who is supposedly held responsible for that area in question. Communication becomes exhaustively circular.

As far as many students know the Infirmary is still open. Still many things remain unclear and ambiguous. But, why hasn't the dissatisfaction over the Infirmary operations been more emphatically brought to the attention of those who could do something about it? Surely, the administration is not totally to blame in this situation, but policies, services and schedules must be more clearly defined.

There must be a joint effort on the part of students, administrators and other components of the University to better use the existing channels so that a more functional and cohesive University is possible.

P.S. How come the faculty has been so quiet lately?

The Acorn is published weekly during the school year (except on or near holidays). The paper is free to the Drew Community, with outside subscriptions costing \$10.00 per year. All correspondence should be sent to: The Drew Acorn, Drew University, Madison, New Jersey 07940.

The Acorn welcomes letters to the Editor and responsible commentary on the issues. All letters must be signed, although names will be withheld upon request. Manuscripts must be typewritten (double-spaced) and submitted no later than 7:00 p.m. on the Monday before Friday publication.

Editor-in-Chief  
News and Features Editors

Entertainment Editors

Sports Editor  
Copy Editor  
Layout Editor  
Managing Editor  
Advertising and Circulation  
Photography

John M. Russonello  
Cindy Meyer  
Rob McMichaels  
Richard Wade  
Robin Stern  
Rick Atkinson  
Frank Brady  
Ed Tucker  
Rick DeNatale  
Mark Taylor  
Karen Cheh  
Matthew Kutzlin  
Staff

Linda Ney, Craig Kozlow, Glenn Sherman, Liz Fancher, Anita Evans, Leslie Cook, Shaune Kelly, Jackie DeLand, Tom Herman, Benedict Ciravolo, Dave Rostan, Allan Dinkoff, Richard Garr, Sherry Sanborne, John Madore, Mary Jean Pierson, Randy Wilson, Ed Breen, Heather Cockley, Nanette Rosevear, Ed Fielding, Celia Garvin, Craig Massey, Rip Pellaton, Paul Bell, Bob Smith, Ilya Abrams, Steve Richman, Michael P. Smith, Stephanie Kip, Sandy Stanton, Jenny McKay, Tom Cunningham, Susan Gilbert, Amy Jacowitz, Steven Coffin, Celia Spawling, Ann Freed, Becky Sawyer, Mary Wach, Marty Silverman, Mickey Greene, Dennis Wanless.

## Clean up Your Act

"They'd better be careful if they want to Graduate"—Police Lt. McKenna

Oct. 14, 1974

Rick DeNatale Craig Massey  
Dr. Strange

O.K. "Have you seen the saucer" amidst all the rumblings and rumors and telephone ringings: It's about time we came back to reality. We all have to wake up and realize the shades of '75. I don't want to burst your bubble but the jaded age of enlightenment and revolution has burnt itself out, and we are fast becoming anachronisms in our own time. So, unless we deal with it, we fall and become the burning embers of a beautiful pipe dream. I remember when Dylan said the times were changing. Well—they changed alright—so did Dylan—and they're changin' again.

It is, as it has always been, a crime to possess and/or consume marijuana. At present, Democrats in the state legislature are promoting passage of a new bill decriminalizing the limited possession of pot. But the prospect for success in this endeavor seems, at best, doubtful. Being that this is election time, the whole issue and controversy surrounding the bill has been perverted by politics. Questions of morality and health in respect to the use of marijuana have been obscured by such considerations as: What will be the effect of the new Bill on the job security of this State's narcotic agents? How many men, as a consequence of this Bill's passage, stand to lose their jobs?

The issue is complex and really too involved to be examined fully within the short space remaining. But, the reality of the situation cannot be easily ignored. The police will be making a renewed effort to enforce the existing Drug Laws. It would be foolhardy to assume that the



Drew Campus is any haven in which to ignore the import of this reality. The University Administration is in no position, nor has it ever been, to protect college residents from the law.

It would seem to be each individual's responsibility to determine how far he or she should respect the existing laws governing the possession and/or use of marijuana. But it is not that simple. When you break the law, you ultimately affect the rest of the community. This may seem a harsh judgment in light of the way we have, in the past, conducted our affairs. But it is the judgment made daily by law enforcement officials like Police Lt. McKenna. Given the existing climate of our society in respect to Drug use, it would be of the ultimate futility to flagrantly abuse the existing laws. If your aim is to change the laws, it might be advisable to do so constructively. No law enforcement official will respect your right to privacy if you make it impossible for him to overlook the fact that you are not quite living according to the letter of the law. Neither will you en-

hance the climate for the passage of more sensible and humane legislation.

All that will result, ultimately, from a flagrant abuse of the Drug laws, are busts and more hassles than could be desired by either you or the administration. The number of people who do get high is rapidly decreasing, at least on this campus. There has also occurred a highly perceptible shift in attitudes among new students concerning the whole aspect of drug use. It would seem, at this time, to be of the utmost impracticality to ignore these facts. If your aim is to expand your own and other people's awareness, don't do it by turning them off, or by turning them against you. Be an example—don't allow the police to make you an example.

"Last week Dean Erickson reminded RAs that it has always been their responsibility to report infractions of regulations but that, more importantly, they may have been neglecting their major role, that of truly being a peer counselor even in this touchy area. As peer counselors, they have a serious obligation to bring realities into focus. The statements made by Lt. McKenna at Fairleigh Dickinson University, particularly that a college campus cannot be a sanctuary from the law and that the campus is a part of the larger community, cannot be ignored nor can the RA neglect the job responsibility of quoting this to students and helping them to recognize the circumstances as they really are."

Each of us owe it to ourselves and to the community to employ common sense and discretion. It would be a tragedy to see everything we've achieved go up in smoke.

## Poor Richman's Almanac

By Steven Richman

If nothing else was encouraging this year, the larger number of freshmen who chose Drew as their first choice was. It seems that for too long a malaise has infested the campus, and the "second-choice" attitude that many students harbored concerning the school seems to have dissipated somewhat this year.

But things aren't completely rosy. The average verbal SAT score has dropped to 540, still one hundred or so higher than the national average, but much lower than the Drew average of a few years ago. While it is granted that SAT's are not the ultimate measure of a student's intelligence, they are taken seriously by the people who manufacture college catalogs.

What is the purpose of asking for SAT's? They would appear to provide an arbitrary comparison of students from different environments and set up a basis of comparison for admissions counselors. A recent article in

New York Magazine decreed the tests as unfair (October 7, 1974), and educators are beginning to question their validity.

Indeed, when the average class rank of incoming freshmen has gone up, and when the number of valedictorians increases, how can that be compared against falling SAT scores? Perhaps, as is becoming more accepted, SAT's are not an accurate indication of performance.

Bowdoin has abolished the requirement of SAT's for admissions. Perhaps a study should be done at Drew of the effects of abandoning the use of the SAT and relying solely on the student's performance in high school. Questions of Drew's reputation, the effect such a move would have on Drew graduates seeking post-college work, and any other pertinent factors would be investigated.

It's quite a sad thing to have an arbitrary test dictate the degree of competitiveness of a college; it's even sadder in the dehumanizing effects of these tests. Never before has so much

stress been placed on filling in dots.

One wonders if Daniel Webster would have passed his SAT's, or if Abe Lincoln would have made it into Yale. One equally wonders if Aristotle could have finished such an exam; so little time is allowed for thought.

The largeness and computerization of this society makes such testing a necessity in the "real world," yet it is a cruel necessity, often not truly reflective of the real worth of a person. Rather than allow Drew to be judged by the test numbers, the school might abolish the requirements. The same students might be brought in, yet catalogs would not be forced to look at the school's other qualities.

