

A Tribute to a Black King

by Ken Thomas

Two weeks ago today, April 4, 1969, marked the first anniversary of the assassination of the Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King. Black Americans gathered together throughout the nation, holding memorial services to keep alive the memory of their fallen leader. In Memphis, Tennessee, the Reverend Ralph D. Abernathy, Dr. King's successor as president of SCLC, led thousands past the Lorraine Motel where the tragic incident occurred. Massachusetts Senator Edward Kennedy who unexpectedly arrived, addressed those who had assembled in front of the Motel in honor of Dr. King. Senator Kennedy was quoted as saying "For some this is a day of sorrow and despair, but for me it is a day of hope. Great men give their talent and lives that we may carry on. Today's crisis is tomorrow's opportunity."

Immediately after Dr. King's death last year, violence erupted throughout several American cities.

White America used the assassination as the reason for the civil disorders. I disagree. Dr. King's death did not cause the riots, the cause was already there. The assassination only triggered it. Poverty, unemployment, and racial discrimination existed in our cities before Dr. King's death and they still do. Blacks are still twice as unemployed as Whites.

Several of the riot-torn cities have done little to erase the scars of violence. For example, in Washington, D.C., many of the houses have not been rebuilt since the civil disorders occurred. There has been talk of Model Cities, and Urban Renewal projects. This alone cannot solve the problem. Blacks fear urban renewal because it means moving out—but to where? Dr. King and SCLC tried to come to grips with these problems. The Poor People's Campaign was an attempt to appeal to White America to feed its hungry, alleviate her poverty, unemployment and discrimination.

Martin Luther King came not to destroy America, but to make life better for all mankind. Things he tried to achieve in life were brought about by death. Martin Luther King once had a dream, that all men, Black, White, Jew, and Gentile, could live in this complex society as brothers. On April 4, 1968, that dream became a nightmare. The nation's foremost apostle of non-violence became the victim of violence.

It has been a year since that assassination, and many of the details of that murder are yet to surface. It is now time to take stock, to see where we've come and where we are going. By no means did the Black Liberation movement end at Dr. King's death, but new incentive and inspiration were gained in our struggle to overcome the massive obstacles of racial discrimination. Martin Luther King's words of wisdom and kind deed for all will long be remembered. For truly this man was a King.

My political career
is ended --

Drew Acorn

Student Newspaper Of The College

in 15 minutes

Volume XLII No. 20

DREW UNIVERSITY, MADISON, NEW JERSEY

April 18, 1969

OPEN HOUSE VOTE THURSDAY

Story page 2

Students:

The Faculty held a meeting on Thursday, April 10th to vote on Open House regulations. Due to the length of the meeting, the faculty will continue discussion and vote in two weeks, on April 24th. The meeting's length was due to the lack of communication; the faculty has been unaware of certain administrative practices, for example spot-checking the dorms. So do yourself a favor: if you want open house badly enough, do some peaceful fighting!!! Talk to your professors, clue them in on what's up; invite them to Open House. Get their opinions; we're as uninformed as they are. We need some community spirit - their votes decide our rules. And a few minutes of everyone's part will help immensely. Or are we going to admit we're all dead?

Sign of the times...

The above was posted in a women's dorm this past week.

Faculty postpones first o-house vote

After hearing discussion among students and faculty on open house questions for nearly two and a half hours last Thursday, the faculty voted to adjourn and resume their consideration of a Student Concerns Committee open house extension proposal on April 24.

The proposal which was scheduled to be voted on last Thursday, and which will be taken up again next Thursday, contains the following points:

—Open house should be extended in men's dormitories from noon until upperclass women's curfew every day, in women's dormitories from noon until underclass women's curfew every day.

—There should be no proctors, no sign-in books, no require-

ments for placing signs on doors indicating participation, and no restrictions against locking doors.

Should the faculty approve the proposal as it is stated, the above rules will go into effect.

No one was willing to predict how the vote would go following Thursday's long faculty meeting and a student senate meeting afterward at which Dr. Julius Mastro, Chairman of the Student Concerns Committee and Dr. John Von DerHeide, Associate Professor of History, appeared and spoke.

It was felt that there was more opposition among the faculty to extending open house than there was to setting the original open house trial period, of five-day closed-door open house, last November. The trial period open house proposal passed "with no negative vote," although Associate Dean of Students Sue Orvik stated at a month and a half ago that "Myself and about a dozen others abstained because we didn't favor it."

Both outgoing SG President Ken Gates and incoming President Bob Smartt expressed "full support" for the proposed extension. "We feel open house has been a definite success," stated SG Vice President Ted Greenberg.

As required by the rules for the original trial period, which was to be for three months beginning last November 8, Gary Zwetckkenbaum's Student Discipline Committee put together an evaluation of open house. Their conclusion, based on polls, an open student body meeting, and proctor reports, was that "the open house program has been a successfully instituted program which has augmented the social life of Drew University without interfering with the academic life."

The report of the Discipline Committee further recommended: "We believe that many of the procedures involved in the trial period are now unnecessary." The committee recommended making the five-day open house policy permanent and eliminating proctor reports.

Students published a "rebuttal" statement, signed by the incoming and outgoing Presidents and Vice-Presidents, Ken Gates, Bob Smartt, Ted Greenberg, and George DeGirolamo.

In the meantime, some students expressed dissatisfaction with

ing proctor reports.

The second step for the evaluation was the Student Senate, which accepted the report with one addition: a recommendation that open house be extended to seven days, noon to curfew.

From there it went to Dr. Mastro's Faculty Committee on Student Concerns, where, after two long and heated sessions, the committee finally altered the report to recommend elimination of sign-ins, door restrictions, and proctors.

There were four "no" votes on the 10-member Student Concerns Committee to passing the final report.

The next step for the open house proposal, was the faculty, but before it got there a minor explosion hit. Students already nervous over the chances of the report being approved by the faculty heard of a report from Student Deans Alton Sawin and Sue Orvik to the faculty, asking that the proposal be voted down. (See separate story.)

Students published a "rebuttal" statement, signed by the incoming and outgoing Presidents and Vice-Presidents, Ken Gates, Bob Smartt, Ted Greenberg, and George DeGirolamo.

In the meantime, some students expressed dissatisfaction with

the Evaluation report and extension proposals.

