

Team teaching cures intro blues

by Maxine Hattery

It is a common complaint. Introductory courses are dull. After the first week they can get to be a drag for professors and students. Dr. Leavell, assistant professor of History, describes the problem as "the oppression of numbers." There isn't too much one professor can do, though. Even a stand-up comic might find it hard to hold a crowd's interest three days a week, 35 weeks a year.

Drew's History and Religion departments have a plan for fighting the "intro" doldrums. It's called team-teaching. The results have been rewarding for both departments. Variety is the key to the solution—a variety of professors, styles and perspectives. The student gets a 3-D view of the subject from the three professors that lecture in each course. An impressionistic lecture one day followed by a factual lecture the next. "Knowledge is not a given," Dr. Leavell commented. "There are approaches and interpretations."

Team-teaching doesn't only make the lectures seem better, according to the professors I talked to, they

actually are better. Speaking in front of professional critics, their colleagues, they are "afraid to get lazy" and therefore they do their best.

What team-teaching professors seem most excited about is the change it brings in their own scholarship. The professors on the team plan and work together. They listen to each other's lectures. They are able to compare and debate their ideas as they never could before.

Dr. Jones, assistant professor of Religion, noted that they "learn from one another." "Team-teaching," he continued, "raises new questions and new perspectives." The History Department is at least as enthusiastic. "We are alive to each other's ideas," Dr. Von der Heide, associate professor of History, explained.

The Sociology Department may add a new course under team-teaching in the up-coming Urban Semester, Dr. Friedrichs said. The department hopes to have the course taught by two part-time professors. One professor will have roots in the urban Black commu-

nity, the other will be from the urban White community.

Dr. Friedrichs is highly in favor of team-teaching. "The quality of education would go up with team-teaching," he said. Introductory Sociology, though, isn't team-taught. There just aren't enough teachers to go around. Dr. Friedrichs estimated that in order to manage with the present faculty and enrollment the course would have to be reduced to one semester with about 350 students in one class.

Team-teaching seems to have been worth the effort. The direct advantages to the students show up in a generally positive student response to the variety it gives. The most promising benefit to the student, however, may be an indirect one. In front of colleagues professors are motivated to do their best.

Communication and interaction with others in their department give the professors an enthusiasm they wouldn't have otherwise. And all the time they are teaching they are learning, getting new ideas and perspectives from their colleagues and becoming professors with more to offer their students.

VOTE EARLY

Drew Acorn

Student Newspaper Of The College

BEFORE THE

POLLS OPEN

Volume XLII No. 19

DREW UNIVERSITY, MADISON, NEW JERSEY

March 6, 1970

Election on Wednesday, most candidates firm

Dear Reader and Voter:

This is the "election story."

You will find, starting on page 6, candidates' statements for all SG positions. Also inside are editorial remarks. You will find no news story, however, but instead this "letter." Because, as this is written Wednesday night, there is no guarantee that what seems to be fact now will be true as you read this Friday afternoon.

There is one crucial uncertainty: the ballot for the Presidency. As it stands Wednesday night, there will be two tickets on the ballot: Peter Hoffman-Robert Johnson and David Little-Dennis Ingolia. Cecilio Barnett and Harry Litwack are an announced write-in ticket, which formed after Dave Confer was ruled ineligible last week.

However, the Judicial Board has twice ruled Little-Ingolia off the ballot, because Ingolia is currently on the Washington semester. The first time the Faculty-Student Committee on Student Conduct overruled the J-Board. Then, after a re-reversal, Attorney General Richard Guhl directed Elections Committee Chairman Rick Boer to keep them on the ballot on the grounds that the J-Board had already been overruled.

Little and Ingolia have stated that they will not run a write-in campaign if they are removed from the ballot. As it stands now, they are on.

The other offices are clearer. They are as follows:

MALE FRESHMAN ADVISOR: Paul Dezendorf is on the ballot. Jon Holt, who was on the ballot, withdrew in favor of write-in David Bell. Bruce Nilsen is also a write-in candidate.

FEMALE FRESHMAN ADVISOR: Janet Schotta and Helena Kosakowski were the two top vote-getters in Wednesday's primary, getting 120 and 114 votes respectively. Nancy Horlacher was eliminated, with 63 votes. Schotta, who is running on a ticket with Bell, and Kosakowski, who is on a ticket with Nilsen, are both on the ballot.

SOCIAL CHAIRMAN: Don Orlando is on the ballot. Richard Tait was ruled off the ballot and is conducting a write-in campaign.

Two referendums will also be voted on by students next Wednesday. The first, sponsored by Paul Dezendorf, asks that next year's Academic Forum series be devoted to the topic "Man's Pollution of His Environment." The second asks for a constitutional amendment abolishing all grade requirements for student association offices.

As the campaign enters its last five days, a series of debates between candidates has been planned. The time and place of these will be announced. The polls will be open all day next Wednesday for voting.

Much of the confusion surrounding the election has centered on the rulings of the Judicial Board that write-in candidates may run without meeting the qualifications for grade average or residence which are expected of candidates who appear on the ballot.

If appeals to have Little taken off the ballot or placed on the ballot, or appeals to have Barnett disqualified, go to the threatened higher levels, the election could be postponed.

However, as of now this is how it is.

Any distortions in this account have been unintentional. The Acorn Editors

AG Guhl:

Law and enforcement problems

SG Attorney General Richard Guhl, speaking to the senate Tuesday about Judicial Board Revision, commented, "There is great pressure on the college Judicial Board to be lenient. People are reluctant to sentence their friends."

Guhl, chairman of the Presidential Task Force on Judicial Revision, stressed that there is concern among administration, faculty, "and now students" about abuses of social rules, academic rules such as Pass/Fail, and other campus regulations.

There are questions of inequities both in the law and in enforcement, he noted, reporting that his task force had no definite revision proposals yet.

Some reforms may be effected soon, he suggested, including preparation by the Judicial Board of a complete case book.

SG President Robert Smartt divided the "judicial problem" into two major concerns: "first, that there must be respectable laws if there is to be respect

for law, and second, that too many students have no concern for the rights of others."

"It's not so much the violations themselves," explained Smartt, "but in some dorms you can get stoned by walking from one end of the hall to the other just from the smoke coming under doors. This is

infringing on the rights of others."

Cecilio Barnett echoed Smartt's first point, that laws must be respectable. "I'm sure that a majority of the campus disagrees with many of the rules," he charged, "so how can we expect them to follow such rules?"

Trustees raise tuition; Drew now costs \$3395

A \$200 tuition increase, plus a \$110 rise in room, board, and general fee costs will bring the total cost of a Drew education to \$3395 next year.

Tuition increases to \$2150 for a full year. The general fee will increase \$15 to \$135. The full board plan (SAGA) goes up \$30 to \$610, while a double room now costing \$435 increases to \$500.

The cost of other rooms will increase proportionately.

All increases were enacted last Friday by the Board of Trustees.

Basketball squad holds Yeshiva for winning end to long season

In its last chance, the Drew basketball team came through Tuesday night, taking a 57-56 decision over Yeshiva to avoid becoming the second Drew team this year with a perfect record. The team ended the long season with a 1-19 ledger.

Previously the Rangers lost to Haverford and Lycoming. (See sports page.)

Howie Schober's two free throws with eight seconds remaining proved the margin of victory, while the consistently fine shooting of Chris Kersey and John Hudak kept Drew alive throughout the contest.

Schober, a starter all season and a good scorer despite be-

ing only 5'8", was kept out of the game in the first half by coach Frank Giovanucci, then came in with ten minutes left and sparked the team from an eight point deficit to victory.

Drew had been a strong first-half team over the last part of the season, but had tended to fade in the closing minutes. The five starters—Kersey, Hudak, Schober, Merritt Schwartz, and Bob Kopech—were accustomed to playing nearly 40 minutes every game.

When Drew started a second-half fade against Yeshiva, Giovanucci inserted Schober, and Drew reversed a 40-32 deficit to a 50-45 lead within five min-

utes.

Yeshiva started the game with six quick points to take a 6-1 lead. Kersey came back with eight points to give Drew a 10-8 lead at the five-minute mark.

Drew's defense was penetrated occasionally, primarily by Howard Perl, who scored over twenty points for the Blue. But Ranger ball-handling was greatly improved, as the Gold lost only five turnovers during the contest.

Yeshiva clung to a slender lead through most of the first half, with a 17-14 lead at the quarter; a 22-20 lead at the fifteen minute mark, and a 26-24 lead at the half. The two

(Cont. p. 11)

Senate votes total investigation of food service, administration

Following a presentation by Bruce Nilsen of "student abuses against Saga," the student senate voted Tuesday to investigate "the entire scope of the University food service... (especially) administration handling of the food service."

Peter Hoffman had introduced a resolution asking that the senate create a committee to investigate Saga, particularly in

to direct the Facilities and Services committee, a senate standing committee, to investigate.

Hoffman stated that "this is what I wanted: a fact-finding committee. I'm not out to 'get Saga'; I think the senate should take some action, though, because among the students there is a myriad of discontent."

Hoffman had at the meeting a petition signed by 450 students

Nilsen cited the following statistics to demonstrate how students "abuse their food service."

- 1) Saga loses over \$1000 per year in stolen utensils, plates, cups, etc.
- 2) Many students carry food out of the cafeteria to students not on the meal plan.
- 3) Saga loses thousands of dollars in wasted food each year--one week, he noted, at the dinner meal only, in the main dining hall alone, three-quarters of a ton of food was thrown away on trays.
- 4) Student workers make up 900 of the 2200 man-hours Saga works each week. "Why not investigate all Saga workers?"
- 5) Student cost for Saga this year increased \$15 to \$580, or 3%. Saga costs increased 6% in the same period.
- 6) "If you want respect from your food service, you should show it some respect. Saga will listen to complaints any time, yet last year a girl who was stopped for illegally carrying food out of the dining hall told a manager to 'go fuck yourself.'"

Confer and Hoffman both thanked Nilsen for appearing at the meeting. Hoffman stated that "This is the kind of things our investigation can find out. Most students don't know these things."

Confer added that consideration of facilities in the new dining hall should also be taken up by the committee. Chairman Bruce Menozzi stated the investigation will begin soon.

Investigation underway

An investigation of the food service at Drew is being undertaken by the Facilities and Services Committee, Chairman Bruce Menozzi announced this week. Noting that the complaints presented to Saga manager Richard Zucconi at a meeting of the University Services Advisory Committee which were attributed to the food service were acted upon by them, Mr. Menozzi stated that the students "now have a chance to do something about the complaints they have with the food service."

Complaints can only be acted on if they are specific. The Committee urges that students who have complaints about specific food items present them, in a civil manner, to the head waiter or the food service manager. Other complaints and continuing complaints should be specific, stating, if possible, the date, the meal and the place (ie: snack bar, main cafeteria). They should be sent in writing to a member of the committee through campus mail as soon as possible.

Committee members include Meg Kinsella, Peter Hoffman, Joel Bruce Nilsen, Jamie Pfeiffer, Peter Salvi, Tom Ward, John Winslow, and Bruce Menozzi.

regard to three points: 1) competency of Saga management, 2) possibility of other food plans, and 3) competency of Saga's non-student employees.

At the urging of Nilsen and Dave Confer, the senate voted

asking that an investigation be conducted.

Nilsen cautioned that "you should not make it an investigation of the bad guy--Saga--by the good guys--the students. There is blame on both sides,"

New infirmiry policy?

Parry to retire at end of year

Dr. Antonette Rose Parry, University physician since 1962, will retire at the end of this school year, a departure that may require changes in health service policies at the institution.

According to University Services Director Mack J. Jordan, Dr. Parry has extended her service to students "so far beyond what is called for in her contract that it will be almost impossible to replace her according to present contract arrangements without a considerable drop in physician availability to students."