Drew still has high standards, and hopefully they will not slip. The reputation with graduate schools is still excellent. It would be a shame to have fewer qualified people apply solely because the average SAT score is "too low" for their egos.

## Letters to the Editor

Et Tu Dr. Baker

October 20, 1974  
Dr. E.G. Stanley Baker  
Professor Emeritus of Zoology  
Drew University  
Madison, New Jersey 07940

Dear Dr. Baker:

While your letter of October 14, 1974, submitted to the Acorn, does little to further constructive dialogue regarding the best interests of the College of Liberal Arts, it does deserve at least a thoughtful response.

The question raised is whether or not Ken Grebenstein and Carl Wimmer, by printing an open letter to the Administration in the Acorn, prior to Parent's Weekend, have jeopardized the interests of the University. Although your personal relationship with Ken may have influenced the interpretation of the events, they clearly do not indicate "political immaturity of a high order."

First, the events of that weekend cannot be construed as an attempt to "put responsible administrators in an embarrassing posture." Both the infirmary and the budget have been raised by SGA since at least April, 1974, and to still lack an adequate defense more than four months later raises serious questions about the intent of the Administration to act quickly and effectively to solve these situations. If embarrassment resulted from asking pertinent questions, it was not intentional.

Second, Ken has had an excellent personal relationship with not only you but with those administrators you mention in your letter. SGA this year has been marked by a lack of ideological rhetoric and by an increase in quiet, rational discussion. As a result, significant progress has been made in other issues such as faculty tenure and security. Political immaturity has not resulted in the relatively warm relationship between Students and Administrators on the Drew Campus.

Finally, to credit Ken with single-handedly embarrassing the Administration fails to recognize the pluralistic nature of the student body. There was not a concerted attempt on the part of SGA, or any other single group, to embarrass the Administration. Kevin Hanson presented several reasons why he, as an SGA Senator (and therefore not a member of the Executive Branch) felt the budget and infirmary questions have failed to be adequately resolved. Ken did not authorize Hanson to take the action he did nor did he have any advanced notification of what would take place.

Perhaps, therefore, you should re-evaluate the commitment of students in general, and Student Government in particular, towards furthering the improvement of the College of Liberal Arts. By contributing to open dialogue surrounding the budget and infirmary issues, they have done a service to the University.

Sincerely,  
Sanford L. Hartman  
Attorney-General, CLA

Dear Editor,

It appears to me that Dr. Baker (in reference to the last issue) has appointed himself sole judge of correct Student Government behavior. Specifically, he feels that it is in bad taste for the President of the SGA not to inform the Administration of his policy intentions. I disagree both with his evaluations and his moralizing tone.

First, the Open Letter was designed to inform the parents of some basic questions students have had for some time. Simply stated, the Administration should have had answers to these questions long ago. It was their fault that they were placed in "an embarrassing posture," not Ken's alleged procedural irreg-

ularities. Secondly, pragmatically speaking, why should the Student Government Association give the Administration time to cloud the issues with prepared statements and excuses? It is much more effective to give a clear example to our parents of the Administration we have to deal with. Would Dr. Baker have us sacrifice the political nature of the SGA and make it an administrative creature?

Third, Dr. Baker's references to money are a cheap shot. Furthermore, he tells an incomplete version of the facts. Ken didn't ask for this contribution, he found this check in his mailbox. He tried to return it to Dr. Baker, who refused to take it back at that time. Ken then held onto it until after the "no-contest election" and returned it to Dr. Baker. It appears to me that we were given a potentially damaging version of this campaign contribution. I have no great respect for half-truths.

Fourth, the letter is a veiled moral lecture. Dr. Baker's reference to "immaturity of high order" raises doubts as to Ken and Carl's real commitment to the welfare of the college. I feel that their actions have been both irresponsible and that is their job. Their job Administration, but working for OR against it as Ken and Carl perceive the Student Community (the College of Liberal Arts) to benefit from said action. Has the Administration consulted us upon their summer "alterations" to Security, or other issues?

Tell me Doctor Baker, are you trying to put them in "an embarrassing posture," or merely trying to undermine their reputations and their community support?

Sincerely,  
Michael Boyle  
October 18, 1974

Dr. Baker:

Doubtless you will be perturbed at not having received a copy of this letter prior to publication. I make no apology except to say that I am a full time student and must occasionally disperse with social niceties in the interest of time.

Your letter of Oct. 14 seems first to be questioning Parent's Weekend as a proper forum for discussion of the problems named. My "political maturity," which you questioned severely, indicates to me that it is a proper forum. In politics, in order to effect change, you must apply pressure to the system. As you know, Student Government Resolutions are merely recommendations; we have no power to effect anything. Further, innumerable discussions with Mr. Pepin on each of the matters mentioned in our open letter were merely talk—discussions, resulting in absolutely nothing.

Therefore we turned to the parents in our continuing attempt to exert pressure. You accuse us of "putting" responsible administrators in an embarrassing posture of defense without preparation of a reasonable format or any warning. This is simply not true. Mr. Pepin has had more than ample "warning." At the aforementioned discussions each of the matters mentioned in the open letter were put to Mr. Pepin. He has been questioned on them in writing, and he has been questioned on them at his weekly Open Staff Meetings. On the budget disclosure matter in particular, this questioning goes back to at least March of the last school year. Mr. Pepin met with no new questions at the Parent's Weekend Forum. That he was forced to respond impromptu was totally to the benefit of

those in attendance. There was no prior "preparation" to further obfuscate responses to extremely legitimate questions asked with a "real commitment to the welfare of the college."

Finally, you charge that our procedure "indicates political immaturity of a high order and raises doubts as to Ken's and Carl's real commitment to the welfare of the college." De rogatory labels such as "political immaturity" are easily applied, and indeed one might ask how political maturity could ever be achieved in the leadership of an SGA which is so constituted by the University as to be very nearly impotent and meaningless in the affairs of the University. Our "commitment to the welfare of the college" is evident in our taking the time to write the open letter to parents, as judged not by the reaction of the administration but rather by the unanimous approbation of many, many students.

Might I suggest in closing that you apply an amount of time equal to that spent on your Oct. 14 letter in recommending to Mr. Pepin that your policy be applied in reverse—that is, that Mr. Pepin initiate a policy of "warning" the student body before they are called upon to accept actions such as the elimination of students from the security force or the closing of the infirmary doors 21 hours a day. If such a reciprocal "warning" system had been in effect, some aspects of your criticism would be valid.

Sincerely,  
Carl Wimmer  
VP SGA

Infirmary  
Applauded

To the Acorn:  
I have been reading with much interest the opinions of many concerning the problems relative to the Infirmary. I would feel remiss if I didn't register a positive note.

On October 3rd a student was in a very serious, although thankfully temporary, situation. The Infirmary nurse, Brenda Burton, R.N., after being contacted, arrived almost immediately on the scene and administered outstanding and immediate care while commissioning another person to secure the services of the ambulance squad. This competent response alleviated the possibility of a much more serious condition.

I commend the Infirmary and personnel for this action.

Sincerely,  
John A. Reeves  
Director of Athletics

Public Affairs

October 14, 1974

To the Editor:  
In response to the letter in the October 11 Acorn from Jacqueline Deland concerning sports publicity, let me state first that I much appreciate her interest in this, and hope others share her concern.

But I do reject her insistence that sports publicity be handled completely by full professional staff. Not only would this take so much of the press secretary's time that he would be unable to represent the whole range of University activity, but also it would deprive students of important employment at a time when this seems to be a major concern of the student body.