Physics Professor John Ollom, who was to chair the April 10 faculty meeting in the absence of College Dean Richard Stonesifer, then invited several students to speak to the faculty on open house, hoping in this way "to hear a wider spectrum of student opinion than just from those directly involved with the question."

So, in addition to Bob Smartt, Ted Greenberg, and George DeGirolamo, five other students spoke to the faculty: Sunny Arthur, Paul Accetola, Frances Edwards, Tom Hughes, and Lynne Lillis. The two student groups spoke separately, then together, mostly answering faculty questions.

After the faculty had adjourned without a vote the student senate met, Greenberg began by stating what he felt had happened at the faculty meeting and that he felt the Deans' report to the faculty was unfair in several areas.

Dr. Mastro then explained the official status of the issue with the faculty (the discussion of the subject is to continue at the next meeting where it left off) and he and Dr. Von der Heide answered questions while declining to speculate on the eventual outcome.

Photography prizes awarded

"Without too much question," commented one veteran photographer, the current "Fourth Annual Drew Photo Exhibition" of the Drew photo Club in UC 107 rates as "the best photo show yet at Drew and one of the best exhibitions of the past several years."

In conjunction with the opening of the exhibition was an Academic Forum talk by David Eisengrath of the "Color Clinic"

column in Popular Photography and a well-established photographer and photography lecturer. His comments rated Drew's exhibition above most college shows he had seen. He also noted the variety of photographic techniques and styles.

Judging was done by Terry Koyce, Director of Photography for the Bell Telephone Laboratories. Winners, to be published in the Drew "Quarterly," were: Ted Babbitt, Randy Ferrara, Pete Forss, Lauck Parke, and Dot Roy.

All the work of developing and printing the shots, and the later work of mounting, cropping, and hanging was done by the club. Many of the shots were developed and printed by the individual photographers. A number of the exhibitors and one of the winners had not before this winter touched a camera.

The cost, approximately \$200, was absorbed by the University Center Board. The club now plans to remain uninvolved with ECAC. University Center Board head Mr. Morgan gave full cooperation and in Jerry Harmen's words "made the show in good part possible."

Money from the sale of prints goes in part to the club for a new enlarger which would handle color work for the darkroom complex located in the library. The rest of the money goes to the individual.

This year's show has six times as many contributors and five times as many prints as last year's show. The Photo Club this winter averaged 25-35 members per meeting. Each meeting was a practical session in some area of photography. (Show review, p. 6)

Saga manager resigns

Manager Stan Martin of Saga submitted his resignation the last week in March to take over another position in the food service industry. He has already transferred to the west. Mr. Charles Douglas, a Saga regional manager

is taking over in the interim before another full-time appointment is made.

Mr. Martin expressed regrets over submitting his resignation, stating that "this was an offer I just couldn't turn down."

Circle K to hold car wash

The Circle K Club will sponsor a car wash (cost: \$1.00) Sunday from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. behind the gym to raise money to pay for expenses to the August International Convention in Portland, Oregon.

Scheduled for next Thursday is a softball game between Circle K and Alpha Phi Omega. The game will be played at 5:30 on Young Field.

Newly elected Circle K officers are: President, Ron Calabrese; Vice-President, Rick Doran; treasurer, Mike Corbett; and secretary, Dan Jackivino.

Spectrum

Bassette leads Sloop show

by Brad Miner

The blues is being "big" in Auburn, Alabama; Fargo, North Dakota; and Barre, Massachusetts! For those that know John Bassette, a subtle change in the mind's chemistry was registered this past week that many weeks from now will read-out from Memory/REINFORCEMENT as John Bassette passed this way.

As John recalled Thursday night at Hayes House in "Alice's Restaurant - Part V Revisited" this episode began with a phone call from the "Reverend Hal". Inevitably the conversation turned to "how much?" "That much? huh!" Well, according to John, "Madison never did pay much, anyway." The prospects certainly appeared bleak at first, as John encountered Hal's German Shepherd at the door of Hayes House and a "crowd" of forty people at Grace Church on a rainy Thursday night. At 8:00 p.m. the typical remark was, "And I came a half hour early just to get a seat." As John said, "In show biz this is what is known as an intimate audience."

For the forty-fifth time, it was a memorable evening. Beginning and ending with Dina's song, "Together," John transcended the realm of the folk-song into what he prefers to call "communication". Although John performs "Both Sides Now", "Eleanor Rigby", and other popular songs with brilliance, he is at his best when performing his own material. From the loneliness of London in "It Took This Time" to the doubt and frustration of "In Times Like These" and "Little Brown Boy" (which was incidentally taped for The Hollywood Palace and then pre-empted at the last minute by a Nixon campaign speech) to the impact of "If We Could Tell Our Dead The War Is Over" and "The Knocking's Getting Closer To Your Door", John sings with an awareness of his place in his environment that is unsurpassed.

"Well, there's this sloop," Fred said. "What time?" John said.

In the words of Mr. Fred Starner, Drew's own Pete Seeger, with the folk-song, it's usually either "love or war" and sometimes both in the same song. The Hudson River Sloop Restoration Project concert, once you got by the name, was once again an expression of those things John had spoken of the night before: doubt and promise, love and fear. In a myriad of style and expression one performer followed another. Judy Richards with "Try For the Sun"; Ginny Howard and friend with a beautiful original, "Phineas"; Andy Chilson with an impressionistic, "Leonard Cohen-like" review of the seasons; and completing the student talent, Sollins and Cromwell plus drum, bass and flute with a new approach to "Fairytale". Switching from

forming his own material. From the loneliness of London in "It Took This Time" to the doubt and frustration of "In Times Like These" and "Little Brown Boy" (which was incidentally taped for The Hollywood Palace and then pre-empted at the last minute by a Nixon campaign speech) to the impact of "If We Could Tell Our Dead The War Is Over" and "The Knocking's Getting Closer To Your Door", John sings with an awareness of his place in his environment that is unsurpassed.

"Well, there's this sloop," Fred said. "What time?" John said.

In the words of Mr. Fred Starner, Drew's own Pete Seeger, with the folk-song, it's usually either "love or war" and sometimes both in the same song. The Hudson River Sloop Restoration Project concert, once you got by the name, was once again an expression of those things John had spoken of the night before: doubt and promise, love and fear. In a myriad of style and expression one performer followed another. Judy Richards with "Try For the Sun"; Ginny Howard and friend with a beautiful original, "Phineas"; Andy Chilson with an impressionistic, "Leonard Cohen-like" review of the seasons; and completing the student talent, Sollins and Cromwell plus drum, bass and flute with a new approach to "Fairytale". Switching from

banjo to 12-string guitar, Fred Starner performed Seeger's "Waist Deep in the Big Muddy." And then...John was back on stage and instead of the forty faithful, there was a capacity crowd in Great Hall.