"Dr. Parry has steadily, voluntarily, and unselfishly increased her service to the University community beyond what she is paid for," Mr. Jordan explained, "and unfortunately it is only upon her departure that we can really see what an important and grossly underpaid contribution she has been making. We will miss her very much."

According to Mr. Jordan and Vice President and Treasurer John L. Pepin, the University is now considering alternate ways of providing for health services in the future. These range from hiring a full time physician and charging a mandatory health services fee to cover the cost to cutting back simply to nursing

service and referring students to physicians in the community. Currently it is the plan to avoid either extreme, continuing as much as possible as in the past but cutting doctor's hours back to the regular contract by having the registered nurses handle more routine medical care and freeing the doctor from as much paper work as possible.

"It is our feeling," said Mr. Jordan, "that this is probably what most students would prefer, but I urge any students who have feelings about this to let me know."

Mr. Jordan has already met with the student-administration-faculty university services advisory committee about this, and he reports mixed reactions. There was some feeling on the committee that now is the time to institute a comprehensive health services fee to cover insurance for everyone as well as

Faculty hears of curfew today

Proposed elimination of women's curfew will be reported to the college faculty today. If approved, all curfew will be eliminated beginning after spring vacation.

The Student-Faculty Committee on Student Concerns will report its resolution to the fa-

ultime doctor, but there was also support for the hiring of a good part time doctor under the current arrangements if this would be possible.

Surveys of infirmaries at other institutions have indicated that they range from nonexistent to those about equal to Drew's program, with no schools comparable to Drew--to Mr. Jordan's knowledge--claiming health services more extensive than those here.

Posted Doctor's hours currently number 21 1/2 per week. Dr. Parry saw about twenty patients per weekday, five days a week, during the last academic year and put in another 35 week-end days at the infirmiry or in consultations on campus. The infirmiry itself had 5,481 patients that year, an average of 4.2 consultations with the doctor and/or nurse per student.

culty asking curfew elimination. If the faculty accepts the Concerns report, curfew will be ended.

University Vice-President John Pepin has not yet announced any definite plans for "return systems" for girls who stay out beyond the time dormitories are locked at night.

Smartt hits Barnett, puts confidence in the people

The pursuit of the Student Association Presidency by Peter Hoffman and David Little offers the student body the choice between a set of different philosophies of student government and two differing approaches in implementing these philosophies.

Mr. Little and his capable running-mate, Dennis Ingoglia, ably present a daring and long-overdue one-issue approach to resolving the problems of student government.

The Pete Hoffman-Bob Johnson team advances an aggressive and comprehensive program for providing the student body a forward-looking student government which will be adequately responsive to the interests of the students.

The voters will, then, have an opportunity to make their reasoned choice Wednesday after considering the platforms and programs of the two candidates.

I should also comment, however, on an insidious attempt to undermine and subvert Wednesday's election by an invidious spoiler.

Cecilio Barnett is playing the role of the spoiler--and his attempt differs little from similar efforts of George Wallace in an earlier campaign--save for the advocacy of a reverse racist appeal and a left-wing know-nothing doctrine.

Mr. Barnett and his highly-disciplined band of SPD and Hyera knee-jerk radical cohorts--plus a coterie of consulting political horsehieves and assorted scoundrels--are trying to make a mockery of the upcoming election.

It is saddening, indeed, that Harry Litwack has gotten involved with the Barnett, Greenberg, and Burns gang--those of us who have long liked Harry are placed in a difficult position. But we will not lose sight of the fact that the bizarre act of political fornication that has joined Messrs. Burns, Greenberg, and Barnett is abhorrent to the sensibilities of those of us who--along with the two serious, legitimate, and qualified candidates--are truly concerned about Drew.

Mr. Barnett's fervent belief that the Student Association Presidency is racially hereditary strains the doctrinaire liberal beliefs of even his most ardent supporters.

I am confident that the nature and responsible majority of students will not waste their votes on Mr. Barnett's attempted rape of the ballot box in this most disgusting manner.

The choice, therefore, is between Mr. Little and Mr. Hoffman--and we will know the people's decision Wednesday. In other races, the candidacy of Don Orlando for Social Chairman is one of the brightest spots on the ballot.

Given an ample supply of teenyboppers to populate the concerts that Drew students want but won't or can't support and the patience to withstand the onslaught of the social reformers who blame the Social Committee for their own social ineptness and lack of imagination--Don will ably and adequately carry on the excellent and varied program pioneered by Messrs. Redboard, Granquist, and Fenstermacher and ably advanced this year by Dave Marsden.

In the Frosh Advisor slots, the nods should go to Janet Schotta on a shooin and Dave Bell on a write-in.

Janet's experience and ability, coupled with Dave's capability and affability, should start Orientation Week off on the right foot for next year's frosh.

ROBERT L. SMARTT
Student Association President

Constitution OKed

Senate considers reports

The senate was told Tuesday that its recommendations for students to sit on the faculty educational policy and planning committee will be taken up at a joint meeting of student and faculty committees later this week, Chairman David Little said.

He would report the results to the senate at its next meeting. In other actions, the senate approved its elections committee, heard progress reports from its three task forces, and decided to investigate the entire scope of the University food services, including "administrative handling of the food service."

Sue Gonzol, David Bannish, Mary Garbarino, and Sue Calder were approved for the Elections Committee, which is chaired by Richard Boer. The Hurst Hall Constitution, submitted by President Peter Hoffman, was approved. The Presidential Task Forces on Code of Conduct, Judicial Board, Revision, and Constitutional revision reported that they had met and were considering proposals, but had formulated none as yet.

The Code of Conduct Committee, Little reported, pointed out that by the University by-laws the faculty has jurisdiction over matters of student discipline. He cited this as a major problem.

Bus trip to NY tomorrow: \$1.25

The University Center Board is sponsoring a bus trip into New York City tomorrow. Cost is \$1.25 per person round trip. The bus will leave from the back of the University Center at 12:30 p.m. and return from the city at midnight.

J-Board makes two rulings; Ingoglia, Barnett write-ins oked

Two Judicial Board rulings, the overturning of one of these by the Faculty - Student Committee on Student Conduct, and a Board reversal were required before this year's election slate was finalized. The candidacies of Dennis Ingoglia and Cecilio Barnett as write-ins were approved.

SG President Robert Smartt asked for a ruling last Thursday on whether Dennis Ingoglia and Jon Holt could be candidates, since they are currently on the Washington semester. The student senate had passed a resolution endorsing their eligibility.

The Judicial Board ruled them ineligible, on the grounds that to effectively administer an office, a candidate should be enrolled on the Madison campus at the time of his election.

Holt, Ingoglia, and David Little appealed this ruling to the Conduct Committee, which has three faculty and two student

members and is chaired by Dean of the College Richard Stonestifer.

The Conduct Committee took the case "with great reluctance," according to Dean Stonestifer, since the Student Association Constitution states that Judicial Board interpretations of constitutional issues shall be final.

The Committee overruled the Judicial Board, finding that "there are no grounds to be found specifically within the constitution precluding" off-campus candidates.

The committee added that "The Board...ruled as it did with a procedure which may be quite proper, but is nevertheless capable of being regarded as suspect," citing particularly the failure of the Board to notify Ingoglia and Holt of the original hearing.

The Board should have "followed a procedure which would

not permit, by anyone, any accusation that all points of view had not been considered," the committee suggested.

The Committee concluded that this ruling "places squarely before the Student Association the responsibility of clearing up any ambiguities about eligibility for SA office."

Wednesday, the Board ruled Ingoglia off the ballot again. It added they could run as write-ins. Attorney General Richard Guhl asked that Little-Ingoglia be kept on the ballot Thursday.

The second appeal, handled Tuesday night by the Judicial Board, was from Cecilio Barnett. Mr. Barnett contended that he was eligible to run as a write-in candidate for President even if he did not meet the grade requirement for "nominated" candidates.

The Board ruled that write-ins do not have to meet the grade requirement.

Parallel other revisions

Burns asks course evaluation

Robert Burns, Former SG Attorney General, stated this week that he would like to see a complete course directory and evaluation of all Drew courses printed at the end of this year, for distribution to all students next year.

Burns stated that he had introduced the idea last year, and that it "was promptly dismissed by the Smartt administration, the student senate, and Dean Richard Stonestifer."

He cited the differences between instructors within departments as well as between departments and suggested that "these differences continue--through comprehensives. For instance, economics majors go to O'Brien's before comps, English majors to Chaplain Boyd."

Burns suggested course evaluations might parallel other academic revisions, such as additional pay for faculty members so that "qualified men like Drs. Sampley, Malicky, and Campbell would not be leaving."

He further charged that "only three years of being 'Drewed' has led me to believe this college is on an academic holiday. For example, in 1967 nine honors theses were completed, with seven in 1968 and only four last year."

"The point is not to indict any official or group of officials, nor to condemn any department or the several departments, but to

say what many people are frightened or too complacent to say: 'Drew is not an adventure in excellence, it is a quagmire of mediocrity.'"

Burns' course evaluation booklet would include "a compendium of the following information:"

- 1) a preface telling students how to compute cumulative averages.
- 2) a section for each department with a facing page listing graduation requirements, including specifically:
 - a) each member of the department, his position, his academic background, a list of his published works.
 - b) title of each course, professors offering it, a least a 100 word description of the course including texts used.
 - c) delineation of tests and papers in the course, content, and grade percentage each counts. Professors would be held to a 10% deviation from these published percentages.
 - d) a statement on credit for extra work

"On the surface," notes Burns, "this may appear to be a burden to the faculty and a limitation of their latitude in delivering a course. Although it may be a slight burden to them, it will do much to relieve student frustration and confusion in choosing courses."

Burns listed five goals of his proposal: to let students know

"what we are paying \$81.00 a credit hour for," to give students a better idea in choosing courses, to give students a better idea of workload, to tell students how grades are obtained, and to "let us know if we are compensated for overtime."

Freshman class to keep Quirk

The freshman class decisively voted against the recall of President Tom Quirk Wednesday, defeating the recall proposal by a 131-40 vote.

Slightly less than half the class voted. Quirk had campaigned during the week against the recall, terming it an "unfortunate" action.

Four professors will be on academic leave during all or part of the 1970-71 year, Dean of the College Richard Stonestifer announced recently.

George W. Davis, professor of physical education, will be on leave during the fall to survey physical education programs at schools comparable to Drew.

Dr. Robert Friedrichs, professor of Sociology, will be on leave during the entire year doing research stemming from



Officials distressed at Thurmond jeering

University officials this week moved to "take the necessary action to prevent any repetition" of disruptive acts similar to those at Senator Strom Thurmond's lecture two Sundays ago. University Safety Committee Chairman Mack Jordan issued this directive to University safety personnel following heckling and marshmallow throwing by a group of students during Thurmond's lecture to a packed Baldwin gym.

University President Robert Oxnham issued a statement supporting Mr. Jordan's directive, commenting, "Our record at Drew is one of letting any spokesman of interest to our students and faculty speak on campus and receive the courtesy a guest deserves."

"We cannot tolerate any attempt by anyone, for whatever reason, to deprive members of this community and their guests of the right to be heard. Evidently a few people at the Senator's appearance believed that the rudeness and narrowmindedness which have become a part of life in too many areas of society where now acceptable here also."

Davis, Friedrichs, Schabacker, Miller on leave next year

material in his soon-to-be-published THE SOCIOLOGY OF SOCIOLOGY, which will be out this month.

Part of his research will be at Cambridge and part at the London School of Economics, making a year in England to follow work at Princeton this summer.

Dr. James Miller, professor of chemistry, will be on leave during the spring, working at Un-

iversity of Amsterdam on liquid chromatography. Dr. John Schabacker, professor of German, will work for the full year as resident director of the Year Abroad Program in Freiburg, Germany. He will be advisor to some 25 students there, directing a variety of field trips as well. He will also do research work in early twentieth century German expressionism.