Ms. Deland is correct when she observes that the press secretary "is burdened with the task of coaxing a student into taking over the sports press releases" and in fact she also tries constantly to interest students in writing about other matters as well. There is not a lot of money to be made by students helping in this way, but they are given valuable and rewarding work that can have direct career benefits.

Students who serve as sports

## The Other Room

by Craig Massey

It is perhaps indicative of a growing trend among college students to pronounce themselves sole arbiters in matters of morality that a number of residents in Foster are presently establishing themselves as the Campus Conscience. I would be committing the crime of hyperbole, (something which the aesthetic fibre of this news organ seems incapable of suffering), were I to describe the actions taken by these residents as atrocities. Nevertheless, even when observed and analysed objectively, these actions seem to fall squarely within the realm of the absurd.

Would it be reasonable, if you had a complaint against a neighbor, to go straight to the Dean's office without first informing that neighbor of the fact that he or she has infringed upon your rights? Is it fair, or even wise to assume that what is good for you alone is naturally good for everyone else? Could it not be a possibility that within the confines of a college dormitory, especially one such as the suites, there is necessarily a good deal of give and take, and that if one is to live there at all, one must wake up to the reality that this is not Heaven?

I would suggest that there is little to be achieved through vindictiveness and tattletelling to the Dean's office. If you have

publicists for us or do other writing for our office can sharpen the skills of communication that are so crucial a part of liberal arts education and with our help can learn much about journalism.

Anyone interested is urged to see Steve Goodrich, press secretary, in our office (Mead Hall 207).

J. Mark Lono  
Director of Public Affairs

Security

To the Editor of the Acorn,

To provide better security for us all, we should make it possible to know when something is out of the ordinary.

On a campus where norms are denied as existing, it is hard to tell the difference between a genuine scream for help and one done senselessly.

This happened Sunday night. Tiptle residents couldn't distinguish a cry for help in the parking lot from the "normal" student lack of consideration.

If Ken Grebenstein thought that students could do a better job in security, let me tell him that not one person stirred from their sleep to see what was wrong. This situation arose because nothing out of the ordinary occurred. In making that which is abnormal the norm, students stripped away their own security system. In annulling each other's possible assistance, they make it even harder for security to maintain tranquility.

Cordially yours,  
Chia W. Whitehouse

Mon. 21 Oct. 1974

Sirs:

I would like to direct my remarks to last week's Acorn's front page story on the SGA meeting, which says:

This year for the first time, the Faculty Tenure Committee will be hearing input from students regarding faculty members.

real cause to be disturbed about the behaviour or lifestyles of your neighbor, get together the courage of your convictions and meet these people on some common ground. You may very well be amazed at the response you get. You might even find that these people were unaware of their transgressions and are willing to change. Who knows, you might even change!

It is an act of courtesy and good taste to face your enemies squarely, without quietly sabotaging them behind their backs. It may not be easier than running down to the Dean with accumulated tid-bits of scandal, nor is it a way to satisfy pent up resentment, but certainly it is the only ethical way to deal with others. Besides, the Dean's office is overworked as it is. I'm sure your absence would be gratefully acknowledged.

It is perhaps the most difficult lesson to learn in our college experience that other people are different and that there is no way in which we can all live comfortably, all the time. Part of what we should learn here is tolerance. If you cannot tolerate another person, then tell him or her so. But, let's not play nursery school here. All that does is to create a lot of unnecessary trouble, and ultimately all that results is a good deal of embarrassment and boredom.

the quality of this input from students will be extremely important for the continuation and development of this privilege, and Ken is optimistic that eventually students will earn the right to sit on the tenure committee.

I object most strenuously to the use of the words "privilege," and "earn" in the article. The students of the College have already earned the right to a meaningful voice on the Tenure Committee, and the faculty's continual "maybe next year" attitude is a serious breach of good faith. Students have demonstrated commendable discretion and objectivity in their course and teacher evaluations over the past two years. It is now time for the faculty to respond to responsible student action, by setting a date in the near future for the inclusion of student voice on the Tenure Committee.

yours,  
Kevin Hanson

## ACADEMIC RESEARCH LIBRARY

Thousands of Topics

\$2.75 per page

Send for your up-to-date, 176-page, mail order catalog of 5500 topics. Enclose \$1.00 to cover postage (1-2 days delivery time).

519 GLENROCK AVE.

SUITE #203

LOS ANGELES, CA. 90024

Our materials are sold for research purposes only











## MARIJUANA WITHOUT HIPOCRISY

Dorothy V. Whipple  
WASHINGTON POST  
Wed. July 17, 1974

The central issue about marijuana right now is the harm done by the law, not by the drug. Robert Joffe's front page article "Views on Marijuana Shifting: New Findings Show Harm" (June 24th), by detailing the results of so far unverified studies on marijuana, falls into the trap of the anti-marijuana zealots whose argument is that possible harmful effects of the drug is reason for maintaining punitive legislation. But the law is doing more harm than the drug.

This is said, in full awareness that marijuana is not a completely harmless drug — no drug is, not even aspirin. An enormous amount of research has indicated that marijuana is relatively harmless, especially when compared with the legal psychoactive drugs, alcohol and tobacco. Progress toward changing the law began to make headway. Oregon passed a decriminalization law and it is working well. Similar bills have been introduced into a dozen other states, but so far none has been passed, largely because of the activities of the anti-marijuana zealots. The publicity they succeeded in getting does little to discourage pot smokers who simply do not believe statements which conflict with their own experience, but this harmlessness reinforces the attitude of those who are convinced that punitive legislation should remain. It is possible that new techniques will indeed uncover real dangers in marijuana that have so far gone undetected. But even if this should prove to be true (and we need to know all there is to know), it should be obvious by this time that punishment and scolding are ineffective tools for reducing marijuana abuse.

Marijuana is here to stay — let's face that fact. There is no conceivable way the drug can be wiped from the land. We now have a minimum of 26,000,000 Americans who have tried marijuana and about half this number who use it more or less regularly. All of these people are criminals in the eyes of the law. Putting 26,000,000 people in jail is hardly a reasonable approach.

We have had laws against the possession, use, sale, growing and importation of marijuana since 1937. The penalties for breaking these laws have been increased over the years with the philosophy — "hit them hard enough and they will stop." The laws have been a total failure. Marijuana use has crested even as penalties have increased. Thirty-seven years of failure, in spite of armies of narcotic agents and billions of dollars, ought to be enough to urge us as a nation to look for other answers.

The laws, however, are not only a total failure but they have done positive harm. The cure of

the marijuana peril has proved worse than the disease.

Fewer than one in a hundred pot smokers are apprehended by the law. They know the drug is illegal but they thumb their noses at the law and all too often come to feel that any law they do not like can be ignored. Though the risk of getting caught is small, pot smokers keep an eager eye out for the cops, and for undercover agents who pose as students, worm their way into "friendships" only to pounce on a trusting buddy. Police are enemies, an attitude that all too often edges over into rejection, not only of the police, but of their superiors and of the government that makes the laws. Pot smoking pushed our youth toward alienation from the established order. Young people are bitter against the hypocrisy of their elders who are free to drink alcohol while putting them in jail if they smoke pot. Drug education falls flat. Only with honesty can the young be reached.

As one looks at the marijuana laws the only useful purpose they seem to serve is to provide employment for a huge army of police and narcotics agents.

Is there a better way of coping with marijuana? Decriminalization is being discussed. This means removing all criminal penalties for the use and possession of small amounts of marijuana — and this is all it means. Sale, importation and growing the plant would remain criminal offenses.