Together with his other half, a Martin D-28, John sang the blues quite unlike Mick Jagger. Again the power of "The Knocking's Getting Closer" and "Together" came through right to the climax where he and Fred Starner and the "capacity crowd" all joined together in "Twelve Gates to the City." And then it was over. "Are you gonna make records John?" "Where can we catch your next performance?" Well there's this party...and I'll be in Philly tomorrow night at the MAINPOINT. The blues is waiting for John Bassette to pass this way again.

Brunch experiment set for this Sunday

Saga foods has announced that it will be trying out an experiment this Sunday with the regular meal schedule. A continental breakfast will be served from 8 to 9, a buffet brunch from 11 to 1, and a full dinner from 5 to 6:15. The purpose of the experiment is to get student reaction to such a change.

The experiment will be repeated early in May, and according to SG Vice-President Ted Greenberg, "If it goes over well this will become the permanent schedule next year."

The menu Sunday will be doughnuts, danish, coffee, toast, and juice. Breakfast will be on the snack bar line.

For the noon brunch there will be breakfast and lunch food from scrambled eggs to beef burgundy over rice. There will also be vegetables, salads, and sandwich food.

At 5 there will be a fried chicken dinner. Both the noon and evening meals will be in the regular dining hall.

Saga has experimented with brunches before, usually for big weekends. There was some talk last year of replacing breakfast and lunch Sundays with one brunch, but, as Director of Services Mack Jordan stated, "We feel we should serve all meals, since some people count on breakfast early in the morning."

The new plan, if put into effect, will cost Saga somewhat more money than the current system. There was no indication of a cost increase for next year, however.

Jacques offers commuter plan

Mike Jacques, commuter senator, in a questionnaire to committee members this week, is seeking "opinion and support" for proposals that the University have commuter lockers, a commuter meal ticket for a certain amount of lunches or dinners, and a commuter lounge.

Jacques, during his recent campaign for the senate, made all three proposals. He has announced his intention of proposing them before the senate.

The coatroom space at the right end of the snack bar corridor has been suggested as a place for lockers.

The music room, 101, formerly was a commuter lounge.

The University has in the past refused to issue any "partial" meal cards beyond the five-day plan eliminating weekends. A

proposal last year for meal cards at reduced prices which would not include breakfast was rejected by Saga, which stated that it calculates prices on the basis of a student missing a certain number of meals, and that therefore it could not lower rates if it were to issue partial cards.

Jacques' proposals were received favorably by most senators.

proposal last year for meal cards at reduced prices which would not include breakfast was rejected by Saga, which stated that it calculates prices on the basis of a student missing a certain number of meals, and that therefore it could not lower rates if it were to issue partial cards.

Jacques' proposals were received favorably by most senators.

Faculty Conservation and the effluent society

by Dr. Robert Zuck,

Chairman, Department of Botany

The invitation to write this article for the Acorn comes, I assume, because of my long (life-long) interest in conservation—I was the sort of child who would fight with my contemporaries to keep them from despoiling wildflowers. And this has been the history of man, in large measure, destroying what he would possess. Much of the Sahara and Gobi deserts are thought to be the result of man's depredations, particularly his grazing animals, such as goats and sheep. Thus, an appreciation of the earth and its plant life, both aesthetically and scientifically, is prerequisite to an intelligent and effective interest in conservation matters—something we try to do in our courses in Botany. Preservation of the earth and its plant life are the bases of all conservation efforts. We animals are guests of the good earth and the plants therein. If we do not behave, we will be rejected by these two great forces, as has happened to numerous civilizations in the past. Perhaps the greatest contribution of space exploration will be the pointing out of the uniqueness of Earth for human habitation. Our polar regions are hospitable in comparison with the moon—at least there are water and suitable atmosphere, neither of which is to be found on the moon, and probably not elsewhere in our solar system.

Whereas the ruination of the land and its resources which brought the end to civilizations in the past took thousands of years to happen, the speed of the deterioration of environment in modern times as the result of advanced technol-

ogy and increasing populations leaves us little time to try to set things aright. One of the greatest scourges is pollution from the effluvia of our factories, cities and even the farms with their overabundance of nitrate fertilizer and insecticides and fungicides. A century ago, the Rhine in Germany had such abundant fish life that the servants who worked in households along this river stipulated in their contracts that they would not accept salmon in their meals more than three times a week. Now there is not a single salmon in this great river. At a time when food will be an ever increasing concern, it behooves us to use wisely our natural resources.

Political boundaries, from town to country to state, to nation to nation state, will have to be overcome if we are to conserve the natural resources of this Earth. This may be one of the major contributions of the world-wide awakening to the needs of conservation, the realization that it is one Earth, indivisible. Our efforts, successful so far, and we hope, forever, to conserve the Great Swamp, have done a great good for conservation in general on the local and national levels and the importance of wet lands in the ecological balance in particular.

Mrs. Zuck has been developing a herbarium of the incredibly rich plant life, which has done much to show the importance of this area in understanding other similar areas. We hope to have a copy of the NBC-TV program on the Great Swamp in which Mrs. Zuck, the students and the author appear. It is a very successful use of television. Ohio State University gave it an award for the best of its kind. So far it has been shown three times since last June, when it was just finished from the raw material made in May. We need

more of this sort of effort. Mrs. Zuck and I will be going to the XI International Botanical Congress in Seattle next August, where I will take part in a Symposium on Conservation which I am organizing as a member of the Committee on Conservation of the Botanical Society of America. I presented a paper at the X International Botanical Congress in Edinburgh in 1964 on the Great Swamp, as part of a Symposium on the Conservation of World Vegetation, organized by Dr. E. J. H. Corner of the Botany School, University of Cambridge, England. Many of our scientists have been remiss in not concerning themselves with conservation matters. Fortunately, the tide seems to be turning and more of us are becoming involved.

The beginning of conservation in this area may be said to have started with the joint effort of the Garden Club of Madison and the Department of Botany at Drew when we helped establish the Drew Forest Preserve. Our woodland has some of the largest and oldest trees in New Jersey, as well as many interesting herbaceous plants and bushes and vines. The glacial dells themselves, representing a geological phenomenon of the last ice age, are worthy of protection, as well as their forest cover.