Mr. Jordan cited the interim statement on rights and responsibilities of the academic community adopted by the Board of Trustees last June. It reads, in part: "Drew University stands for freedom of speech, freedom of inquiry, freedom of dissent, and freedom to demonstrate in peaceful fashion. The University will not tolerate any attempt by any individual, group, or organization to disrupt the regularly scheduled activities of the University."

From 50 to 70 students jeered Senator Thurmond, threw marshmallows, and wore costumes ranging from African dashikis to Ku Klux Klan robes





Vote for both ideas

Superficially, it would appear students have a choice of three ideas in next Wednesday's elections: 1) straight SG reform, proposed by Little-Ingolia; 2) straight issue reform, advocated by Hoffman-Johnson; and 3) a combination of both, presented by Barnett-Litwack.

There are other considerations, of course: personality for one, and old debt politics for another. The Barnett candidacy particularly has been supported by an SPD machine which is the closest Drew has had to a political party in several years -- an effective party, too.

It is impossible to disagree with the proposals for SG revision. Little, Jeff Myers, and the Judicial Board have all pointed out, in the last week alone, the terrible inadequate document that is our constitution. Revision of the constitution would be no panacea, it is true. But it would unquestionably make SG a more functional institution. The senate should be reduced in size; it should have control over, e.g., its own committee appointments. Election procedures, and eligibility requirements should be made equitable. There should not be a loophole available for anyone at any time.

It is equally impossible, however, to disagree with Mr. Hoffman that the real issues are not internal to SG, but outside. Many are social, many are academic. A pub would help. So would 24-hour open house and dorm autonomy. Students should have at least advisory say in many academic matters.

In many ways the Barnett-Litwack ticket is the most attractive. Although they spend a bit too much time patting Mr. Barnett on the back, it is true that they have the best organization -- an important asset in government. They also have done more research on their ideas than the other tickets -- and this is vital. They have shown some discomforting signs of using politics as a weapon in much the same way Mr. Smartt has done this year -- but they have also shown an ability to achieve.

Unfortunately, Cecilio Barnett does not seem to be academically eligible for President. The intention of the constitution is clearly that candidates must have a 2.30 average at the time of election, which Mr. Barnett does not.

The eligibility rule is a poor one -- and should be eliminated. But as of now, this election, it is the rule. Students might well have a very effective President in Mr. Barnett. However, they also would have an ineligible one, which is a revealing comment in itself.

Of the other two tickets, choosing one should not mean rejecting the other. The need for action is evident on both the Hoffman and Little platforms.

With reluctance, it must be observed that Mr. Ingolia, perhaps the singularly most capable person in the campaign, will have great difficulty functioning as an effective Vice-President from Washington. And Mr. Little, although his ideas are excellent on constitutional revision, has yet to be convincing as the best person to handle the necessary ongoing functions of the Presidency outside the internal structure of SG.

So perhaps the best candidate for the position is Peter Hoffman. Hardly the "arrogant radical" many see him to be, Mr. Hoffman has run across some "political lessons" during the campaign, which may help him in the future. But more important, he is still politically naive enough to ask some questions which the campus must consider, even if they can't be answered. For instance, the gap between rules and practice all over the campus. Are "tacit understandings" the only answer? It's probably the safest, but it may not be the best. Drew has too often not asked the difficult questions.

Mr. Hoffman lacks a political machine of any description. If elected, he will probably face a senate with a large bloc of SPD-type people. There will be tensions and conflicts, which is -- in spite of this year -- a better idea than a monolithic body with a low dissent level.

And given the essential agreement between the Hoffman, SPD and Little-Ingolia camps on most issues, the combination could prove quite efficient in "reforms" of all sorts. A body which is to progress needs both basically theory-oriented people like Mr. Hoffman and the research-oriented people SPD compliments itself for.

But whoever is elected should give PRIME consideration to revision. Effective revision would start from scratch and be done in a month -- a small price for the benefits it would bring in both efficiency and accomplishment. Drew needs a new SG AND a new SG President.

the young conservative

Paradise Lost

Harold Gordon

My Esteemed and Learned Friend:

Since the time of our last coming together, I have become acquainted with a native of a far-off land known as Drewpia, who, in the short time we have known each other, has told me many strange and wonderful things about the condition and customs of his countrymen, the most striking of which being their method of government. Indeed, when my companion first explained to me the manner in which the Drewpians govern themselves I was disinclined to believe him but have nevertheless set down his words to the best of my recollection so that you may judge for yourself.

As described by my companion, Drewpia is a tiny country made up entirely of hills of learning set amid the towering forests. It enjoys the happy circumstance of being completely cut off from the outside world by a strange cosmic force known as IN LOCO PARENTIS; under this phenomenon anyone who takes up residence within the frontiers of the community forfeits all rights which he may have enjoyed outside of it but at the same time is relieved of any and all responsibilities. While you or I might object to such a situation the Drewpians appear to be perfectly content with it for while they may raise an occasional objection to this state of affairs they have made no serious effort to alter it. However, they are reported to be an excellent people: youthful and high-spirited; some are athletes, some artists, some poets, some politicians, and a few are actually scholars.

Drewpia is at present a constitutional monarchy headed by two kindly paternalistic rulers who have reigned in peace since the 17th Century. At one time the two Kings had absolute power, it being the opinion of their subjects that two absolute monarchs -- one to check the other -- provided an ample guarantee of their general welfare. However, certain members of the community were not satisfied with this arrangement and demanded a voice in governing themselves. Being for the most part ambitious and fanatical individuals they created such a storm of controversy that at length the two Kings, being too indulgent to allow even a minority of their subjects to be discontented, gave their consent to the creation of a popular government consisting of a President and a Senate.

Now it seems that during the controversy the majority of the Drewpians had simply looked on with their own customary apathy. With the creation of the new government, however, they suddenly realized that they would now be expected to shoulder the burden of governing themselves. They further

realized to their dismay that to devote time to participation in their government would of course take time from their sports, their creative efforts, their lovemaking, and in some cases their studies. This discovery was the source of great public lamentation the part of the Drewpians until they realized that there was a way out of the problem. It was decided that since the new government was the creation of the ambitious and fanatical among them that only the ambitious and fanatical should be elected to it. The reasoning was simple: by confining all the conflicting ambitions and personalities in the community into one parliamentary cockpit it was reckoned that the representatives would devote all their efforts to fighting each other and none to governing; hence, the two Kings could continue to rule as they had always done.

The plan worked perfectly; so much so in fact it became an established custom that the moment a native Drewpian showed the least sign of fanaticism, egomania, or mental disorder he was immediately elected to public office. The Government of Drewpia was soon serving a triple function of government, free public entertainment, and sanctuary for playmen radicals. This happy condition however was doomed to failure because the Drewpians had never anticipated the coming of that infamous politician known as Smartbob.

Smartbob was a ruthless individual with a burning ambition for office. In this he was handicapped however, for while he more than met the requirement for egomania he was sadly lacking in fanaticism. Undeterred by this he proceeded to pose so convincingly as a radical that he was elected not to the office of Senator but to that of President himself.

The effect of a moderate in the midst of a government geared to unbridled fanaticism can easily be imagined. Consternation reigned as Smartbob proceeded to use his high office to personal advantage, improving his chances for his return to the Outside World from which he came.

What was even worse was that Pethof, another unscrupulous politician, was so impressed by Smartbob's success that he decided to emulate him. At this point, however, one of the two paternalistic monarchs, Stonehenge the First, decided that things had gone far enough and intervened to put an end to Pethof's evil scheme. He issued a proclamation to the effect that if Pethof ever became President he would name as his Privy Councilor one Bake-Eggs, the Resident Alchemist and Court Jester and hereditary enemy of Pethof. The fate of the entire kingdom may now be said to hang in the balance.

Spectrum

Brad Miner

Rhodesia

Strom would really be impressed... as a stalwart proponent of "the Republic," fearing the "tyranny of democracy," and as well-intentioned racist, the Senator would certainly feel at home in Rhodesia. But then what would the United States Senate be without the wisdom and guidance of that great American patriot from the sovereign state of South Carolina? Prime Minister Ian Smith, ignoring the economic sanctions of the United Nations, and in the face of increased black African guerrilla operations, has affirmed the lie. Officially, Rhodesia this week proclaimed itself to be a "racially segregated republic."

In reality, Rhodesia has been beyond the control of "the Crown and the Commonwealth" since November 11, 1965 when Smith staged a successful rebellion and declared independence without the prior consent of Great Britain. In fully asserting its autonomy, the minority government served the last formal tie with England by dissolving the parliament and establishing a new constitution.

The new constitution from which the House of Assembly assumes its authority provides

for an initial 66 seats, 50 to be held by whites or mixed, and 16 by blacks. The constitution further provides, in its obvious beneficence, that when blacks pay more than 24% of the country's personal income tax, they will be allowed more seats, but only up to parity with the whites. In Rhodesia there are 240,000 whites and 4.5 million blacks. Ian Smith, where is the parity?

Ian Smith, R.A.F. hero and charismatic leader of white supremacists is confident that his party, the Rhodesian Front, will be victorious in the April 10 elections. However, the ambitious Prime Minister may well face more flak in Salisbury than he encountered during the entire war. Not only does he face considerable opposition from white moderates and the extremists of the Center and Republican Alliance parties, but the threat of renewed economic sanctions and the problem of international recognition as well. In line with its racist policies, the South African government has said that, "relations will continue as before."

Although the United States pre-

sently maintains a 6-man consulate in Salisbury there is some speculation as to whether diplomatic relations will continue. Secretary of State Rogers, during his 15-nation African trip last month stated that, "the U.S. deplored governments based on racial discrimination and identified with the desire of black Africans for self-determination." ... a fine statement of our willingness, but will U.S. policy uphold these ideals through effective diplomacy?

Secretary Roberts made a moral commitment of U.S. support for African liberation and majority rule. Smith's supremacist government is as much a lie as the minority governments of South Africa, Angola and Mozambique. U.S. hypocrisy is well documented in Vietnam, South Africa and in other areas where self-interest has dominated foreign policy, where ideals have fallen before political and economic gain. The time has come for the U.S. to recognize and exercise its moral responsibility to the African people. Smith's white minority government is a travesty of human rights. The time has come to make the sanctions stick.

Needs are more basic

Campaign focus irrelevant

by George Morton

Sunday night something was shoved under my door. I looked and there was the Barnett-Litwack platform, compared with the Hoffman-Johnson platform. Looking at the quantitative disparity between the two I thought I'd get out the Hoffman platform to compare and see if he'd been misrepresented.

One thing Mr. Hoffman said really struck me. "The root of the student government chaos lies in the attitude of apathy and indifference that the students take towards their 'representatives!'" But then he really disappointed me and went on to advocate the same institutional reform that he seemed to decry earlier as not enough. Referendums, S.G.A. meetings, budgets, polling. What good would these do if the students don't care? Wouldn't it make the apathy, alienation, and hopelessness even more apparent than they already are?

All the candidates so far seem to have the idea that all Drew needs is a few structural chan-

ges to bring the promised land onto the Drew campus. So, in this society of poli sci majors, the only thing holding us back is a lack of proper political action and channels. Sure.

The problem, I'm afraid, goes much deeper. It lies in the boxes in our minds that keep us from seeing what an education really could be. Consider, for a minute, the potential we have here at Drew. We have student body made up, for the most part, of literate, intelligent individuals, and to a lesser degree of really brilliant, stimulating people. Our faculty is excellent. How many college campuses can boast the likes of Drs. Kimpel, Crammer, Ollom, and Chaplain Boyd.

I have walked in and spoken with full professors, even department heads, for twenty minutes with hardly an interruption. Why don't other students try and do the same?