Decriminalization would remove many of the bad effects of the law. Pot smokers would not be harassed. Attitudes toward police and the established order

might improve and huge sums of money would be saved. Decriminalization can be done at the state level, as Oregon has done; it does not have to wait for federal legislation.

Decriminalization has been endorsed by a large number of prestigious groups, including The National Commission on Marijuana and Drug Abuse, The American Bar Association, The National Council of Churches, The National Education Association, The American Public Health Association and many more.

problems. It would still be a crime to sell the drug, so the black market with all inherent evils, would continue to flourish. The ultimate solution can only come with full legalization, which can only be done at the federal level. The goal is legalization with controls. We do not want marijuana sales in the hands of a commercial enterprise. Just imagine what Madison Avenue could think up to say about the value of the marijuana experience! We do not want the drug available like chewing gum. We need a plan — better than we have for alcohol — that would keep the drug away from children, soft pedal its virtues and point out its real dangers.

Once we can deal honestly with marijuana without hypocrisy, we will be in a position to have an impact on our youth. Marijuana will not go away, but we could minimize some of the worst evils we have created.

Dr. Whipple is a pediatrician and professor of pediatrics at Georgetown University.

### FBI UNIFORM CRIME REPORT FOR 1973

	Total Marijuana	% of Total	Increase Over	Total Drug
Year	Arrests	Drug Arrests	Prior Year	Arrests
1970	188,682	45.4%	—	415,600
1971	225,828	45.9%	19%	492,000
1972	292,179	55.4%	29%	527,400
1973	420,700	66.9%	43%	628,900

The American Civil Liberties Union opposes laws which criminalize the possession, use, and sale of marijuana, for these reasons:

1. They impose arbitrary, often harsh, and cruel penalties for private conduct for which no criminal penalty at all is appropriate.

2. They impose all of the hardships of an arrest, an arrest record, and often a prison term on otherwise law-abiding young people.

3. They are selectively enforced.

4. Their enforcement relies on entrapment, illegal searches, and other means which violate civil liberties.

5. They encourage police corruption.

6. They divert law enforcement money and manpower from the enforcement of laws against serious crimes.

7. They engender contempt for law.

8. They interfere with honest efforts to educate young people about the dangers of drug use and to combat the problems of drug abuse.

## NORML Calls Eastland's Marijuana Report "Propaganda"

The National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (NORML) has challenged the recently released Report of the Senate Subcommittee on Internal Security. In the Report's introductory remarks, the Subcommittee's Chairman, James O. Eastland, claimed the use of marijuana represents "a trend towards national disaster."

The Mississippi Democrat said if the current rate of marijuana use continued, Americans might find themselves "saddled with a large population of semi-zombies."

NORML Director Keith Stroup called the Eastland Report "the most amazing piece of self-serving fiction and distortions to come out of the Congress since the McCarthy hearings in the early 1950's. The Subcommittee Report in no way represents a consensus of scientific opinion, either government or private. The Report is an embarrassment to those seriously interested in the problems of drug use and abuse in our society, and a disservice to those who are objectively attempting to determine the possible harmful effects of marijuana."

"Propaganda of the proportions of the Eastland Report can only have tragic and brutal consequences," Stroup continued. "Unfortunately, some of the law enforcement community may respond to the Report by increasing arrests of marijuana smokers. The plain truth, Stroup concluded, is that the criminal law has not worked and will not work where marijuana is concerned. The result can only be harmful and costly to both society and the individual."

In challenging the Report, NORML made the following observations:

\* Senator Eastland flatly refused to permit anyone to testify unless they shared his views about marijuana's potential for harm, and the resulting six days of hearings were an admitted one-sided presentation. The Senator says in the Report's introductory statement, "We make no apology, therefore, for the one-sided nature of our hearings —

they were deliberately planned this way." (p. V)

\* Much of the testimony attempted to connect the use of marijuana with Communism and "the new left." Senator Eastland apparently wanted to depict marijuana as a devious Communist plot in order to justify jurisdiction for the Internal Security Subcommittee, itself an anachronism of the McCarthy era.

\* Senator Eastland showed overwhelming concern about exaggerated marijuana claims, but de-emphasized the clearly demonstrated serious harm from alcohol and tobacco.

"The dangers of cannabis (marijuana) are much closer to the dangers of heroin, in scope and quality, than they are to the admitted but far more limited dangers of coffee or tobacco — or, for that matter, alcohol." (p. XVI) From the Senator's statement, his concern for public health apparently stops at the doorstep of the powerful tobacco and liquor lobbies.

\* The Senator summarized his fears about marijuana in the following paragraph:

"If the epidemic is not rolled back, our society may be largely taken over by a 'marijuana culture' — a culture motivated by a desire to escape from reality and by a consuming lust for self-gratification, and lacking any higher moral guidance. Such a society could not long endure." (p. XII)

This is in stark contrast to what the bi-partisan National Commission on Marijuana and Drug Abuse (Shafer Commission) had to say after an intensive two-year study of the effects of marijuana on society. Their conclusion:

"When the issue of marijuana use is placed in this context of society's larger concerns, marijuana does not emerge as a major issue or threat to the social order. . . . The fundamental principles and values upon which the society rests are far too enduring to go up in the smoke of a marijuana cigarette." (p. 102, Marijuana, A Signal of Misunderstanding)

Removal of all criminal penalties for the private use and possession of marijuana has been endorsed or recommended by, among others:

National Commission on Marijuana & Drug Abuse (Shafer Commission)

American Bar Association

Consumers Union, publishers of Consumer Reports

National Conference of Commissioners of Uniform State Laws

American Public Health Association

National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals

The Governing Board of the American Medical Association

National Education Education Association

Central Conference of American Rabbis

Canadian Commission of Inquiry into the Non-Medical Use of Drugs (Le Dain Commission)

Mayor's Advisory Committee on Narcotics Addiction (Washington, D.C.)

John Finlator, Deputy Director, Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, 1968-71

William F. Buckley, Jr., columnist

## Budget

(Continued from page 1)

school is not included in the above figures. It should be emphasized that the expenditures for the college and Theological School are highly overstated, and expenditures for the Graduate School are understated because all Graduate instruction is accounted for in the costs of the other two schools. Not included in the above table are library and operations costs and other general expenditures.

The table shows that of the revenue designated for the college, 30% does not go directly toward college instruction or aid to college students. The Graduate School spends only 25% on services other than graduate instruction and student aid, and the Theological School spends 38% more than its designated revenues. Of course, it should be remembered that if graduate instruction was accounted for in the expenditures of the Graduate School instead of the other two schools, costs would be lower for the college and the Theological School, and much higher for the Graduate School.

The allocation of the income from invested endowment funds has also been questioned. This past year the Theological School (12% of the University student population) received about \$700,000 (58%) non-specified revenue, and the Graduate School and college (88% of the University student population) split the remaining \$500,000 of the endowment income.

In 1967 the Wickes Committee reported, "The study committee wishes to point out that the endowment income allocations represent not merely income funds irrevocably assigned to Theological education, but very much more. The trustees have in short approved Theological allocations which might in strict propriety have been used in other ways." The committee's suspicions were not unfounded — in 1973 University appropriations made up 77% of the Theological School's budget and only 10% of the College budget.

The reason for examining these reports is not to attack any one school of the University, or in any way advocate the abolition of either the Graduate or Theological School. However, these reports do indicate that students' concerns are valid ones

and should be seriously investigated.