I would like to see every state government have an advisory board of scientists, particularly botanists and geologists (I have been urging that we establish geology ever since I came here in 1946) to give continuity to conservation efforts. A few states do have such, but their numbers and roles should be increased. New Jersey now has enacted legislation to have conservation commissions at the municipal level. This is good. If we get the whole population in-

involved and concerned it will be good for them and conservation.

We are fortunate to have Dr. Blackburn on our faculty. Not only does he teach here at Drew, but through his exemplary effort at Willowood Arboretum, Gladstone, New Jersey, where he resides, he has done much to encourage the intelligent use of plants. I would like to see every student at Drew visit at least once this magnificent display of plants. Willowood is beautiful at any time.

Thus I have tried to show how we as a Department of Botany and as individuals have been involved in conservation efforts and to show also something of the world view. If I were a benevolent dictator, I would make every farm a shrine and have every other renewable natural resource returned as much as possible (99%) to its original condition. Slowly we are realizing, as a nation, and as one world, that the earth is not something to exploit and cast aside. We must also limit the size of our population as the result of a common intelligence through education. Recognizing our place in the scheme of things will help immeasurably.

A quotation from "Castle Dor" by Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch and Daphne du Maurier is very compelling and brings this essay to a close:

"It was fretting that turned Man sour and lost him Paradise. Not tasting forbidden fruit, like Eve and Adam, not stealing fire from heaven, as Prometheus, but striving to seem important before his fellows; buying, selling, building, destroying, laying waste a countryside in the name of progress; inventing machines which by their nature must end in mechanising their inventors too."

Smartt sets some positions; takes office next Tuesday

President-elect Robert Smartt takes office next Tuesday as Drew's fifth Student Government President under a constitutional system.

Smartt stated that "the transitional period between being elected and taking over has been a very busy one. With such matters as open house, too, it has been at times a tiring and frustrating one. "But I feel that the transition has worked as well as could be expected, and that we will follow through on many of the worthwhile projects Gates and Greenberg have started. We will also, of course, be initiating programs of our own."

Smartt indicated that he has tentative appointments in mind for many of the key committee and cabinet positions. "It has been a hard search, and we are still looking. But I'm convinced we will have good people at the top levels."

He indicated he may call a senate meeting early next week to ask confirmation on appointees to Student-Faculty committees so that the nominees may then be given to the faculty for approval when it meets next Thursday.

"The next faculty meeting isn't until the end of May," Smartt noted, "and although I would in some cases prefer not to make such important appointments sooner than other appointments, in order for those committees to have students on them I may submit the appointments to the senate next week."

Smartt also indicated that applications for student government positions are available. Interviews will be held next week. He expressed hopes that committee structures in student government could be streamlined and outdated committees consolidated or abandoned.

Malicky, Campbell to be leaving

Dr. Neal Malicky has submitted his resignation as Assistant Professor of Political Science and Director of the UNSEMPER to become dean of the college at his alma mater, Baker University in Kansas.

Dean of the College Richard Stonesifer has announced his replacement in both positions, Dr.

David Cowell, a 1961 Drew alumnus. The appointment is effective in September.

Dr. Cowell graduated from Drew magna cum laude with a political science major. He holds the MA and PhD from Georgetown University, and he has previously taught at Lycoming College.

At Georgetown he taught in the United States Peace Corps mission to Turkey training program as an instructor in Islamic culture.

He has served as a research fellow for the Republican National Committee, and as a research specialist with the population reference bureau.

While at Georgetown he served as an advisor to the Drew Washington semester. His published research deals with voter data and population bibliography.

Dr. Edward Campbell, recently promoted to Associate Professor of economics, stated early this week that he is resigning to accept a position with Banker's Trust in New York.

Dr. Campbell indicated he would have liked to stay at Drew, but the offer was too high to turn down.

At Banker's Trust he will head a research program dealing with current market problems.

No replacement has been made yet. Dr. Campbell's resignation is effective at the end of the year.

Petitions due Monday; primaries set Thursday

Petitions for class officer candidates are due next Monday. They are available any time until then in the Student Government office. All class positions—President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer, Social Chairman, and two Senate seats—are being contested.

If there are causes for any primaries (more than two persons running for any one office, or more than four running for senator from any one class) these

will be held Thursday, April 24. Final elections will be Wednesday, April 30.

The new officers will begin their terms next month. New class senators and Presidents will begin sitting on the senate at the first May meeting.

President-elect Robert Smartt stated that "I would urge as many students as possible to run for elective offices. We can only build strength through involvement."

THE LEFT SIDE

Peter Hoffman

On open house

"Tell it like it is"
Dean Sawin

The recent faculty hearing on the new open house policy brings into sharp focus some extremely relevant and pressing areas of concern to both students and faculty. My purpose here is to set forth what I consider to be the position of the great majority of students in the hope that some agreement with the faculty can be reached. So far as I can tell relationship with the faculty is one of the strong points of Drew as an institution; I am quite impressed with the interest and awareness shown by the faculty in their students and in the affairs of the college. The faculty seems to have gained through personal contact a good feel for the attitudes and opinions of the students. This leads me to believe that a solid agreement between students and faculty in this matter of open house regulations is not only feasible but imminent. What remains to be done is simply a stating of views and then the subsequent melding and shaping of the divergence of views into a sound policy.