That's easy, says the average Drew student. I'm busy studying and when I'm not studying I'm having fun. Who has time to talk

to professors? Besides, what have I got to say that's important enough for these learned gentlemen to take seriously?

This is what I mean when I talk about boxes in our minds. Our conception of teacher is like a Puritan's conception of God -- remote, inaccessible, something, toward which we can only cringe in self-abnegation and surrender. But if we'd take the time to get to know our professor's we just might find that many of them are real human beings -- wise, mature, and sensitive. If we could set up a framework in which as many of us as possible could sit down with these gentlemen and reason together about the education we're getting, what a difference it would make.

Or take the Drew social life. Because of the boxes in their

March 6, 1970

DREW ACORN

Page 5

minds all the candidates have seen only the problems and none of the possibilities. Take drugs. The problem is how do we reconcile the straights with the unstraights and unstraights with the law. But why not look at the opportunities? We have a diverse body of students with different life styles. What an opportunity for real learning and growth! If students could learn to reach out to each other as unique individuals, groping for understanding, we might be able to work loose a lot of hangups that have so plagued our parent's generation.

But for this we need a different atmosphere. The snack bar just won't do. Why not take the snack bar, put up some wood paneling, turn down the lights, and give the place some real atmosphere using student suggestions and talent. I've talked with one prominent student government leader (not a candidate) and he feels that the cost of this

wouldn't be prohibitive and that there's no reason why it couldn't come in the near future. Even the pub issue wouldn't be too important since I'm sure the ingenious Drew student could find some way to smuggle in his booze.

And, finally, why can't some mention be made of our rationale for existence as a university community? Here we are, standing on a historical abyss with either the plunge into nuclear destruction or the leap into the promised land, all lying before us. And when I think that we may be the generation to be charged with sealing the fate of mankind, and look and see the preparation we are getting at Drew, then I tremble with fear, frustrations, and rage.

I come to the conclusion that only a miracle can shake us out of our lethargy. And I hope, chemical as it may sound, that this campaign may play some role in the miracle.

Der Gheist

by Ted Greenberg

Memo: To all students From: Barent Johnson, Registrar
Subject: New Course--Political Science 69: The Student Government Presidency: a Course in Game Theory (inspired by daytime quiz shows)
Required Reading: Nicolo Machiavelli, THE PRINCE
Scope of the course: The course will be based on THE PRINCE. This book has long been the basic handbook of politics, statesmanship, and power. In it are set down the rules and moves. There was little democracy in 16th century Italy, and the book became a blueprint for dictators; so it will be for Drew.

The course will be taught by Dr. Staff along with guest lecturers known throughout the world for their political expertise. Notations within the parenthesis will denote lecturer specialties. Topics to be covered are as follows:

1. Dr. E.G.S. Baker (The Guiding Light) will speak on practical politics.
2. Student Government Prez Bob Smartt (Dialing for Dollars, Let's Make a Deal) will speak on Machiavelli's axiom of conspiracy: "A princeneed trouble little about conspiracies when the people are well disposed, but when they are hostile and hold him in hatred, then he must fear everything and everybody."
3. Senator David Little (Concentration) will discuss Machiavelli's axiom of flattery: "There is no other way of guarding oneself against flattery than by letting men understand that they will not offend you by speaking the truth; but when every

one can tell you the truth, you lose their respect."

4. Senator Peter Hoffman (Can You Top This) will discuss First Impressions: "The first impression that one gets of a ruler and of his brains is from seeing the men he has about him."
5. Senator Cecilio Barnett (Beat the Clock) will talk on merit: "A prince must show himself a lover of merit, give preference to the able..."
6. Attorney General Richard Guhl (Dark Shadows) will speak on bossism in government with a lecture entitled "Whatever you say, Boss..."
7. Lecturer to be arranged: To discuss Machiavelli's Goodness principle: "A man who wishes to make a profession of goodness in everything must necessarily come to grief among so many who are not good. Therefore, it is necessary... to learn how not to be good, and to use this knowledge, and not use it, according to the necessity of the case."

Machiavelli closed his book with the following advice to Lorenzo Di Medici, "This barbarous domination stinks in the nostrils of every one. May your illustrious house therefore assume this task with that courage and those hopes which are inspired by a just cause, so that under its banner our fatherland may be raised up, and under its auspices be verified that saying of Petrarch: Valour against fear, and be the combat quickly sped! For, sure, the ancient worth That in Italians stirs the heart, is not yet dead."

jeiffer

THE DAY DAWNED.



I STAGGERED INTO A CHURCH AND PRAYED FOR AN END TO TECHNOLOGY.



THE SKY WAS BROWN.



THE POLICE BROKE IN AND ARRESTED ME.



THE SEA WAS BLACK.



THE CHARGE IS: CONSPIRING TO SURVIVE.



THE AIR WAS GRAY.



AND THE ENTIRE 3-8

Student Association candidates present their platforms

President-Vice-President tickets

Leadership, direction, coherence

by Peter Hoffman and Robert Johnson—President

It feels rather crowded here on my platform now that Mr. Little and Mr. Barnett have jumped on the "student power bandwagon." I am rather dismayed at my unexpected company because the ideological similarity among the candidates in approach and method. There are also leadership differences which assume primary importance within this "CONSENSUS."

Mr. Little's platform is a program of inaction disguised as a revolution. The very fact that it is Mr. Little who is "calling for the revolution" warrants some concern. I for one cannot believe that the institutional structure of Student Government prevents any consecutive action (which seems to be the major supposition of Mr. Little). The institutional structure is rickety, bulky, insensitive, and unrepresentative. But it is not the only cause, nor even the most important cause of the turmoil in Student Government. The institutional structure is not to be used as a strawman to be handily beat to death. The history of the Senate this year shows that the Senate will act, possibly arbitrarily, possibly capriciously, on innovative legislative proposals. The problem is that there have not been very many innovative legislative programs. Also, in effect, Mr. Little's platform eliminates Student Government for a period from two to six months. Drawing up a new constitution and having it approved by the students is a lengthy task. There are too many other issues, much

more pressing, which require the attention of Student Government. Institutional reform need not be preceded by abolition of the previous constitution. Mr. Little may be content to play his Student Government toy until next October, but I believe the students are concerned with specific unresolved social and academic questions. If one must establish priority, I stand for consideration of the issues and not for more manipulation and hassling over procedures of Student Government. Mr. Little is, however, to be commended on a courageous platform stance, something few candidates attempt to take.

Probably the only criticism I can level at Mr. Barnett's platform is that his program is second-hand. I can't disagree with the ideas I have advocated since the beginning of my sophomore years. However, I sense some serious disagreements in approach and method. Mr. Barnett's academic reforms do not seem to have any general philosophical base. Mr. Barnett, with the help of Mr. Burns and Mr. Greenberg, have collected the used academic experiments of the Ivy League and attempted to get Drew to play "catch-up." I have stated in my column, and elsewhere my concern with the nature of the academic schedule at Drew, with the pass/fail programs, and student involvement in academic policy. However, and this is Mr. Barnett and my second area of disagreement, I do not feel that a 4-1-4 or a blanket ex-

tension of pass/fail privilege are the best methods for improving academic life. In general, I do not feel the cogency and direction of Mr. Barnett's reforms. My approach to academic reform is reform is centered on blending academic and social experience. I am interested in connecting the knowledge of the classroom and the knowledge of the college world. This is difficult now because of the limited nature of Drew social experience and the esoteric, technical orientation of much of the academic life. Both an expansion of the Drew social experience and the entrance of a student hand in course formation and tenure determination are called for. Mr. Barnett seems to agree with me on these ends but we obviously disagree on method. He talks vaguely of "student participation on the departmental level." I talk of a Student Educational Policy Committee which has the power to present proposals to the faculty; students acting through departments may find themselves in a morass of committees and overworked, faculty members. A strong SEPC can serve as an expediter.

Well, I really haven't said that much about my own platform. I have proposed a search and seizure policy which protects the constitutional rights of students; I have proposed a drug policy consisting of a student code, a drug education and counseling committee, and an active desire to expedite consideration of the complaints of discontented students. I have

proposed dorm autonomy on open house curfew, and pet regulations so that the students feel that they are living under rules that they have made. I have researched the legal issues of having a pub which could serve 18 year-olds. Mr. Barnett's first leaflet was an obvious misrepresentation of my platform in that his leaflet left out well over half of it in order to contrast my "meagre" platform with his sweeping platform. A clever political maneuver, no doubt, but one which strains the bounds of honesty. Also, Mr. Little and I have drawn up a debate-question and answer schedule which we invite Mr. Barnett to join. Write-in candidates are historically not invited to debates; however, the strength and seriousness of Mr. Barnett's candidacy and the fact that Mr. Barnett can only run as a write-in suggest to me that Mr. Barnett should be part of the debates. However, Mr. Little and I do not feel that Mr. Barnett can dictate to us the times, places, and modes of debate. We do appreciate the political subtlety of Mr. Barnett's challenge, however.

In conclusion, I believe that the student body is really in the market for leadership. They are looking for someone who has a sense of direction in social and academic affairs and who can meld and shape that direction into a coherent legislative program. I believe I can provide that leadership and I ask for your vote basically on that ground.

Mr. Barnett's platform, continued

It must be recognized that academics is the primary function of the University. The anxiety and apathy fostered by problems in academic capacities necessarily reduces the quality of the student's social perspective. We concentrate on academics first for this reason.

SOCIAL: In order to alleviate the present inadequacies in the social structure S.G. will do the following.

A. The S.G. will direct the Board of Trustees to transfer the funding for athletic coaches and other academically

oriented expenditures from the ECAC budget to the University budget. Concurrent with this this affirmation of S.G.'s right to a majority of ECAC.

B. In conjunction with Vice President Pepin, S.G. will take a leading role in finalizing the plans for ground breaking THIS SEMESTER on the new dining hall.

1. Cooperation between the Grad. school, Theological school, and the CLA to insure proper landscaping (save the trees, grass and paths).

2. Consultation with the University architect with regard to refurbishing the

U.C.; DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION of a pub by spring 1971.

C. To alleviate the inability of the Soc. Committee to meet VARIED entertainment request we will allocate 20-30% of the Soc. Comm. budget to the dormitories. The use of this money would be determined by the governing bodies of each dormitory.

STRUCTURE: My opponents and I agree that there is a lack of student autonomy. They contend that in order to achieve the necessary autonomy the student body must dissolve student government and

secede from the University structure thus creating an independent S.G. This we believe to be fallacious. The answers lie not in the Little-Ingolia proposal, because the faculty does not itself have the power to grant what we want. It will be necessary to change the By-Laws of the Board of Trustees of the University. They are the only ones who can transfer the regulation of student life from the faculty to the students. Without the transference of these powers, the creation of an independent S.G. would leave us a "decimated entity."

Male freshman advisors

Relevancy, integration

by Paul Dezendorf—Male Frosh Advisor

After consideration of the role and problems of Freshman Orientation both this year and during the past three years I feel that the program needs changes in two areas.

These two areas have been considered in bits and pieces over the past three years and it is time to put the changes and suggestions together into a new and stronger Orientation Program. The first area of change is reducing the number of items in the program. The second is an integration and coordination of the Social Committee, the Acorn, and the Orientation Committee.

The number and length of speeches must be cut, "book discussion groups" should be kept out; the program must be simplified. This does not mean cutting the heart out of orientation. It means that Orientation should become more relevant to the incoming student. The size of the incoming class and the character of the incoming class has changed. The program has altered over the past years but the Orientation Program's changes have not kept pace with the changes in the people for whom the program is intended.

For the first time the Acorn this

fall published an Orientation Issue. As one of the persons who put the issue together I feel there is no reason why Drew can't offer the incoming class a coherent overview of the structure and functioning of the college.