Mr. Pepin has warned against judging each school's value solely by quantitative criteria. We agree completely with Mr. Pepin — there are many qualitative and historical aspects of the three schools that should be weighed heavily. However, Drew will ultimately stand or fall depending upon its financial performance. Therefore, a financial perspective is important and should be greatly welcomed. Unfortunately, this kind of perspective has been lacking for several years. The administration engages in what is called "vertical accounting." This method lumps together departments of the same discipline throughout the University, without recognizing the individual school. Therefore, the budget lists only one English Department, and only one Political Science Department, despite the fact that both graduate and undergraduate instruction take place in these departments. When the Graduate program originated, each school was differentiated in the budget and considered as a separate entity. For example, in the 1968/69 fiscal year it was determined that of the English Department's budget of \$111,250, 22.8% (\$25,375) went toward Graduate education. Unfortunately, this practice was eliminated for unknown reasons. Because of the utilization of vertical accounting, it is impossible to determine which school contributes most heavily toward faculty compensation, how the purchases of new books for the library are divided between the college and the Graduate School, or how the endowment income not used by the Theological School is split between the other two schools. We recognize the fact that there are many implicit difficulties to overcome in an accounting scheme that recognizes each school's identity and that many assumptions will have to be made. However, we feel the ensuing quantitative perspective would be of great benefit to the Board of Trustees in considering budgetary expenditures and to the University at large. We therefore respectfully request that Mr. Pepin account for the separate expenditures of each school within the University, starting with the 1974-1975 fiscal year.

has stacks of tickets to prove it. Jordan elaborated on the problem of outside trouble, saying, "Students desiring an open campus life style, 24 hour visitation and constantly leaving dormitory doors unlocked is inviting trouble." Jordan emphatically stated that "there is no way we can protect you under such conditions." Jordan went on to cite the rising crime in Madison and that the problems of urban life are moving in on Drew.

The discussion wrangled on and digressed on a variety of subjects, including the recent water balloon fight between Haseltin, New Dorm and Baldwin. Art Perry concluded the meeting lots more regularly and the

(Continued in last col.)

## Starvation Victims Need Your Help

By Dave Rostan

This weekend India faces severe wheat shortages. Starved for food, her rural migrants swell the city slums where people already wait in long bread lines. They leave behind them the weaker ones who could not make the trip, the children and the older people. Rather than starve many choose suicide. By the Indus River fathers mercifully drown their own children.

In the Sahel region of Africa this weekend and for the past six years: drought, lakes and rivers have dried up leaving only the hot, cracked earth. Over one hundred-thousand people have already died.



Here in the United States, too, unseen by the affluent majority, children of poor families will go to bed hungry. According to the Dr. Arnold Schaefer, director of the National Nutrition Survey, a significant number of these children are lacking in essential nutrients.

This weekend, in order to help these needy people, the Community Hunger Appeal of Church World Services, CROP, in cooperation with S.G.A., is sponsoring two programs at Drew — a banquet to break a crop-sponsored, day-long fast, tonight, U. Commons, and a Hunger Walk starting from Drew's front gate, 10 a.m., tomorrow.

Participants in the walk can pick up sponsor sheets at the main desk in the University Center. Money raised goes to purchase foods such as rice, wheat, soybeans, and toasted vegetable protein which prevents kwashiorkor, a protein-deficiency disease; in return, food recipients participate in CROP's Food-For-Work programs, building roads, dikes, irrigation canals and schools. Further stressing the "self-help" concept, field workers teach local villagers how to use better farming methods, working directly with them in agricultural scholarship programs.

Marking a beginning, these programs will, in the long-run, help Third-World nations attain self-sufficiency. Today, however, one-half the world's people still suffer from malnutrition. This weekend, approximately 30,000 people will die of starvation. Your help can save lives. Bring your friends and walk with us tomorrow.

## Bookstore Sells Pens Made By Indians

Ball point pens made by American Indians are now on sale in the Drew Bookstore. Store Manager Doris Miller points out that the pen is not only of good quality but, at 19 cents, one of the least expensive available anywhere.

The Blackfeet Tribe owns 65 percent of the enterprise, which is known as Great Western Industries, Inc. and is situated at the eastern edge of Glacier National Park in northeastern Montana. Another Indian group, American Indian Investments Opportunities, owns 15 percent.

The factory employs members of the Blackfeet nation in turning out more than \$1 million worth of quality writing instruments annually. The project is said to be transforming the traditional "poverty culture" of the area by demonstrating that free enterprise works for native Americans as well as for the majority immigrant population.

The pen is currently available in blue ink only, though other ink colors are on order.

## Security

meeting with a request that security be allowed a period of adaptation and asked for the students' cooperation. Kieper resolved to investigate any problem areas of the security patrol.

## FREE Christian Science Lecture "THE POWER OF GOD" by Horatio Omar Rivas

Nov. 24, 3:30 P.M.  
Milburn Senior High School  
Milburn Ave.,  
Milburn

## NOV. 5th ELECTIONS

by Liz Fancher

For those Drew students who will be voting in the November 5th general election in Madison, the following candidates and issues will be on the ballot.

For the position of member in the House of Representatives from the 5th Congressional District:

Millicent Fenwick, Republican  
Frederick M. Bohen, Democrat  
John Giammarco, Independent  
Leonard F. Newton, Independent

For the office of Sheriff:  
William F. McSherry, Democrat  
Bernard L. Taylor, Independent  
John M. Fox, Republican

Appalachian Dulcimers—\$75  
Stringed Instrument Repair & Restoration  
Come by if you're interested  
Rob Muesel Baldwin 109  
377-9845

For a position on the Board of Chosen Freeholders of Morris County (vote for 3):

Arlene McCry, Republican  
S. Charles Garofalo, Republican  
Rodney P. Frelinghuysen, Rep  
Patricia P. Jackson, Democrat  
Brian A. Hughes, Democrat  
Charles M. Kennedy, Jr., Dem

For the office of Councilman on the Madison Borough Council (vote for 2):

Roger B. Vernon, Republican  
Harold G. VanTassel, Republican  
Constance B. Stober, Democrat  
William J. Barry, Democrat

Six State public questions will also be on the November ballot. Generally, these are the legalization of casino gambling in Atlantic City, changing voter residency requirements, a Green Acres bond issue and bond issues for the building of highways, railroads and housing.



## Entertainment

### "Flushmore Bowles"

When I was a little creature I had absolutely no desire to be a witty and humorous writer of outstanding talent. In fact it is the balm of my existence that is that I have become. Let's face the facts: when you're as good as I am you can afford to be modest.

If you must know the truth, I always wanted to be a rock and roll star. There are very few author groupies in existence, and I'm obviously not in this racket for the money. I would really like to stand on the stage of Madison Square Garden, raise one arm and have twenty thousand people go crazy simultaneously.

This ambition of mine is frustrated by just one small fact. I have no musical talent whatsoever. I tried to write a song once and ASCAP revoked my poetic license. I tried to take a learn-to-play-by-mail course once and Chet Atkins arranged for the passing of a federal law that makes it a crime for a music store to sell me guitar strings. The Close Cover Before Striking School of Guitar Instruction put my picture in every post office in the country.

I refuse to let those minor setbacks deter me from my search for fame and glory. With all of the untalented superstars in the music industry there has to be room for at least one more. I figure that if I can have the singing voice of Bob Dylan, the guitar style of Mark Farnier and the lyrical abilities of Marc Bolan I could really make a go of it. As the finishing touch, I am already working on developing an English accent.