The essence of the student position on open house (and on a great number of issues besides this) is that "the administration has to justify every limitation of our freedom; we don't have to justify every increase in our freedom." (this statement belongs to Randy Fenstermacher - insurrectionist - in residence). This is the principle upon which any free government is based. The old bureaucratic argument against freedom is that man is by nature a bungler and an oaf and any freedom granted to him is sure to lead to trouble. This may be true; Dostoyevsky certainly believed so, but twentieth century American students can not live with this notion. So we state as our first article of faith that we believe we are capable of managing our freedom and that all restrictions on this freedom must be justified to us. This is very much against the "philosophy of discipline" as

Dean Sawin calls it. Dr. Von der Heide points out that young men and women of our age in society (as many of our peers are) are granted certain inalienable rights by the Constitution and Bill of Rights and these rights are not so easily contracted away just by the payment of three thousand dollars. (as a sidenote it is interesting to consider that no court in this country will recognize the 'contracting away' of a person's constitutional rights). For those who question the relevance of the term "constitutional rights" in a particular dispute of open house regulations should pause to consider their feelings if a government - civic, state, or national - would attempt to impose such restrictions as we experience in open house regulations on their social behavior. Why, all our conservative friends would be screaming "communist plot" at the top of their lungs. But obviously if college administration imposes such restrictions then there is no communist plot whatsoever; only the smooth operation of a "philosophy of discipline". All this does not mean that we don't want to consider limitations on open house privileges; only that such limitations must be justified by something other than nebulous insults about student capability to handle their freedom. Specifically we are more than willing to make provisions in a sound open house policy for those minorities (though these minorities are far from large - contrary to Dean Sawin's suggestion) who do not use the open house freedoms as much as others. This can be worked out, but certainly this is no reason - in itself - for not allowing any increase in present policy. The real area of disagreement between students and administration (I am not sure of the faculty position because they have not stated it yet) is not minority rights. Nor is it the problem of knowing who is in a building in case of fire (there is no possible way of keeping track of every one entering and leaving a dorm). The area of disagree-

ment is the ability of students to handle these great freedoms which have so generously been showered upon them.

The deans contend that the students have demonstrated their irresponsibility by violating their existing open house regulations. The deans feel that the trial period was a "complete sham and that which was to be tested has been shown to be a dismal failure... They have with few exceptions mismanaged their responsibilities... Their contention that they have been responsible is simply untrue." (quote from Dean Orvik) Although I think Dean Orvik exaggerates more than a little, for the sake of argument I will accept her evaluation of student attitude towards the present open house policy. Even if what she says is true, is this really a relevant argument against liberalization of open house policy? An analogy can be drawn to the negro situation. Is it possible for an intelligent person (in this century) to contend that because a negro violates a zoning restriction against negro homes or refuses to pay a poll tax levied on negroes alone, that this negro has demonstrated his "irresponsibility" so convincingly that he should not be "granted" the "privilege" of living in that neighborhood or voting in that election? This is another cunning bureaucratic argument; they ask you to obey an illegal regulation with the promise that if you will, then at some unspecified time in the future, they will graciously change the unfair regulation! Where does the administration get the notion that we have to "earn" freedoms already granted to us by our own national government? All right, maybe when we were six years old we had to be good in order to earn the privilege of riding our bicycle on the highway. But our second article of faith is that we are not children.

We do not contend that we will be totally responsible with our new freedoms; even the society "out there" from which we came does not seem to be able to do that. We do contend that we are ready for these freedoms and we do not take them lightly. You see, if you give young people the freedoms and let them feel the burden of responsibility themselves -- a burden which will arise from the freedoms themselves, then you will see young people wrestle with responsibility. If you harp on responsibility with little or no freedom attached, you will only cultivate rebellion and discontent, which may lead to far greater excesses than the small blunders young people will make with new freedoms. Perhaps I have overstepped my bounds in attempting to speak for great numbers of students rather than just for myself. I shall let the faculty - to whom this essay is really addressed - decide that. They know their students. But this, I think, is our position; it is a meaningful one which should not be treated as a mere whim of childish idealism.

"...In the end, with the ready availability of drugs (on campus and in the area) each of you must confront the issue at some time." The "hypocrisy" which results from the irresolvable paradox that the University couldn't legalize drugs if it wanted to, yet many students choose to use them is one which causes few problems because of an unwritten yet highly viable agreement by all parties not to waste undue amounts of energy, money, or worry on its existence. Open house is very unlikely to result in resolution of the enforcement equation. But it will be a significant milestone, because between the relative openness of the student-originated proposal and the blunt opposition of those who Dean Orvik warns "will have to pick up the pieces" the faculty, however unwillingly, has to indicate a direction.

As to which direction, hopefully the points enumerated by Peter Hoffman in this Acorn will be given "strong consideration." Approving the current open house proposal would help ease an uncomfortable and too-often real student feeling expressed by a former student on these pages: "One always expected more out of D.U." And if it is approved, the burden is then on students to solve such problems as coed bathrooms and inconsiderate roommates. 80% satisfaction in a trial period proves open house is valid, 20% dissatisfaction with permanent open houses would be a strong mark against them. Dorms, if the proposal is approved, MUST realistically consider just how many hours a week they can be coed and still allow non-participants a normal schedule, complete with quiet hours. Full-time might work in the suites, but it almost definitely wouldn't in Holloway. This determination of hours and rules is crucial if the dorms are to be functional for all students. This is the responsibility that goes with the right. Without the right, there is no opportunity to exercise responsibility. But without the responsibility the right could conceivably detract from rather than add to Drew life.

On thought monopoly

There must be noted occasionally in 1969 the difference between advancing one's own views and seeking to muffle the views of others. Two recent cases might be noted, not as signs of doom, but merely of lost perspective:

1) The open house evaluation committee obviously favored open house. They had proposed it originally. But they extended themselves, during the evaluation, in every way they could think of to get a whole spectrum of student reaction to the trial period. Thus it was unfortunate last week to hear a student government official loudly complaining that a group of students who had been invited to speak to the faculty by the chairman of the faculty meeting, had, in effect, no business being there. There were diverse reactions to open house and although it appears clear that a sizeable majority of the campus would like the policy extended, there are those who have reservations (lack of study area, etc.) A non-polemical dissent to any proposal is perfectly valid and when the faculty had specifically asked to hear from students other than those in the current administration, it is inexcusable for some student government officials to attack these students as "traitors." Such a reaction gives the uncomfortable impression of an attempt to suppress. Some of the same officials took all "dissent" personally, which is not as much narrow-minded as a bad precedent for the blood pressure.

(An obvious correction, though, which would have helped all around, would have been to take the open house evaluation out of the hands of those who proposed the original policy. While irrelevant now, that should be lesson #1 for the next trial period.)

2) On the subject of loyal opposition, the phrase "You're through in politics" might beneficially be dropped from our Executive-elect's vocabulary. It leaves an unpleasant impression of attempted intimidation, of a vindictive harshness ready to strike at any moment. Using the leverage of an office to advance one's own ideas is legitimate and an undeniable right; trying to use that leverage as a weapon can only be destructive in a small community which can more than use every interested talent it has. Mr. Smart does seem to realize the irrelevant nature of his threat, that it is meaningless if only from a practical point of view. Its disappearance would be a welcome opening gesture from the new administration.