The Social Committee candidates are willing to work with the Orientation Committee. Why can't the Social Committee start its program with the start of Orientation? The Social Committee now handles bus trips and films; why can't the Social Committee start the year with Orientation week?

The Orientation Committee should work with the Acorn, the Social Committee, with groups that have been "ignored" this year such as Hyera, and not just with Sycamore Cottage.

I have been a year-round resident of Drew since 1967. I have worked for or with every area of Drew which is a part, however small, of Orientation Week.

I don't think that Orientation can be drastically changed. I don't think it should be radically changed. But Orientation can be better coordinated, but integrated into campus life, and made more relevant for the class of '74.

Individualism into Drew

by Bruce Nilsen—Male Frosh Advisor (write-in)

(Preface:

I've been staring at this typewriter for over an hour now trying to figure out how one goes about selling oneself to the electorate as a write-in candidate for Male Frosh Advisor in next week's elections, but with all this mimeographed rhetorical overload crawling out of everywhere I find it increasingly difficult to formulate an objective statement regarding my candidacy for the aforementioned student government office.)

Orientation Week, coordinated by a committee of students from the College, performs a vital service to incoming members of the Drew Community; it serves to acclimate the new freshmen and transfer students to their new surroundings and thus prepare them for the many surprises to come. Unfortunately, over the past three years the college orientation program has become so stagnant that we've almost got a case of the blind leading the blind, so to speak. Orientation Week has degenerated into something akin to a social outing; one's first impressions will betray him later. (This year's

freshman class was acclaimed the best batch of brains Drew ever captured, yet first semester casualties were heavy.) It is my view that the orientation program, to be worthwhile, must trim its deadwood (they're doing it to the trees every year) and heed new student proposals concerning Orientation Week activities.

The rejuvenation of the orientation program is not invested in one person's hands, however, but in many; for this reason I endorse Cookie Kosakowski for Female Frosh Advisor. The Orientation Committee must have advisors dedicated to the same goals; Cookie and I are indeed pushing toward a common objective. In particular, I am determined to: 1) increase freshman-professor seminars, 2) de-emphasize force-feeding of class "identity" (it hinders accommodation of freshmen into the larger, unified student body), 3) prepare discussion groups directed at campus-related problems such as moral codes, drugs, individual goals, and environmental problems, and 4) "Expression Seminars" for musicians, artists, etc.

(David Bell is also a candidate—write-in—for male frosh advisor. His platform appears below with that of his ticket-mate, Janet Schotta.)

First priority: a new constitution

by David Little and Dennis Ingolia—President

Now that we have retained our right to run, we are seeking your support on the basis of our plan to form a new student government which we believe is essential. David Little and Peter Hoffman are on a Presidential task force which will write a code of conduct for the student body. It is inevitable that the final draft of this code will be revolutionary. It will declare that students have a right to formulate their own rules of conduct, and enforce these rules with the guiding principle that no one infringe upon the rights of others. This code of conduct will probably be rejected by the faculty on the basis that the student body is incapable of handling the responsibility for these new freedoms. The faculty could point to the College J-Board and the dorm j-boards saying, "We know you are not enforcing the rules. If you can't handle what autonomy and freedoms we have given you, how can you expect us to give you more?" Of course our retort will be that "we don't respect 'your' rules; we should be given the freedom to have this self-governing responsibility."

WE WILL NEVER ACHIEVE THAT FREEDOM UNDER OUR PRESENT

FORM OF STUDENT GOVERNMENT. And why not?

1. The constitution itself denies us this right. (Preamble, and Article II, section 4.B)

2. The faculty is convinced on the basis of what it sees and reads about student government that student government is not functioning and could never handle the responsibilities which now lie in the hands of the faculty.

3. The student body itself has lost faith in student government.

We are convinced that student government is in a whirlpool which will soon lead to its own destruction. If elected, we will put all our efforts into forming a new constitution which will go hand in hand with a new Code of Conduct. The latter will enumerate our freedoms and responsibilities, and the new constitution will be a workable mechanism for handling these freedoms and responsibilities. We feel this is a direct approach to the real problem which faces the student body today. Only if student government proves itself capable of efficiently taking charge of student social affairs, can it approach the faculty with student opinion on academic reform.

You will not see any high-pressure campaign from us, —no posters—no balloons —no slurs —no mud. We are leaving the balloons and posters —to the candidates who believe balloons and posters —and not ideas —win elections. We are standing on this one idea: we can promise you no reforms while there is no functional, effective system for achieving them. We want certain changes like everyone else, but we feel it is more important to set up a MEANS for achieving these changes —a new, EFFECTIVE student government.

The following is a short list of only a few of the inadequacies of our constitution.

1. Although it asserts that all legislative powers are vested in the senate, it gives legislative powers to the executive (III-3-C)

2. Complete inequity, monstrous loopholes, and utter confusion caused by wording of eligibility standards for Student Association office.

3. Power of the Senate is limited to self-regulation and recommendations to bodies that do have power.

4. Although Student Government budgets are required to have Senate approval, no time is specified. This year's

budget was not approved. (II-4-E)

5. No system for appointment of a new vice-president. (III-2-C)

6. The president is not required to make any appointments whatsoever.

7. Initiative, referendum and recall can be prohibited by executive fiat i.e.: by firing the attorney general.

8. Social Chairman has complete freedom of action.

9. No link between dorm constitutions and Student Association constitution.

10. No judicial statutes or guidelines. No checks or balances on J-board. According to this year's J-board interpretation:

1. Anyone, including Bob Smartt, Dr. Baker, Richard Nixon, Tom Quirk, or Daffy Duck can run and legally be elected president March 11.

2. David Little and Dennis Ingolia can not be nominated and placed on the ballot. But despite the J-board, our names WILL BE on Wednesday's ballot. When we started our campaign we had no idea that our attempt to be candidates would provide a good example of the real problem facing the student government.

DON'T VOTE FOR A NEW STUDENT GOVERNMENT—PRESIDENT — VOTE FOR A NEW STUDENT GOVERNMENT.

Power from the Trustees

by Cecilio Barnett and Harry Litwak—President (write-in)

It was Pope who wrote, "A little knowledge is a dangerous thing". In this campaign the platforms of my opponents have brought this statement to its optimum. We believe they have minimized many important issues and stressed one or two isolated points. Their undivided attention to their few favorite points threatens to lose student representation in the following areas which we believe

to be of paramount importance in the coming year.

Unlike past administrations we intend to concentrate our efforts by priority: (1) academics, (2) social and (3) structure.

ACADEMICS: A. Students should have an integral role in planning what is taught to them at Drew. We will re-evaluate existing courses and participate in the

formation of new ones concomitant with the formation of interdisciplinary seminars.

B. With the advice and consent of the student body we will demand 4-1-4, final exams before Christmas, and the replacement of comprehensives with 1. senior seminar, 2. a thesis paper, 3. a research project. This will be accomplished by the VOTING RIGHTS accorded to us by

the faculty on the FACULTY EDUCATIONAL POLICY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE.

C. We will exercise and formalize the existing RIGHT to make recommendation to the faculty on tenure and promotion and continued participation in interviews of prospective faculty members and recommendations thereon.

platform continued next page

Change from 'give' to 'take'

by Helena Kosakowski—Female Frosh Advisor

In seeking the position of Frosh Advisor I have run into two pertinent and straightforward questions: 1) what is a Frosh Advisor, and 2) what is the combined function of the Frosh Advisors and the Orientation Committee? My personal reply to these questions is a brief resume of what I would like to see entailed in the position of Frosh Advisor and Orientation Committee if I am elected.

First of all the Frosh Advisor's title should be taken a bit more literally. The position is an organizational, not political one, and in "advising" not only the freshmen but also the Orientation Committee, emphasis should be placed on straightforward answers. Keeping in mind that the incoming Frosh are on a sophistication level that is equivalent to at least that of the majority of upperclassmen, the Orientation program should be void of a "summer camp" atmosphere. The Frosh Advisors and the Orientation Committee are not camp counselors. Socialization is a fine process, but by the age of seventeen or eighteen years this process should be well enough on its way in an individual so that it can be carried on spontaneously. A major objection against past Orientation Committees may be brought to bear here, in that the Orientation Committee is not a function of the Social Committee.

By presenting Frosh with five days of fun and games many misconceptions may develop. Herein lies the root of most of the objections to Drew's social life—as freshmen we have been conditioned to anticipate parties and dances, and after the first week at Drew

we became disillusioned because these events were not scheduled for us as such.

In emphasizing spontaneity the Orientation Committee will have to abolish such requirements as a "suggested" reading list and participation in any of the activities which the committee may sponsor during the week. At first this may appear to be self-defeating on the part of the committee, but I feel that if the Frosh are offered enough alternatives, particularly in the realm of academic stimulation, they will take the "bait" and yield towards spontaneity and creativity at Drew. This can also perhaps be achieved by more intense and direct faculty participation in the Orientation program. The most basic point I would like to stress is a reversal in the Orientation committee's approach from a "giving" to a "taking" one. That is, the freshmen should be made to realize that the Orientation Committee is not in a position to give them a good time for a week, but to offer straight answers about what they can expect from Drew. Besides, the freshmen should anticipate being at Drew for four years, and had better start deciding what they can give to us.

Unfortunately, this election is not held on a "ticket" basis. However, it would be almost impossible for me to elaborate on any ideas for reform in the Orientation Committee if I did not have someone in mind whom I could work with effectively and agree with wholeheartedly on all of the issues at hand. Therefore, I would like to endorse Bruce Nilsen as a write-in candidate for Male Frosh Advisor.

Informality, flexibility

by Janet Schotta—Female Frosh Advisor

and Dave Bell—Male Frosh Advisor (write-in)

Upon deliberating your choice for next year's Frosh Advisors, A NEED FOR CHANGE should be foremost in your mind. This year's Orientation program was found to be insufficient in meeting the needs of the newcomers.

As the entering freshmen become a more and more sophisticated and independent group, so must the Orientation program be revised in order to be relevant for them. It is our plan to give Orientation week a more relaxed atmosphere in which the students will have more time to meet each other and get settled in their surroundings. This would replace the rather ineffective games in which the object is to learn as many names as soon as possible. More "informal" activities which would rely on the spontaneity of the group should be allowed for. This is not to say that

the whole Orientation program will be structureless. Certainly many valuable traditions will remain, such as the New York City trip and the picnic — but, as a whole we would like to see more flexibility in the program. It must be able to adapt to the personalities of the incoming class. Previously, members of the O.C. have been restricted to a certain collegiate type of individual. We feel this must be remedied by a much more diverse group of students on the committee to insure easier adaptation for the freshmen.

If you feel as we do that Orientation Committee needs revision, then please support us in next Wednesday's election.

David Bell—Candidate for Male Frosh Advisor
Janet Schotta—Candidate for Female Frosh Advisor

(Platforms for Social Chairman appear on the next page.)

Platforms continued Social Chairman

Imaginative look at structure

by Don Orlando-Social Chairman
Having finished my first month as Assistant Social Chairman, I am becoming aware of the limitations and problems on encounters in trying to present a social program at Drew. It is from this vantage point, that of one involved in planning rather than content with criticizing, that I approach the office of Social Chairman.

Many areas of life at Drew will come under examination in the near future. This reevaluation of the varied aspects of student concerns must of course include a detailed and imaginative look at the social situation here. As Social Chairman, I would expect to take the lead in planning and executing programs that would most benefit and satisfy the community.

I have already attempted to ask for suggestions in this effort and the results of the recent poll have given rise to ideas which I hope to put into reality. Next week-end's jazz-coffee house concert will be the first of many free performances in such a setting by folk and jazz artists.

The showing of highly regarded films, like "La Dolce Vita" will take the place of films of lesser stature. I am looking into the possibility of charging admission to off-campus people. As practised this year, less frequent dances that feature top quality bands like "Wintergreen" and Boston's "Far Cry" will hopefully attract more people, and leave more money for other activities, such as the aforementioned

ed jazz concert, bus trips and dorm activities.