For a long time I was stymied when I tried to come up with a name for my group. I was considering *Reverse Peristalsis*, but I finally choked back the urge to use it. Then I wanted to call it *Lo and Grin*, but opera fans and Nils Lofgren kept beating a path to my door and beating a path across my head, so there went another good one. Then one day I sat down and tried to think of something that would epitomize my way of doing things, a term that would sum up my entire life. It came to me in a flash (another good name, but already in use). I will call my band *Knobals Et. Al.*

The next major problem was

working on a title for my first album. "Songs from the Forest" was suggested by a few die-hard Drew students, but I'd rather die. Hand. "Merry Little Acorns Are We" was suggested as well, but I just didn't think that would work because of its length, so I shortened it to just "Nuts." My follow-up could then be called "Big Ones, Like That."

I'm not concerned by my inability to write a song. I have instituted a practice whereby I pull ninety-two words out of the dictionary every evening and arrange them in some semblance of order. They make no sense at all, but I can then be acclaimed as a genius when people can read anything they like into it. Before you scoff at that, go back and listen to "American Pie." "I Am The Walrus" and the collected works of Yes. At least I'll be in good company.

Now that I am this close to a new career I have encountered one final problem, but this one seems insurmountable. I just don't look like a rock star. I haven't got the trim figure, the straight posture, the look of intense concentration or the half-closed eyes. Every time I try to look flashy I just look flushy.

### Trivia Contest Results

by Rick Atkinson.

Tom Smith won last week's trivia contest by correctly answering fifteen of the twenty-two questions. His prize will be the following albums: "The Best of the Bee Gees," "Retrospective: The Best of Buffalo Springfield," "Desistively Bonneroo" by Dr. John, "Rock and Roll Queen" by Mott the Hoople, "Stranded" by Roxy Music, "Diamonds in the Rough" by John Prie, the soundtrack to the movie "Harold Summer," and the new albums by Leo Kottke, If, and John Lennon. Below is a list of the correct answers to the trivia questions along with explanations where needed.

1. Bob Dylan used the pseudonym Robert Milkwood Thomas. 2. The original lead guitarist for The Yardbirds was Andrew "Top" Topham. He was with the band before they were recorded, and was replaced by Eric Clapton. 3. Peter Gabriel of Genesis was the flautist on the "Mona Bone Jakon" album. 4. Yes was formed by Jon Anderson from Gun, Chris Squire from Syn, Peter Banks of Syn, Tony Kaye from Bittersweet, and Bill Bruford, who dropped out of Leeds University to join. 5. Vincent Furnier is Alice Cooper's real name. 6. "But the Pope owns 51% of General Motors, and the stock exchange is the only thing he's qualified to quote us." It is from "Awaiting on You All" by George Harrison. 7. "There's a starman waiting in the sky." From "Starman" by David Bowie. 8. "Take A straight and stronger course to the corners of your life." From

"Your Move" by Yes. 9. "We're happy as fish and gorgeous as geese and wonderfully clean in the morning." From "Supper's Ready" by Genesis. "Johnny's in the basement mixing up the medicine, I'm on the pavement talking 'bout the government." From "Subterranean Homesick Blues" by Bob Dylan. 11. Dylan's advice to mothers and fathers throughout the land is "...don't criticize what you can't understand." The quote is from "The Times They Are A-Changing." 12. Bernie Leadon formed The Eagles after the demise of the Flying Burrito Brothers. 13. The original recording of "Danny's Song" was made by a group called Gator Creek on their Mercury Records album "Gator Creek." Kenny Loggins was a member of the band. 14. Prior to joining the Byrds, Gram Parsons had his own group called The International Submarine Band. 15. The first single by The Who was "I Am The Face," which has just been released on the new "Odds and Sods" album. 16. The Tostig family is in the song "Levon" by Elton John. The three generations mentioned are Alvin, Levon and Jesus. 17. Jimmy Page is credited with writing "Beck's Bolero." Beck has stated that he wrote it himself, but legal credit still belongs to Jimmy Page. 18. Peter Best, Ringo Starr and Jimmy Nichol have all played drums for The Beatles on stage. Nichol played with them for a tour of New Zealand in 1964 when Ringo Starr was in the hospital with tonsillitis. 19. Syd Barrett was

the founder and original guitarist for Pink Floyd. He left after the group's first album. 20. Mike Oldfield's 1968 album was called "The Sallyangie." It was released in this country by Warner Brothers and can still be found in bargain bins. 21. Davison and Jackson's first band was with Keith Emerson and was called The Nice. Emerson left the Nice to form Emerson, Lake and Palmer. Their recent band was with Patrick Moraz, who left them to replace Rick Wakeman in Yes. 22. The first American release by The Strawbs called "Just A Collection of Antiques and Curios." They had two English albums prior to that.

### TV NEWS

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 25  
8:00 p.m., Ch. 7 "Hatari" (1962)  
Exciting African adventure w/ John Wayne

8:00 p.m., Ch. 9 "Odds Against Tomorrow" (1959)  
Powerful bank robbery drama w/Robert Ryan, Harry Belafonte

10:30 p.m., Ch. 9 "Psycho" (1960)  
The classic Hitchcock thriller w/Tony Perkins, Janet Leigh

11:30 p.m., Ch. 2 "Valley of the Dolls" (1967)  
The late Jacqueline Susann's bestseller w/ the late Sharon Tate, Patty Duke.

11:30 p.m., Ch. 7 "In Concert" w/David Bowie

## Metro News

The New Jersey State Museum Cultural Center located on West State Street, Trenton, houses a planetarium, museum shop, auditorium and several art galleries. Featured at this time are displays by contemporary N.J. artists and photography, Indian Artifacts collected by the late Charles Philhower, 19th century Quilts, and Collages by Anne Ryan.

Phone the museum for further info — 292-6464.

McCart Theatre, Princeton

October 26

That Vagabond Marionettes return to McCart Theatre with the production of Pinocchio. 10:30 a.m.

October 29

Concentus Musicus. 12 Viennese musicians performing Baroque music. 8 p.m. Tickets \$5 and \$6.

October 31

Julian Breaun, an English lutenist and guitarist, together with English tenor Peter Pears will put on a concert at 8 p.m. Tickets \$5.50 and \$6.50.

November 1

Kris Kristofferson and Rita Coolidge! Two showings 7:30 and 10:30 p.m. Tickets start at \$3 and are available at all Ticketron outlets.

DREW

Saturday, Oct. 26

Great Hall, Writing Exemption Test (for English I) at 10:30 — U.C. 107 — College Dance, sponsored by Social Committee

Sunday, Oct. 27

Hoyt-Bowne Lounge, Dorm Brunch, 10:30 to 12:00. Open Student Senate Meeting at 8:00 p.m. A student from Fairleigh Dickinson will be here to give some information about the recent "clean-up."

Monday, Oct. 28

Anthro. Dept. Film Showing — "Sociology," "Primal Man II," "Blow Gun." Films will be shown at 7-9:30 p.m.

Tuesday, Oct. 29

Open Forum on Drugs and the Law, starring Lt. McKenna. Performance to begin at 3:45 p.m. Casual Dress, no refreshments being served.

Garrett Hardin, Professor of Human Ecology lecturing at 7:00-9:30 p.m. Great Hall.

Wednesday, Oct. 30

Commissioner Helen Norton (N.Y.C. Commissioner of Human Rights) 7-10 p.m. Great Hall.

Thursday, Oct. 31

Prof. Neil Reimer to lecture on "Prophetic Politics," 8-10 p.m. in Great Hall.

Art Dept. Film Showing, "The Vision of William Blake," "Ever-changing Skies," "Toulouse Lautrec," Hall of Sciences, 104-7:30-9 p.m.

OCTOBER 31 IS HALLOWEEN, DO NOT FORGET!!!!!!