Confrontation

It is faculty, not University, regulations which govern open house. Students are asking the faculty to amend faculty rules on open house.

Yet as important as making rules is their enforcement. Traditionally that task, meaning much of the actual work, goes to Sycamore Cottage, to the Deans of Students. They don't generally make policy, although they may interpret it in carrying it out. Sycamore handles probably too much work--the two Deans, for example, sit on a total of sixteen faculty and student-faculty committees--and is responsible for enforcing almost every non-academic rule. Positions with such responsibility also carry influence. But influence may decline when enforcement does, and such rules as alcohol prohibition, inter-dorm visitation, etc., have proved largely unenforceable. Still, although fear of punishment here is no real deterrent, Sycamore, often wrongly, is associated with repression in the minds of many students. (The drug raids which have been rumored to be coming every weekend this year are invariably credited to Sycamore.)

Sycamore's image is not softened by statements like that of the Deans on open house. Some of the points are valid, others are strained; but the ominous tone throughout of "They, the students..." approaches incision and at times denunciation. Such tone is unfortunate, coming from Deans of Students. Exaggerations written in absolutist tone (Dean Orvik writes "that which was to be tested has been shown a dismal failure") further neither the truth nor intelligent, rational discussion. Non-negotiable assertions are quite correctly out of favor in April, 1969. And, of course, such polemic as that delivered to the student senate by Vice-President Ted Greenberg has also contributed.

In this sense a "confrontation" has been made of the proposal. The faculty, object of but wisely not in most of the politicking, undeniably holds the key; the decision is theirs. The Deans have made, though, an unusually firm declaration of their position and they have asked that enforcement responsibility be made clear, whatever the decision. They contend students are incapable of taking this responsibility, while students say they have enforced as much as possible and necessary. If the faculty approves the proposal as it is, enforcement will be largely up to the students, through individual and dorm President complaints to student judicial structures. Further, if the faculty approves the proposal they approve a liberalization policy while supporting the proposal's contention that such "control factors" (ostensible aids to enforcement) as sign-ins and proctors are ineffective and thus useless. The recognition that little control devices often look good and function poorly may be uncomfortable, but a realistic perspective generally leads to more relevant answers.

Yes, there is hypocrisy. One only need refer to Dean Sawin's "Drugs" article in the February 21 Acorn for an appraisal of the chances of eliminating a "typical hypocrisy" through enforcement:

Letters To The Editor:

Questions of rapport

Board protests

April 16, 1969

Deans Alton Sawin and Susan Orvik

Drew University

Madison, New Jersey

Dear Dean Sawin and Dean Orvik,

We believe that it is the responsibility of the College Judicial Board to express our concern over recent actions taken by your office in matters of student discipline. Part of our concern results from your seemingly vindictive attitude as evidenced by recent memoranda from your office to Chairman Bill Renslow. We also believe that due process of students' rights was violated in a recent case involving a male and a female student.

In that case, the individuals were charged under the "elastic clause" with conduct unbecoming students of Drew University, a charge wholly unjustified in terms of the alleged offense. We feel that this charge reflects a questionable attitude on your part toward both student rights and student responsibilities. Your attitude toward student rights was manifest in your assumption of the students' guilt before they had had the benefit of a hearing. Your attitude toward student responsibility was demonstrated by your attempt to have this case heard by a faculty committee rather than by an appropriate student authority.

Our next concern involves the recent dissolution of student-ad-

ministrative trust and rapport. By your recent investigatory procedure in the dormitories the office of the Dean of Students seems to be initiating a complete breakdown of the channels of communication between the students and you.

We feel that your militant response is undermining respect for the existing regulations, a respect with which we are both concerned. We are especially interested with maintaining respect for the existing rules including those which protect students as well as those under which they are prosecuted.

In light of the above circumstances, it has become extremely difficult for us to function in our capacity as the Judicial Branch of Student Government. As the power lies ultimately with the faculty to mediate disciplinary Affairs, we feel that there should be an re-evaluation of the College Judicial Board and the Office of the Dean of Students in handling student disciplinary matters.

Respectfully,

College Judicial Board

Still the same

To the Editor:

Returning to this school after flunking out is a lot like going home after being at school for a year. The changes are evident, but there is still an overpowering similarity to the antecedent condition.

There are liberalized open house rules making for easier, but probably not significantly increased amounts of screwing, empty bottles in the dorms reveal drinking and Drew are mixing better than ever, and the long hair and glassy stares reveal Drew is "smoking" more and enjoying it still more.

However, Drew is still very much the same. After dinner the "lotus" trees outside the union exhale their fragrance which seems to be at once surround and devour any life your body and mind once had. The same

irrelevant politicking goes on in Stud Go while one realizes that anytime it wished the Faculty could move in and make Stud Go a eunuch and nobody would care, and Drew students are still discussing the same "real

world" questions on politics morals, and occupations as they sit in their rooms, eat the meals, and enjoy the entertainment of an environment paid for and generally perpetuated by others. Death is still the password for

the Drew existential existence. You ask why this holier than thou invective? Maybe it's because one always expected more out of D.U.

Robert Hancock
Class of '70

Is the answer blowing in the wind?

by Peter Sarkissian

"Half the joy of life is in things taken on the run. Let us run, if we must--even the sands do that--but let us keep our hearts young and our eyes open, that nothing worth our while shall escape us."

--Victor Cherbuliez

For many, ten days away from Drew is ten days gained, ten days to make the best out of everything before returning to the Methodist stalg again. Exams are over by now and there is one more month to go for the big vacation. Same feeling, same starting, same ending. Counting the days for graduation and looking forward to going out into the big promising outside world. Those who fall within this category have one ultimate aim: to get their B.A. and maybe, one the way out, secure a life mate! Everything else, whatever else that happens, is beside the point.

One can also notice that there are some cliques here who are only interested in the members of their groups, and thus have encircled themselves within a barrier, so that no one will go out and no outsider can get in. Ord, some couples who have a parasitic relationship are so hooked together for fear one might defect; they don't bother anybody and they don't want anybody to bother them! As one member of a couple has noted, "All that I want is my girl, my studies, and my music." (Is that ALL a man wants?!) Then there is a minority who have loads of studies and lead such a life that they could not tell the difference between night and day, spring and autumn. Moreover, there are those who are just not aware, and don't want to be aware, of what's going around. Their life is an insular existence, the living dead.