With the forthcoming change in regulations regarding alcohol on campus, I feel there are no reasons why the University with the assistance of the Social Committee, could not establish a pub of sorts, where students could socialize.

Along with the open house policy, this would augment planned activities and take away the "no place to go" aura that often hangs over the campus.

Concerts will remain and will bring to Madison top quality artists at low cost

(Richard Tait is also a write-in candidate for Social Chairman. Mr. Tait has been Social Committee Treasurer this year and has assisted in the program.)

to students, as demonstrated by the Tim Buckley concert (which cost a total of less than \$200 for over 500 Drew students). I feel concerts which are carefully managed and properly planned can be financially self-supporting.

In conclusion, things may be due for changing and I will not hesitate to try new and different activities. With the help of an interested and enthusiastic group of people under me, I will share the responsibilities and duties of examining, evaluating, and carrying out a viable and entertaining social program.

Letters To The Editor

K-K, thanks

Comments K-K

To the Editor:
I would like to say how much I admire and heartily support the effort of Drew students to establish an ongoing Martin Luther King-Robert Kennedy Scholarship Fund. As the chairman of the fund, Usha Vyasa and Joe Mayher, so aptly point out in their recent letter to the Drew community, both King and Kennedy died fighting for the ideal of educational equality—indeed, for equality in all areas. What better way, then, to commemorate their memories than to promote their ideal—our COMMON ideal—through concrete action. I am especially pleased that the goals of this scholarship fund are set not for some time in the distant future, but for here and now: Drew campus, 1970-71, and for the year after, and the year after that, etc. I would hope that this effort can go on

and on and on.
I would also like to commend the establishment of a special memorial contribution in the name of George Cox, whose sudden death saddened everyone. It is helpful to be able to make a gesture of remembrance.
Jacqueline Berke

Reply to Frerk

To the Editor:
In reply to Judie Frerk's letter published last week, I'll express my views on the subject of open-house, although I can't back them with a personal history of action taken to effect the changes I suggest.

Open-house violation, in my opinion, is an entry which shouldn't exist; were open-house 24 hours every day, it couldn't be violated. To me, this is an instance of student regulation outside of the faculty's and administration's (two separate bodies, who have individual distinction

and are not to be designated by the term "faculty-administration") function, as well as a regulation which unnecessarily curtails students' rights. Rights are what is involved, and insofar as a 24 hour open-house policy would not hurt anyone, I cannot justify its disallowance. As long as its participants respect the many others in whatever dorm they be, I see no valid reason for not having constant open-house, while I do see reasons for its inception.

"Problems" which could arise from a 24 hour open-house policy could all, I think, be resolved. One objection against its being is the possibility that then one could not openly walk down one's own dorm halls for fear of being seen, by one of the opposite sex, dressed in underwear, towel, or skin. While this need not occur all that often (lotsa effort to whip on that old bathrobe, no?), even if it does, so what? This situation wouldn't be sinful or even bad, and instead might help some move away from a prissy sense of values based on hiding one's anatomy, and in its place concern morality with items such as over-population, war, alienation, and the dignity of people.

Quiet-hours would have to be rigidly enforced. This is not always done now, at the expense of many students. College is primarily an academic experience, and insofar as a lack of enforced quiet hours allows, to quote Miss Frerk—"the general atmosphere here at Drew (which) can actually stifle learning" there is a wrong situation being perpetuated daily. As regards this issue I would not even allow dorm autonomy. Open-house and quiet-hours go hand in hand, and the rights of any individual for quietness as well as for 24 hour use of his room supercede any dorm majority decision overruling these rights (with the exceptions of the limited "noise time" or unquiet-hours—week endnights, half hour or hour on weekdays—and perhaps a suspension of total open-house during exam week so as to avoid this excuse for not studying).

I see anything less than 24 hour open-house as limiting the valid rights of one who wishes to use 24 hour open-house, while not forcing encouraging, or suggesting that those who don't should utilize this policy.

On this same score, where any

conflict exists between roommates regarding usage of their room, in those cases where the situation cannot be personally resolved by the two the decision must go in favor of the roommate wanting their room to the exclusion of people of the opposite sex. That is to say, no one should be forced to feel obligated to remove him or herself from his or her room so that his roommate can use the room for open-house purposes. Also, when either roommate wants his room rid of members of the opposing sex, this should be done despite objections of the other roommate. Constant conflicts could as a last resort be ended by a change in roommates, although one would hope some sort of accommodation could be reached by the conflictless. As I assume that security of the dorms would not be affected, I don't know of any other objections to a 24 hour open-house policy.

Drew I very much like, and feel its positive aspects easily outweigh its negative ones. The forthcoming changes concerning abolition of all curfew and the only restrictions on drinking being those of the State of New Jersey are changes for the better, and are appreciated. These show Drew not to be dogmatically against change. Drew still has what I consider existing wrongs, which can and ought to be corrected. Learning by fear and competition still is abundant despite a few teachers' changes, and along with a student judicial system which (I've been told) is so ineffective that students caught rifling a cigarette machine in one of the dorms last year got off virtually scott-free, present situations very much needing correction. Open-house and quiet-hours are two such issues.

Mike Silberman

Thanks to all

To the Editor:
I personally wish to express my thanks to the many students who worked in the mailroom with me since George Cox passed away.

Without your help I would never have gotten through these past weeks.

I especially want to thank John Cadwell for getting the Saturday mail out.

Mrs. Jean Kay

Mark Christopher

Drew vs. reality

To the People:
The two worst infirmities of Drew University are the following: The educational procedure still operates under the assumption that it can impart to students systematic bodies of knowledge to deal efficiently with the surrounding rational world. Yet the student later enters a world which is not rational and which sways with the social, interpersonal and ideological ramifications that are omitted from the traditional learning of mere fields of information. Second, the social structure of Drew is such that the days can too easily merge into weeks and the weeks into months wherein, amidst a repetition of daily routine, the student feels as if he were walking through slush. The former prepares students for a world which does not exist; the latter is pathetic.

The fact that more than half of us are neither happy nor content with our daily existence and are aware of it deem these infirmities unwarranted and unnecessary. But most individuals know this.

So why is it that so many function amidst a contagion of self-induced inferiority plainly showing anguish when trying to really be themselves? Perhaps it is that many persons cannot be what they really wish to be within the context of this educational establishment (which is the point).

As students are expected to be accountable for their activities at Drew, the faculty and administration are accountable for the development of a useful education. Observing the trite introductory courses (e.g. Intro to Rhetoric, et al), the hastily assembled and irrelevant multiple choice tests and the absence of real faculty-student communications, we may evaluate the faculty. The administration is incorrigible and living in the fifties; so it is senseless to be duped by their jive.

An infinite number of tactics exist. We can work with those who have not yet died of resignation while maintaining that unique attitude of mind that has been developed by the rising aspirations and achievements of the nation-wide free school movement. Let us face the issue.

Letters To The Editor

Support Barnett

To the Editor:
For those who may not have heard yet, I have been disqualified for the Presidential race by the Elections Committee. It seems I have too many credits to be eligible. But my candidacy did not involve just Cecilio and I, but also thirty people who have been directly involved with us in our fight for reform this year, as well as another thirty or so who have been indirectly involved. To prove that we as a group have oriented the body toward reform, one only need take note of the fact that all the candidates are running on reform platforms. Many of the people who are now calling for reforms are the same people who sat on the Senate last fall and declared that students were not qualified to make decisions on academic matters.

We realize that the new President can play a key role in achieving our goals. For this reason we are running Cecilio Barnett for President as a write-in candidate. As I explained in last week's Acorn, Cecilio and I have worked together all year. We have never disagreed on anything. Faculty members respect his clarity of thought and cool-headedness. More than once this year he has pushed his points through hostile faculty and administrative committees. His handling of Comp reform this year has been amazing. Chances are we will finally be rid of comps by the ends of this year, for which he deserves most of the credit. I have more respect for Cecilio than anyone else on campus. If you would have voted for me, you must vote for him.

In Harry Litwak we have a strong candidate for Vice-President. He has been helping us

out all year, especially on matters of social reform. Unlike the other two candidates he is well-known on campus and easily accessible. A person who has little time for nonsense in Student Government, perhaps he can be the one to straighten out senate proceedings. He is a man everyone respects.

Because the Barnett-Litwak ticket is a write-in, you must write their names down on the ballot. As campaign manager, I have appointed representatives on every floor on campus to acquaint you with this situation. Feel free to approach them for information. Cecilio is trying to get to every dorm by Wednesday to answer questions.

Dave Confer

Remember 1969

To the Editor:
This year, as we once again face the trauma of Student Gov-

Barnett support

ernment Presidential and Vice-presidential elections, I am forced to bring back the memory of the many experiences associated with last year's elections. Most of us can recall the promises, the campaign gimmicks, and of course, the mud-slinging. It would be very saddening to see a similar display this year.

These past two semesters, under the Smartt Administration, using the term in its loosest sense have been the most frightening and disheartening I have experienced in the course of my collegiate career. The political scene here has been a disgrace and can leave any concerned student with little more than shame.

I urge all members of the College of Liberal Arts to take the time and effort to investigate the platforms of the candidates, and after doing so, evaluate their feasibility, possibility of enactment, and their intrinsic benefit to the entire community. Don't let yourselves be swayed again this year by superficial eloquence or by mere emotions. This election isn't a game, but rather very serious to the future of your school. Let us not let ourselves get the shaft again this year by electing a president who will make a fiasco of our student government. This year, as last, Student Government doesn't have to be a joke, if we all take the responsibility to make an intelligent, rational choice. If we blow it again this year, in the light of

last year's experience, we will have only ourselves to blame.

Respectfully submitted,
Eric R. Nahm

What's SEPC?

To the Editor:
Like most Drew students I've been too apathetic to see how my education is being run. I know there exists a Student Educational Policy Committee whose concern is "Educational policy" such as the 4-1-4 plan. I also know that it meets with a faculty committee on educational policy. But beyond this, I don't know how it operates or what power it has. I would appreciate it if the candidates would clarify these matters since I assume they will be talking about them.

George Morton

Staff positions now open
for Spanish language edition
of the Acorn.

* New location *
* In the heart of Madison *
* Druback Florist *
* FINE FLOWERS *
* DISTINCTIVE GIFTS *
* 80 MAIN STREET *
* FRONTIER 7-5454 *

Marsden on rock

Fleetwood Mac cont.

It's very tempting to write a bit about the new Mountain LP, but we get the impression that once more column entitled "No Hype" would set some people off on a hate mail campaign. Of course, as was the case with our other concert guests on whom we've reported, namely John Mayall and Jethro Tull, we wouldn't have to resort to the hype—good artists don't need it. So in case you haven't heard, Drew's next show (overlooking so much as possible April—and let's see how many Drew students you get, Fred) will be May 2, featuring Mountain, and in case you haven't heard of Mountain, their newest and 2nd album has just been released, is called "Climbing", and is an unqualified success.

Since the contribution last week was running a little long by the time we got to Fleetwood Mac's latest recording venture, we resume our praise of this English pop-blues group here. The Mac's finest LP is the one with the streetly looking chick with the incredibly long and wide-open mouth—certainly one of the industry's ugliest jackets. The new one, called "Then Play On", has a painting of a guy on a horse—but no writing. So you probably saw it and didn't know who did it. That's one possibility why it's not more widely known. It should be, because it's one very good album. The group can do a lot of things well, and most of them are present in the dozen fairly lengthy cuts. Like playing very very together, and then playing very far apart. And playing soft and light, and then play hard and heavy. Like playing simple country sounding stuff, and then playing stuff far from simple and country sounding.