8:00 p.m., Ch. 7 "Hang 'Em High" (1968)  
Clint Eastwood western

11:30 p.m., Ch. 2 "Harper" (1966)  
Excellent detective drama w/Paul Newman, Lauren Bacall

2:00 a.m., Ch. 4 "Darling" (1965)  
Beautifully sensitive film about an English model, w/Julie Christie, Dirk Bogarde, Laurence Harvey

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 27  
1:00 p.m., Ch. 4 "Yankee Doodle Dandy" (1942)  
Excellent musical based on the life of George M. Cohan, w/James Cagney, Walter Huston

3:00 p.m., Ch. 5 "13 Rue Madeleine" (1947)  
Thrilling drama of U.S. intelligence agents in wartime France, w/James Cagney

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 26  
2:30 p.m., Ch. 5 "Horse Feathers" (1932)  
A classic Marx Brothers comedy

6:00 p.m., Ch. 5 "How Green Was My Valley" (1941)  
Excellent version of Lewellyn's biographical novel of the Welsh coal mines, w/Walter Pidgeon, Maureen O'Hara, Donald Crisp

(Continued on page 7)

## Critics Corner

### Shock Troops

By Michael P. Smith

SHOCK TROOPS (1968)

Directed by Costa-Gavras

Starring: Jean-Claude Brialy, Bruno Cremer, & Michael Piccoli  
Running Time: 105 minutes

In 1968, Costa-Gavras ("The Sleeping Car Murder," "The Confession," "Z," "State of Siege") directed a film called "Shock Troops." To my knowledge, as of June, 1974, "Shock Troops" had played a total of only three days in this country, and that was on the second half of a double bill on 42nd Street in New York. This seems very strange to me for two basic reasons. The first is that the film was made by one of the most accomplished international directors today, and secondly, after just seeing the film, it is superb.

"Shock Troops" will be shown on Tuesday, October 29th, in U.C. 107 at 7:00 & 9:15.

The film is a prefiguration of

### The Hunchback of Notre Dame-Freaks

Lon Chaney's supremely expressive mime was generally attributed to the fact that his parents were both deaf-mutes. He was recruited from the theatre in 1912 by Universal, for whom he initially played in comedies and W.S. Hart Westerns.

Tod Browning was a conscientious and painstaking director possessed of a strange and unique vision: perceiving a sort of beauty in horror. Having run away from school to join a circus, Browning was a vaudeville comic at the time he joined Biograph Studios as an actor. He acted in Griffith's "The Mother and The Law," was an assistant on his own first film in 1918 ("The Brazen Beauty"). In 1919, he first directed Lon Chaney in a horror film, and from that point on, the direction of their subsequent careers was decided. Between 1919 and 1929, Browning directed Chaney in ten films, such as "Outside The Law" (1921), "The Unholy Three" (1925), "The Road To Mandalay" (1926), "The Unknown" (1927), "West of Zanzibar" (1928), and "Where East Is East" (1929).

Although the Browning/Chaney team was an ideal collaboration, it remains interesting to note that their most famous films were done independently of each other. Browning's masterpieces are "Dracula" (1931) and "Freaks" (1932). Chaney's most famous roles were as "The Hunchback of Notre Dame" (1923) and "The Phantom of The Opera" (1925). Like Browning, Chaney approached his horror films with the utmost seriousness, sparing himself no pains (often literally) to ensure their realism. For example, in making "Hunchback," "The Man Of A Thousand Faces," against his doctor's warnings, insisted on carrying fifty pounds of weights on his back. Now that's dedication!

"The Hunchback of Notre Dame" and "Freaks" will be shown on Wednesday, October 30th, in U.C. 107 at 6:30 & 10:00 p.m.

"Z," with both style and fluidity being most prominent. One of the more interesting, yet basic, elements of the film is its cast. Jean-Claude Brialy and Bruno Cremer head the cast, but it is Michel Piccoli (who was so brilliant in "La Grande Bouffe") whose performance is so stunning. Besides the fact that his character is the focal point of the story, he is also the frustrated man in the middle of everything. He is caught in the middle of a war in which he has no part, a battle in which his only salvation would be death, a life of clandestine identities, and a group of men who are totally suspicious of him. He is a man with no country; a man who must always be on the run.

By the way, the film is basically about the liberating of twelve men, captured by Germans at different times, and their subsequent dilemma when they realize that they are now thirteen. No one knows who the 13th man is, or for that matter, why he's there.

"Shock Troops" will be shown on Tuesday, October 29th, in U.C. 107 at 7:00 & 9:15.

THE HUNCHBACK OF NOTRE DAME (1923)  
Directed by Wallace Worsley  
Based on the novel by Victor Hugo  
Starring: Lon Chaney, Patsy Ruth Miller, Ernest Torrence, Norman Kelly, & Brandon Hurst.  
Running Time: 99 minutes

FREAKS (1932)  
Directed by Tod Browning  
Starring: Wallace Ford, Leila Hyams, & Olga Baclanova  
Running Time: 61 minutes

### WERD Profiles

Name: Richard Holden Dorfman  
Age: 19  
Profession: Miscreant (look it up)  
Hobbies: Sailing, Photography, Bicycling, Hanging around Theatres  
Last Book Read: "All Quiet On The Western Front"  
Last Accomplishment: Dropped History 3  
Quote: "Perversion is alright, so long as you season it a little."  
Profile: Boisterous, fun to be with (especially at cast parties), and able to leap tall buildings in a single bound.  
Radio Station: WERD 590 AM  
Show Time: "Morning Glory"—Weds. 7:30-9:30 a.m.  
"Nostalgic Perversions" Fri. 10 p.m.-12 a.m.

## HOT FLASHES

by Rick Atkinson

HOT FLASHES: So far the response to the trivia contest has been less than overwhelming. To stimulate a little more activity, let us state at this time that the albums included in the prize are, among others, the new John Lennon album, new albums by If and of the Bee Gees. The winner's name will appear in next week's Acorn. The deadline for the contest is Tuesday October 29. . . . Robert Mason's Stardrive will probably be out of business for quite some time. While opening the show for Jefferson Starship last Wednesday Robert Mason was attacked on stage by a member of the audience. While Mason was uninjured, his synthesizer (which Mason made himself over a period of two years) sustained heavy damage. . . . Edgar Froese, the leader of the German band Tangerine Dream, has a solo album coming out next month entitled "Aqua."

Even his record company doesn't quite know what to say about it, since it is neither music nor sound effects. Everyone who has heard it, however, says it is a masterpiece of whatever it may be. . . . Bryan Ferry, leader/lead singer of Roxy Music, has another solo album coming out next month. It is entitled "Another Time, Another Place." On one track he uses the talents of David O'List, the guitarist who played with Keith Emerson when The Nice was a four-piece group. O'List was also the original guitarist for Roxy Music, but he left before the first Roxy album was recorded. . . . Capitol Records is releasing an album next month which is tentatively entitled "The Fall of a President." The album will include Nixon's resignation speech along with his farewell speech before the White House staff. . . . Joining the ranks of rock groups making movies are the members of Slade. With a great streak of originality Noddy Holder and company will be portraying rock stars. To insure the proper level of screams, all of the concert sequences were filmed in a hall filled with members of Slade's British fan club. . . . The new album "The Power and the

Glory" by Gentle Giant might well turn out to be the surprise hit of the season. The album is already surprising Capitol Records by selling at a runaway pace before the record company could even get a promotional campaign together. . . . The Yes concert at Madison Square Garden on November 20 has now been confirmed. It will be followed at the Garden by a November 21 concert by The Beach Boys. Tickets will be on sale shortly for both events. . . .

As of this moment the Renaissance album "Turn of the Cards" has still not been released in England. It seems that the group does not have a record company in its native country. Capitol has released an album called "Dracula." One side is music, while the other side contains a reading by Christopher Lee, the man who took over as chief vampire after Bela Lugosi's death.