"It is not death that a man should fear, but he should fear never beginning to live."

--Marcus Aurelius

There are some reasons why there prevails among a large number of students at Drew an attitude of apathy and a feeling of lack of interest.

Surprisingly, there predominates some kind of interest which I would call a destructive interest, such as backbiting, rumor, and gossip (like what the others are up to, what others have achieved, and how others behave) and some tend to twist,

magnify, and polish the information to suit the listener's taste. In a small community, such interest is normal and accepted, but in an intellectual society such as Drew, this kind of interest should be replaced by another form of interest, and interest where we do not infringe on the rights and privacy of others. An interest beneficial to others and to our institution, in sum; a constructive interest. Each of us, each "conscientious" reader of this article, has to realize a way in which he could replace destructive interest by constructive interest.

No matter what one's potentialities are (and I emphasize the last sentence), he or she can do something constructive. One will find that sometime, somewhere, he is needed. It is not easy to know when one can help; that's why one has to search and find. Many of us need a constant stimulus, a reminder to draw our attention towards things we are unable to see. We have what we need: brains and time. Instead of going from room to room in the dorms, engaging most of the time in fruitless activities or spending two-three hours a night at the snack bar listening to records at 10¢ each or constantly playing bridge, this time could be used for constructive activity. I am not asking that we put aside entertainment, I'm not asking that each of us rock a boat, I'm not advocating that we love each other, that we go and make friends or be nice to each other. To be good is not enough.

What we need is good dynamicists and not good staticists. We need people who have some interest, who do not wait or neglect. We need people who have a sense of responsibility (other than academic). We need people who are involved. We can be these people, if we want, if we give a damn.

Some argue that after graduation they will have the opportunity to do something for others. Yet, it is here that we show our capabilities! Life does not start after graduation; whatever our motives are here, whatever our drives and attitudes are here, it is almost certain they will be the same after graduation.

We are here, we enjoy what we have because others have made it possible for us to have it. We must make this place a better place, not only for us, but for those who come after us.

jeiffer

WE OF THE AMERICAN DELEGATION IN PARIS WISH TO REGISTER STRONG PROTEST WITH HANOI AGAINST THE CURRENT OFFENSIVE BY NORTH VIETNAM.



FURTHER OFFENSIVE ACTION AGAINST OUR DEFENSIVE ADVANCE NORTH WILL PROVOKE CERTAIN RETALIATION



THIS OFFENSIVE IS IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE UNDERSTANDING WE HAVE WITH NORTH VIETNAM



AND MAY IMPERIL THE SUCCESS OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS



THAT IT CAN NOT SHOOT BACK.



HERE ON THE EVE OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE WAR IN VIETNAM.



Technically tops, emotionally dead

by Robert Libkind

The trouble with the Drew Photography show, soon to end its exhibition in UO 107, is that too many of the pictures could just have easily appeared in the New York Times Sunday Magazine as advertisements for Jonathan Logan. To put it more forcefully, too many pretty pictures with too little thought.

There are exceptions, to be sure, but many of the photographs — particularly those of women, the prime offenders — lacked creativity. Technically, these pictures were near perfect, far superior to most others in the show. What these photographs failed, and failed miserably, in doing, was to evoke emotion.

Unfortunately, the few that managed to be creative in spirit generally lacked technical perfection, in a few cases, technical competence. Rather than digress into what aided in the show (that would take much too long), let us look at its highlights, for there were about a half dozen entries that merit our consideration.

Peter Forss' old men on the park bench (Entry 39) was one of the most penetrating character studies in the exhibit. The photograph was neither too contrasty nor under contrasty. His balance was good, except that one of the men on the bench distracts the viewer from the nearest man on the bench, as one professional who viewed the show noted.

The picture of the taxi dispat-

cher at the Summit Lackawanna station was a fine example of what framing should be. Perhaps the best composed picture in the show, Andrea Swenson's black and white shot does what an artistic photograph should — cater to the senses, make them work and be happy that they did.

Tom Hackett's grainy portrait of a girl (is she a girl?) is weird. You don't really know if she's a girl or not. But that doesn't matter. The haziness of the subject's being is transmitted to the observer. It is a very direct photograph and projects an image of... well, that's the beauty of the photograph — it's so undecided, so unpredictable, much like life itself.

Jeff Snay's photograph of a snow-lined stream with rays from the sun spreading over the scene is a post card. It's pretty and that's enough. I felt I was much closer to that stream (perhaps it's just me) than I was to the stiff models some other entrants concentrated on.

As a show, however, praise should be shouted for the organizers. It is the best ever to be presented at Drew. Although the quality of many of the photographs were artistically lacking, it was a wide selection of the best in photography on campus. Randy Ferrara, Jerry Harmon, Bruce Menozzi and Jerry Zelada and all the others connected with the exhibition are to be congratulated for their time and effort in preparing the show.

the young conservative Goodbye to Ike

Harold Gordon

With the passing of Gen. Dwight D. Eisenhower, America has truly suffered a great loss. More than just the man himself, she has lost the last link with the age he represented: an age which, though it existed less than a decade ago, now seems utterly remote and far in the past.

Commenting the Washington Evening Star on the man and the period, staff writer Mary McGroarty had this to say:

"When Eisenhower was President, he was the chief magistrate of the country, not an obsession. Hate had not become the national pastime. Those who derided his inactivity never succeeded in disliking him. He did not become a cult like John Kennedy or a mania like Lyndon Johnson. He was not 'that man in the White House' to anyone.

"If there was no social reform, there was no social upheaval. The campuses were quiet and so were the slums. Nobody wanted to burn the system down. Few questioned it. The President walked through the world on a carpet of approval and affection."

True enough, but if Eisenhower was not a great President, he was a great General, a great man, and a great American. And, like most great men, he will not be fully appreciated until long after his death. The Muse of History will be far kinder to him than his contemporaries.

Mr. Eisenhower's smug critics would do well to remember a few things. They should remember that he was the "liberal" Republican, drafted in desperation by the liberal wing of the GOP, which was then hysterical at the prospect of conservative Robert A. Taft winning the nomination. They should also remember that he accomplished more with a Democratic Congress than did John Kennedy. They should remember that he could just as easily have

been the Democratic candidate for President; the nomination of either party was his for the asking, and no man since James Monroe was held in such universal esteem. Finally, they should remember what President Nixon reminded us: that we must go back nearly two centuries to find another American who was "first in war, first in peace, and first in the hearts of his countrymen."