Which is not to say that they are having trouble finding what they want to do. You get the feeling that perhaps Mick Fleetwood likes the instrumental jams that roll and tumble, and Peter Green likes to cut loose once in a while, and Danny Kirwan likes the soft ones. And since the three contribute equally to the final effect they must do a degree of log rolling to fit it all on one disk. They

definitely know what they want to do, but it's more than one thing. Oh yeah, the little guy who hides behind the piano or the vee-shaped guitar sings a couple of out-of-sight songs too. Although we've had the record for three months, it was mainly a one-sided venture until last week. Side one has about as much as you could expect from one group on an album, so we never thought too much about the possibility of side two. In fact it took a month just to get past the first two cuts, "Coming Your Way" and "Chasing my Eyes."

Side one is really very good, and, what do you know, side two isn't half bad either. Fleetwood should get more recognition as a drummer and Peter Green can make his guitar ring like those little bells the choir boys play.

And sound like old Santo and Johnny. Try "Closing My Eyes" again, and "My Dream" if you don't remember S and J's "Sleep Walk."

As a matter of fact, for Saturday night, try Mountain's "Climbing" (no hype) and for Sunday, Fleetwood Mac's "Then Play On."

the
VILLAGE
SHOP
Wedgewood, Lenox Artware
Waterford Glass
Jewelry
WE MAIL
43 Main St. Madison

Scented
soaps
and
candles
pottery
glass
candy
And Such
Phone 377-7739 72 Main Street, Madison

Get in the Picture
EVERYTHING PHOTOGRAPHIC
DISCOUNT RECORD AND
STEREO TAPE DEPARTMENT
ALSO TAPE RECORDERS
Madison Photo Shop
48 Main Street
Madison 377-0522

Community Service Station
Mobil
THANKS, COME AGAIN.
COMPLETE CAR CARE
Motor Tune Up
Brakes
Mufflers
Shocks
Electrical Troubles
Wheel Alignment
Cor. Kings and Green Village Rd., Madison
Road Service 377-9774

THEY'RE TODAY. BELLS FROM
36. AND RECORDS, \$3.90
MEDIA-1-STOP
24 S. PARK PL.
MORRISTOWN

Saga may investigate US

To the Editor:
Zits. Yeah, think about 'em. Damned pimples. Everybody hates zits. You know how sneaky they are; sometimes they'll hold back so you can't really tell if ya got one comin' or not so then ya rack out thinkin' that, nah, YOU ain't gonna get one but ya wake up the next day and find yer face has been invaded by zits and they're ugly and cause diseases in the personality and they insult yer image so now they're the enemy and yer face is the battlefield and its YOUR DUTY TO WIPE 'EM OUT. It's them against you, man, so ya show 'em no mercy 'n blast 'em all over the bathroom mirror, reaping righteous vengeance upon the blasphemous blemish so now ya truck on down to the cafeteria thinkin' that, nah, YOU ain't gonna get one...

Cafeteria. Yeah, think about it. Damned Saga Food Service. Everybody hates Saga. You know how bad it is; here we are payin' \$580 for meals and we gotta settle for THAT CRAP? Man, them cats runnin' that food service just don't give a damn about us students; they're always outta roast beef 'n wasn't that served LAST night 'n don't they got any forks 'n spoons around here 'n why can't we get seconds EVERY Saturday night 'n boy their management staff must be incompetent 'n no punk headwaiter's gonna tell ME when to bring up MY tray 'n they serve better food at the "Nest" 'n what's a "gourmet casserole" 'n other food services must be better so its OUR DUTY TO INVESTIGATE 'CAUSE WE AIN'T GONNA PUT UP WITH THIS GARBAGE NO MORE...

And thus began the perennial uprising of that ephemeral entourage of exasperated matriculants known as "Students Sick of Saga Food," or "SSSF" for short (but not for long).

Due to the enthusiastic campaigning of its charismatic Gentry leaders, the current student revolution intent on overthrowing the Saga Dynasty has registered nearly 500 signatures of boarding students supporting the plan to form a senate committee to INVESTIGATE THEM, Saga! Now I ask you, all of you who support this investigation: ARE YOU FOR REAL? Better watch out 'cause Saga just might form an investigation committee directed at US. Are Drew students the good guys and Saga the bad guys? Is it really them against us?

As Ken Kesey's friend the Crow Farmer said, "That's really a non-productive way of thinking, 'them' and 'us.' And it's a bore, too," (To be continued someday)

Bruce Nilsen

Cheerleaders, despite anonymity, continue to support teams

by Martha Millard

Although relatively unknown to many students at Drew, the seven-member cheerleading squad is always in evidence at soccer and basketball games, leading support to the efforts of the Drew teams with pep and enthusiasm.

The squad is captained by Janet Schotta (71) and the members include Donna Twohey (70), Peggy Murray (72), Sue Port (72), Carol Cassella (72), Carol McLaurin (73), and Janice Maruyama (73). Faculty advisor is Mrs. Eleanor Masen of the Phys. Ed. Dept. There is room for several other girls on the squad and any girl who is interested in cheering for Drew, especially those who were cheerleaders in high school, is encouraged to try out.

The most exciting event for the cheerleaders this year was their participation over Thanksgiving in the NAIA soccer tournament at Earlham College in Indiana. Invited on the trip with the Rangers, this jaunt was the first overnight trip for the girls. Their appreciation was expressed especially to Mr. John Reeves, Director of Athletics, who extended the invitation to attend. This trip prompted one cheerleader to remark that it "was one of the times that we felt necessary and wanted. That's unusual at Drew!"

The attitude of some students towards the cheerleaders indeed seems to be one that the whole idea of a cheerleading squad as



The cheerleaders, left to right: Carol McLaurin, Peggy Murray, Janice Maruyama, Sue Port, Donna Twohey, Ruth Conover, Janet Schotta, Cyndee Walters. Miss Conover and Miss Walters are currently on off-campus programs, and Carol Cassella has joined the group.

being a farce. "People say they want involvement," commented one student, "but when it comes to actual participation, they just don't turn out."

However, while cheerleading isn't an earthshattering concern, it does help by promoting internal spirit and helping foster an interest in Drew by people outside the Drew community.

Since, at best, there seems to be general interest in sports only as long as the team is a winner, the girls feel they help encourage the team with bright hopeful faces, yells of encouragement, and the presence of their green-and-gold selves whether the outcome looks like it will be an easy win or a sure loss.

When asked about important qualities of a good cheerleading squad, Donna Twohey, the lone senior on the squad, replied that the first requirement was a responsive crowd, and also lots of enthusiasm and practice.

The girls now hold weekly practice sessions, but back in September often held three or more. Now the routines are well-known by all the members and less practice is necessary.

A concluding thought, relevant to other aspects of life besides cheerleading, was expressed by one of the girls: it's a lot easier to do well if you know other people are behind you.

Chambers Brothers excel, but show has to be cut short

by Ken Schulman

Friday evening, seven o'clock show. The Felt Forum, in the Madison Square Garden complex. Performing: Ten Wheel Drive, Rhinoceros, The Chambers Brothers. An Adventure II production.

Ten Wheel Drive. Five on brass, two on guitar, one on drums, one on organ, and Genya Raven with harmonica, tambourine, vocals and some sex drive. Combine a near-to-nothing performance with an unresponsive audience, and Ten Wheel Drive's set marked the first failure of the evening. Except for "Eye of the Needle," a brassy, heavy hit with one of Miss Raven's few good vocal parts, the group's funky, soul, blues combination couldn't move the crowd at all. It was the group's own fault that more people were clapping for Ten Wheel Drive's departure than for its performance when the set was over.

Rhinoceros. Yes, the same name that appeared at Drew last March, but, no, not the same group. There are now six members to this new sound, including a new lead guitarist, a

new drummer, and a new organist. Rhinoceros' successful performance caused some concern; there was some question whether or not The Chambers Brothers would play. After a lengthy set, which included "Top of the Ladder," and other recent cuts, the group came back for a rousing encore of "I Need Love," and "Apricot Brandy," two earlier hits. Rhino's performance made up for Ten Wheel Drive's lack of one.

The Chambers Brother. Unbelievable. Fabulous... and other cliches, all of which are still too nebulous to describe this group. "Let's Do It," "Time Has Come Today," "Love, Peace, and Happiness," and "I Can't Turn You Loose," not only brought the crowd to its feet but pressing up against the stage as well. The heavy, beating sound reverberated the Forum, and at the set's end, caused the crowd to erupt into screaming chants of "More" and penetrating clapping. But The Chambers Brothers, who had yet another show, were forced to leave. And that was the concert's second failure.

WE INVITE YOU...

to

RELAX in our smart casual styles for men

KURTZ MEN'S SHOP

Locker Room

Flares · bells · jeans · slacks by Levi & Farah

Wallace Beery-look sport coats

double breasted

surfer

swim trunks

Locker Room
COOK PLAZA
MADISON

Opportunities

to work with deprived youngsters from New York metropolitan area in summer camping situation. Boy's Athletic League and Girl's Vacation fund camps located in Bear Mountain area and East Windham, New York.

Openings for men and women college students in pioneering, arts & crafts, drama, music, Indian lore, waterfront, hiking, nature, general camping skills, R.N., and office-driver.

Contact: MRS. PETERSON 822-0483 EVENINGS,
OR WRITE: NO. H-30
25 HICKORY PLACE
CHATHAM, NEW JERSEY 07928

UP AND LETS GO

FOR ALL YOUR TRAVEL NEEDS

CONTACT

Welcome Aboard

VACATION CENTER
580 MAIN STREET • EAST ORANGE, N. J. 07018
(201) 687-5607 607

Excellent Travel Bargains available

STUDENT TOURS TO EUROPE

Bermuda, Caribbean, Western, U.S., Hawaii

Call or write for information

Pocatello epitaph

Tom Ward

A steady Idaho rain pattered on the tar-paper dugout at Pocatello Stadium. Pete Pelouski, 41-year-old pitcher for the Pocatello Pirates, stood in the cold dugout and watched the tiny streams the rain formed in the soft clay in front of the tar-paper structure. Pelouski looked longingly at the pitcher's mound, now a veritable sea of mud, and his face became moist. It was not the rain; he was crying. The veteran pitcher sat on the hard pine bench, escaping to a world he had loved and could never reach again. A self-sentence to a private Hell.

Pelouski opened his eyes. He saw sunlight, he heard people cheering. He noticed the ballpark had bright green fences. He detected the scraping of spike shoes on the floor of the concrete dugout. He looked onto the field and saw the Indians taking the field. He saw Williams at bat with Rummels leading off first.

"Wanna chaw?" said a voice beside him. "G'wan, Bud," grinned Pete. "I gotta 'nuff problems without havin' to look like a cowl B'sides, I'm a gum man, anyway."

"Rutlan!" Buddin laughed as he drew back his Red Man. "Catch!" yelled Jackson as he tossed some gum to Pete. Pete thanked the tall first baseman and started to chomp on the Doublemint. As he turned to chuck the wrapper in the trash bin, Billy Jurgens summoned Pelouski to warm up for the next inning. Pete yanked his glove from the wooden peg above him and jogged to the Fenway Park bullpen area. Russ Nixon, doing the bullpen gig for this game, warmed up with the fireballing southpaw. Williams fled out to Al Smith and the Indians ran in to their dugout. Pete threw a little faster now.

As Pelouski ambled from the bullpen to the mound, he rubbed his left elbow and winced a bit. It had been a busy year for Pete; he had been in 34 games already and it wasn't even mid-season yet. His 8-1 record was surely impressive but the strain it put on his arm was excessive. A 30-year-old rookie, Pete was glad to be pitching in the majors after ten years in the bushes.

The first batter, Colavito, dug into the dirt in the batter's box. Pete gritting his teeth, tried to break his "table-top" curve over the plate. Instead of curving, the ball soared over the catcher's head, clearing Pelouski's battery-mate by at least ten feet. Pete felt a bolt of pain shoot up his left arm.