### Sleuth

The movie, starring Sir Laurence Olivier and Michael Caine, centers around a familiar triangle, that of one man stealing the wife of another man. However, as the movie progresses that conflict becomes secondary to the more important one of game playing.

Olivier is a rich, eccentric detective story writer and constantly engages in living out segments and characters of his stories. His house is a combination museum, carnival hall and game room that provides the perfect setting to re-enact some of his novels. Caine doesn't prove to be a very compliant recipient of Olivier's imagination. Games and reality start to become increasingly intertwined and impossible to distinguish

from one another. The skill of sleuthing is definitely entangled with the game of saving your life.

The characters created in this film are ingenious and the actors portraying them do a superb job. They create an atmosphere of suspense and disbelief that does not dissolve quickly.

The film will be shown this weekend as follows:

Friday — U.C. 107  
7:00 and 9:45  
Saturday — Bowne Theatre  
7:00 and 9:45  
Sunday — U.C. 107  
8:00 p.m. only

IMPORTANT: To witness the perfect crime, you must come on time!



"SLEUTH"  
PG  
TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX

## T.V. NEWS

(Continued from page 6)

3:00 p.m., Ch. 11 "Dr. Terror's House of Horrors" (1965)  
Elaborately produced thriller w/ Peter Cushing, Christopher Lee.

5:00 p.m., Ch. 11 "The Birds" (1963)  
A classic Hitchcock thriller w/ Rod Taylor, Tippi Hendren, & over 400 special effects shots. Repeated at 10:30 p.m.

6:00 p.m., Ch. 5 "Jane Eyre" (1944)  
Splendid version of Charlotte Bronte's novel of love and tragedy in the Yorkshire Moors, w/Joan Fontaine, Orson Welles.

6:30 p.m., Ch. 13 "The Men

Who Made The Movies"

Focus on director Howard Hawks

9:00 p.m., Ch. 7 "The Poseidon Adventure" (1972)  
Luxury liner disaster, w/Gene Hackman, Shelley Winters, Ernest Borgnine.

11:15 p.m., Ch. 9 "Man of a Thousand Faces" (1957)  
Excellent biography of Lon Chaney, w/James Cagney

12:00 a.m., Ch. 7 "Never on Sunday" (1960)  
Excellent comedy w/Melina Mercouri as the lovable Greek prostitute.

DATA TYPE & RESEARCH SERVICES  
2 Sylvan St., Rutherford, N. J. 07070  
(201) 933-6117

Term paper & Thesis Research  
Lowest Rates From \$2.50/page  
Mon.-Fri. 9:30-6 Sat. 10-4  
Campus jobs available



# SPORTS

## Ruggers on Top

### Moravian Ties 3-3

Moravian College scored two, weak second-half goals last Saturday, thus stopping the booters' four game winning streak. The game opened with intense play which resulted in a handball penalty against the Rangers. Dan Pipeling, Moravian's all-time career scorer, hit the post with his shot and, thus gave the Rangers the incentive to score themselves. A short time later, Moravian evened the score on a rapid-fire shot in front of the Ranger net. The Rangers continued the attack and scored twice more before half-time. Moravian was down at this point, and the Rangers should have put the game out of reach. Instead they let Moravian score

their second goal of the day, as the momentum began to turn in Moravian's favor. With two minutes remaining in the game Moravian knotted the score, and the Rangers had their hands full in preventing another goal. Offensively, John Miller, Dean Rosow, and Tom Eberhardt had goals while defensively, the unit as a whole played rather well, though plagued by a few bad breaks.

Tomorrow the Rangers play host to William Paterson, the team which beat Drew 2-1 last year on their home field. Look for a tough battle between the Ranger forwards and Paterson's defense, especially at fullback. Game time: 2 p.m.



### Rangers Bow to Keen

In a tightly contested affair, the Drew University soccer team dropped their second game of the year. Dean Rosow opened the scoring midway through the first half. Kean College missed a few chances to score but continued to penetrate on offense. The Ranger defense held tough throughout the first half as things seemed to fall in Drew's favor. The half-time score had Drew on top 1-0. The second half was dominated by Drew in

every aspect except scoring. Constant pressure was kept on the Kean goalkeeper but it was to no avail. Drew could not score. Kean, on the other hand, tied things up on a deflected shot. With about five minutes left in the game, the Kean center forward banged a shot into the left-hand corner of the net for a 2-1 lead. The Rangers continued to attack, but fell short as the gun sounded. The Team's record has now slipped to 7-2-1.

by Charles Shellabarger

On Families Weekend, the Drew Rugby Club played host to the New Jersey Medical School Rugby team. In winning, the Drew ruggers faced a more experienced and a much improved Jersey Med squad. As a consequence Drew could not push over a try in the first half while the opposition continually threatened to score. Only a penalty kick was registered as the first half closed with Drew leading 3-0.

In the second half both teams appeared to come to life with much more movement and consistent field play. After gaining ball possession in their own half of the field, Jim Altman broke loose, and started a 70 yard run and passed to Bob Alagna for the final yardage and the try.

The conversion was good and Drew now led 9-0. Later, Charlie Havea took off on a sideline dash of about 100 yards and finally hit Dan Winn with an over-the-head pass for the try. The kick was once again good; the final had Drew on top 15-0.

Last Saturday Drew played F.D.U.-Rutherford in its third game of the season. Once again Drew did not seem to be as sharp as she should be. Pete Esposito, who had a fine game at scrum-half, notched Drew's first score. Five minutes later a perfect lineout pass play resulted in a 50 yard movement which gave Drew another four points.

In the second half the Rutherford team reversed roles with the complacent Drew ruggers, and evened the score at 8-8. Motivated by lackluster play, the

ruggers proceeded to roll over Fairleigh. Midway through the second half Charlie Havea converted on a penalty kick to up Drew's lead to 11-8. Minutes later George Gaskill passed off to Havea who once more scored; the conversion was successful. Freshman Rick Detinger closed out the scoring after running through some heavy traffic for another four points. The final: Drew 21, F.D.U. 8.

A word of thanks for the great fan support; it is appreciated by the ruggers. Tomorrow, the team travels to Rutgers in an important encounter. This game came about as a result of last year's 3-3 tie, a game people acknowledge as one of the greatest games played in Drew rugby history. It will be the team's toughest game of the season.



### Navy vs. Drew - Preseason '74 Soccer

#### Athlete of the Week-Mike Farr

Last Thursday, the Drew Cross Country team cracked the win column for the first time this year. Mike Farr had a hand in

both victories over Wagner and Upsala. He took first place in the triangular meet and lowered his previous best time by 22 seconds. His time of 29:00 minutes, though not spectacular, marks the steady improvement by Farr during his cross-country career. Injuries have constantly riddled his running ability, but now Mike is healthy, as evidenced by last week's performance. He hails from Massachusetts' Lincoln Sudbury High School, where he lettered in track three times. Mike Farr is optimistic about the remainder of the season; he hopes that last week's performance is the first of many more. For his winning performance, Mike Farr has been named "Athlete of the Week."



**DRUBACK  
FLORIST**  
Cut & Dried Flowers,  
Plants  
80 Main Street  
Madison, N.J.  
377-5454

#### DIAMOND ENGAGEMENT RINGS

1/2 carat \$199  
3/4 carat \$395  
1 carat \$595

Buy direct from manufacturer and SAVE! For catalog send \$1 to SMA Diamond Importers, Box 216, Fanwood, N.J. 07023 Or, to see rings call (201) 561-3368 for location of showroom nearest you.