"You okay, Ski?" asked the catcher on his way to the mound. "Sure I am, dammit, now go back an' catch!" retorted the irritated pitcher.

The next pitch Pete threw was right down the middle. Colavito, expecting another curve, swung late but made contact. The ball tore along forty feet before Pete could react. A sickening thud echoed through Fenway and Pete slowly sank to his knees, tried to get up, then collapsed completely. It was the end of the road in the majors for him; sore-armed and bad vision, Pete was sent back to Pocatello.

The rain washed along the wooden fences in the outfield and swept across the patchy grass. Pete got up from the bench and looked at the scene. He began to think of the four times he had been invited to spring training by the Red Sox and of the four times he searched the roster frantically on "cut" day. Several times he would run his finger down the typed roster sheet, never to find Pelouski.

A dream of ten years before was Pete. A brief romance with the "big time". But that WAS ten years ago in Boston. He was famous then, but the fans had forgotten. They probably didn't even notice the wire story concerning the minor leaguer who had hung himself in a ballpark in Pocatello.

MADISON PHARMACY
66 Main St.
WE DELIVER
377-0075 - 0076

New location
In the heart of Madison

Druback Florist
FINE FLOWERS
DISTINCTIVE GIFTS
80 MAIN STREET
FRONTIER 7-5454

For your EVERY Banking Need

TENB
TRUST COMPANY
NATIONAL BANK
2 Waverly Place
Madison Plaza
Madison 377-3600
Member F.D.I.C.

Gerlach's Jewelers
SERVING MADISON AREA FOR 32 YEARS
DIAMONDS SILVERWARE LENOX CHINA
OMEGA-HAMILTON WATCHES
Watch and Jewelry Repairing
611 MAIN ST. 377-0767 MADISON

participation

Vote!

General election **hoffman-johnson**

March 11

A&E TELEVISION SERVICE

Radio, Phon. TV,
Tape Recorders

24 MAIN ST. FR7-7702

THE BAND

SAT. MAR. 7
8 P.M.
Viking Hall
UPSALA COLLEGE
East Orange, N.J.
All Tickets \$5.50

ADVANCE TICKET SALES

By Mail: To Ticket Dept.,
Upsala
Baltimore's All Stars
Hollywood State College
(Student Activities Office)

Madison Photo Shop -
Madison
Schorn Boutique -
Madison
Viking Hall - Mar.-Fri.,
8-10 Upsala

For information
Call
266-7000

Kersey, Hudak continue to shine in two losses, then 57-56 win

(Cont. from p. 1)

teams proved evenly matched, and often traded baskets. Hudak and Kersey accounted for 21 of the team's first half points.

Yeshiva started to pull away in the second half, taking a five-point edge at 32-27 and stretching it to 40-32 with 11:40 remaining to play. Drew was tiring, and had lost two turnovers in succession when Schober entered the game, replacing Bob Burger.

With ten minutes to play Yeshiva led 41-35, but in two minutes Drew was up to a tie, a spectacular layup by Schober pulling the Rangers even.

Inspired by a home crowd of over 200 people, Drew began to control the boards and increased the margin to 50-45 with 4:46 to go.

Yeshiva came back, and the margin was down to two points, 53-51, with two minutes to play. Yeshiva then began fouling, and although the Ranger free throw percentage was poor, the visitors couldn't catch up.

The best chance for Yeshiva came with 36 seconds to play, when Perl missed a free throw which would have tied the game at 55-51.

Instead, Hudak got the rebound, and after an exchange of turnovers, Schober sank a pair of free throws to make it 57-54 and put it out of reach.

Hudak and Kersey hit well all night, ending up with 21 and 23 points respectively. Schober got eight while in the game. Schwartz and Kopech played solid games, and with nobody departing from the team next year, there should be more victories for the deserving Drew squad.

Drew lost the game on rebounds, as they were completely outclassed by Haverford's strong big men. The Rangers did not make a big impression defensively, drawing too many fouls and not keeping close pace with their assigned men. Offensively, Drew had only two good periods, at the ends of both halves. The rest of the time Haverford was

Haverford

by Henry Selvin

An aggressive squad from Haverford handed Drew another tough loss Saturday afternoon at Baldwin Gym. The 64-47 setback put Drew in last place in the Middle Atlantic Conference. The Rangers simply lacked hustle on defense and offense.

Haverford took an early lead as Drew floundered on defense. With about ten minutes left in the period, the score read 16-7. Drew was not aggressive enough on the boards, giving Haverford too many extra rebounds. Offensively only Hudak was hitting well for Drew. With six minutes to go Drew made a comeback and a beautiful set-up play from Schwartz to Kersey reduced the deficit to five at 24-19.

As the buzzer sounded Bob Kopech hit a long outside jumper to make the score 30-24 for the visitors.

Drew stayed fairly close at the beginning of the second half as Haverford started to get sloppy, giving the ball away to Drew or fouling the Rangers. Then Drew started to do the same and Haverford gained a comfortable lead at 47-32 with 9:24 left to play. With about six minutes remaining in the game Drew started to snap out of its cold spell. A basket by Hudak made the score 53-41 and Kersey followed with a nice shot from the corner. With only 2:21 left several lay-ups by Kersey and Hudak brought the home crowd to the edge of their seats. Hudak scored the last point for Drew to make it 60-47, but took advantage of another turnover to win the contest 64-47.

Drew lost the game on rebounds, as they were completely outclassed by Haverford's strong big men. The Rangers did not make a big impression defensively, drawing too many fouls and not keeping close pace with their assigned men. Offensively, Drew had only two good periods, at the ends of both halves. The rest of the time Haverford was

capitalizing on turnovers and fast breaks.

John Hudak had won his best games of the season tallying 22 points. Chris Kersey, Drew's leading scorer, had 17. Drew improved from the line in this contest with a free throw percentage of 60%. The victory was Haverford's fifth against 14 losses.

	FG	FT	TOT.
Kersey	9	1	17
Hudak	9	4	22
Schwartz	0	0	0
Kopech	1	1	3
Schober	1	3	5
	19	9	47

Lycoming

Playing their last away game of the season Drew fell to conference power Lycoming last week, 85 - 59 in Williamsport, Pennsylvania. Lycoming had a tremendous height advantage in the contest which was played on a small, uncomfortable court. Falling behind 42-24 at the half, Drew was completely outclassed man for man by Lycoming. Chris Kersey played the best game of his career and one of the best in Drew's history, netting 35 points, including sixteen field goals.

	FG	FT	TOT.
Kersey	16	3	35
Hudak	1	4	6
Schober	2	4	8
Schwartz	1	0	2
Kopech	0	4	4
Burger	1	2	4
	21	17	59

Sportswriter needed

The ACORN needs a person who is willing to help cover and write on sports during the spring season. Anybody interested please contact:

Henry Selvin
Sports Staff
Tolley Hall Room 203

Madison Yarn Shop
Needlepoint and Crochet
Ribbon - Buttons
Trimings
40 KINGS ROAD MADISON

Fencers edge Yeshiva for 5-8; Stratton leads improved year

Splitting its last two matches, the Drew varsity fencing squad finished a vastly improved season with a 5-8 slate. Last year's overall record was 2-7.

Behind three-win performances by Mike Silberman, Dick Stratton and Ted Babbitt, the Green Giants eked out a 14-13 victory over Yeshiva in an away contest last Thursday. Despite losing 7-2 in the sabre division, the fencers managed to rally with two 6-3 wins in both the foil and epee divisions to capture their fifth win of the season.

Brooklyn Poly topped the fencers, 20-7, in the last contest of the regular season last Saturday in the gym. The Green Giants bowed in the epee and sabre divisions, 7-2, and 6-3 in the foil. Silberman and Stratton managed 2-1 records for the day.

Leading the squad this season was sophomore Dick Stratton, who compiled an impressive 25-9 slate for the year.

Sporting the other winning records were Ed Lui, 10-5, who went abroad for the second semester, Silberman, 27-10, and Bruce Rahter, 20-19. Other records include: Ken Duchin, 3-25; Chris Ehmann, 1-11; Tim Troll, 7-22; Babbitt, 17-18; Harold Gordon, 4-12; Tom Holland, 8-20;

Loren Mayer, 18-20; Chris Bretschneider, 14-18; and Jeff Kellam, 2-6.

The Green Giants will be losing only Gordon and Rahter to graduation. Everyone else from this year's squad is expected to return and, with some new freshmen talent, once again restore the fencers to a winning slate.

Social, educational Faculty club proposal out

A memorandum has recently circulated among the faculty and administration proposing a University Faculty Club, stating that "there is a clear need for a place where members of faculty and administration can meet in commodious, relaxed, and informal (conditions)."

Any "former residence building" with central location was termed desirable for such a club.

There would be in addition a number of dormitory rooms available there for guests.

The purpose of the proposed club would be both social and educational, allowing faculty and administration to meet among themselves and with guests "in a place removed from the noise and interruption of dormitory life."

Nine scheduled March recruiting visits set

Dr. C.O. Delagarza, Placement Center director, announces the following companies will send representatives to recruit on campus during the month of March. Seniors may stop by the Placement Center, BC 109, and make appointments with those company representatives to whom they wish to speak.

Dr. Delagarza suggests that if seniors have not already done so, they obtain resume forms to be completed and filed in their placement folder.

Date of Visit
March 9
March 10
March 10

March 11
March 13
March 13
March 16
March 17
March 18

Recruiter
People's Trust
Aetna Life Insurance
Franklin Township Schools (tentative)
Stern Brothers
Boy Scouts
Sandoz
Prudential Life Insurance
Allstate Insurance Company
Marsh and McLennan

Income tax service available

Income tax preparation service for Drew students has been contracted by Circle K. It is available to all students for \$5.00. Standard, non-itemized 1040 forms will be filled by a pro-

fessional on campus in about 15 minutes in the evening.

Anyone interested in this service should contact Ron Calabrese through campus mail or in Tolley 302.

Bottle Hill Beauty Salon
4 GREEN VILLAGE ROAD
MADISON, N.J.



Campus Shell

M.C.A.

COMPLETE AUTOMOTIVE
SERVICE
TEL. 377-9855 - 2035

ROAD SERVICE,
FREE PICK UP
& DELIVERY

ROAD SERVICE CLUB

2 purchases a month
Road service free
anywhere in Madison

CON. 11155 RD. & GREEN VILLAGE RD.
MADISON, N.J. 07940

Rose City
Jewelers

LONGINES
BULOVA
SPEIDEL
TIMEX

watch & jewelry
repairs

cor. Waverly & Main
PHONE 377-2145

BANK OF AMERICA
MASTER CHARGE
UNICARD



A career with a social conscience: an explanation and an invitation to career-seeking graduates.

What's a career with a social conscience?

Quite simply, one that offers responsibility and challenge on the one hand. And social involvement and commitment on the other.

One that can be financially satisfying without sacrificing emotional values.

One where progress is based on performance and merit.

In short, the kind of rewarding career that a company like Prudential offers you.

Our basic business, of course, is to protect the basic unit of society—the family. But we've extended our social involvement to helping fight urban decay and unemployment.

By investing in new businesses and new factories.

By providing funds for low-cost housing. And, in addition, our people provide the leadership required to build hospitals, colleges, and libraries.

In short, Prudential is concerned with not just life itself, but with the quality of life. This is the kind of company we are. And not by chance, but by choice.

If you're a socially-conscious graduate, we'd like to have you join us. In marketing, management, investments, applied computer technology, accounting, sales, or actuarial areas.

Drop into your college Placement Office. Find out when the Prudential representative is scheduled to visit your campus. Then make an appointment to talk to him about a career that offers both tangible and intangible rewards.

The Prudential Insurance Company of America



an equal opportunity employer