History will record that whatever his abilities, they were devoted to the welfare of his country, which he served tirelessly as a soldier, President and private citizen. Even after leaving office, he continued to play the role of distinguished elder statesman. Hardly a month went by without a book, an article or a public statement from him. His last article appeared only days before his death, expressing his abiding faith in America and his refusal

to become pessimistic about her future.

Many tributes, great and small, were paid to the late President, all attesting to his stature as a national and world leader and fighter for justice and freedom. Perhaps the most significant tribute, however, was one which went almost unnoticed. Hardly a day passes in Washington which does not compel me to pass through Embassy Row, that street of stately buildings and foreign flags. One such building, however, did not display its flag and, since it was obviously an embassy, I wondered what nation it represented. On the afternoon of General Eisenhower's death, however, the mystery was revealed, and as I passed the building the national flag billowed forth, flying at appropriate half staff. It was the flag of Czechoslovakia.

'Curious'—the thin line

by Ken Schulman

"I am Curious (Yellow)" is Vigot Sjöman's overpublicized Swedish film that bluntly depicts sexual intercourse and other sexual acts. It is not, however, just another "skin flick." Instead, the film does have some "redeeming social value," which was the verdict of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

"Curious" is one of two films (the other is "I am Curious (Blue)")—the colors are those of the flag of Sweden which the producer describes as "the same but different." As far as I can see, only the curiousness of Lena, the young inquirer, can change. There really can not be much more to the sex scenes of the film. Here in these scenes lies the discrepancy—the difference between pornography and hard-core pornography.

Most of the sex scenes in the film are not "hard-core" and, likewise, most could not be cut. They are all part of today's social and moral scene. Lena travels about with a microphone and a barrage of questions concerning contemporary life ("Do you think Sweden has a class structure?" or "Have you ever


thought of being a conscientious objector?"). For the first fifty minutes of the film she pops these questions in cafeterias, shops and on the street. These scenes, I imagine, are the most socially redeeming of the film.

With what one viewer at the Janus theater in Washington, D.C., called "damn trivia" out of the way, Lena then settles down to her sexual escapades in her room, on a railing with a guard watching (one of the funniest scenes in the movie), in a tree, in a sports car, and in the grass. She commits these acts with a store salesman whom her father had brought home one evening. But these acts are part of the total scene—they may be shocking, but are necessary to show Lena's struggle in society.

There are no holds barred in this film. It proves to be the hottest attraction in recent cinema. Almost all showings are sellouts, some in advance. The unfortunate matter is the over-publication which is actually making this film a huge hit.

I was curious about "Curious" partially because I really wanted to see whether such a film did have social value. I believe that it did. Many countries and people, however, disagree.

See "I am Curious (Yellow)" if you have a chance and the desire. It will be a very long time before it ever comes to Madison.



67 Main Street Madison

New location
In the heart of Madison

Druback Florist
FINE FLOWERS
DISTINCTIVE GIFTS

80 MAIN STREET
FRONTIER 7-5454

KATHERINE EARLEY
for
CLOTHES 'N HOSE

25 Main St.
Madison
Open
Thurs. Eves

Baseball rebounds back to 2-4 mark

Led by the strong pitching arm of Bruce Antonioti and the timely bat of Al Griswold, the Ranger baseball team rebounded from three disheartening MAC vacation losses to take two of three games and raise their season record to 2-4.

Antonioti evened his record at 2-2 with two complete game victories, the second in ten innings, over Bloomfield and St. Peter's. Griswold hit two clutch home runs, against Bloomfield and Rutgers South Jersey, the latter with two out in the ninth to tie the game. He then drove home Bruce Johannessen with a triple in the tenth inning to win the St. Peter's game. In the process he raised his team-leading batting average to over .300.

Other good performances have been given by Cary Campbell, who also is hitting over .300, Steve Allen, and second baseman Charlie Dennis, the latter two of whom had two hits in the St. Peter's win.

VACATION

Bad weather and light hitting hampered the baseball team's efforts to win a game over the vacation. In the first contest of the season, visiting P.M.C. pounded out 13 hits, including a double and two triples, to top the Rangers, 10-1. The home team managed only four hits, one a RBI triple by Al Griswold.

Playing in rain, March 31, Drew bowed to Delaware Valley, 8-0, in an away game. The game was called after 6 1/2 innings with the visitors failing to get a hit.

In another wet game, Washington College slapped the visiting Rangers with a 13-2 defeat. Among Drew's five hits were a double by Tom Makosky and a run-scoring triple by George Keever.

BLOOMFIELD

Two three-run innings and the four-hit pitching of Bruce Antonioti (1-2) enabled Drew to top visiting Bloomfield, 6-1, last Thursday. The win was the Rangers' first after three losses.

Drew broke on top with three runs in the first inning. With one out Charlie Dennis walked and stole second. Bruce Johannessen lined out to right, but Al Griswold bombed a home run into the parking lot in left field. After Steve Allen hustled to first base on a dropped third strike, Cary Campbell grounded a single to center and took second on the throw. Allen scored from third on a passed ball.

In the fifth inning the Rangers mounted a two-out rally starting with Johannessen's single to center and steal of second. Griswold walked and Allen singled

in two runs. An error on Campbell's grounder enabled Allen to score the third run of the inning.

Antonioti pitched superbly throughout the game. He was never in trouble for the first five innings, giving up only one hit. In the sixth and eighth innings, however, he had to get out of jams. Bloomfield's Steve Lange led off the ninth with a base hit to center. With two out the opposing pitcher tripled to right, knocking in Bloomfield's lone run.

DREW	AB	R	H	RBI
Corbett	5	0	2	0
Dennis	4	1	0	0
Johannessen	5	1	2	0
Griswold	3	2	1	2
Allen	4	2	1	2
Campbell	3	0	1	0
Makosky	2	0	0	0
Keever	4	0	1	0
Antonioti	4	0	2	0
PITCHING	Inn	ER	H	SO
Antonioti	9	1	4	7

RUTGERS SJ

With host Rutgers (SJ) leading, 4-3, and two out, bases empty, in the top of the ninth, Drew's Al Griswold stepped up to the plate claiming, "I'm gonna hit a homer." That he did, but the Rangers still lost, 5-4, in ten innings.

The visiting nine streaked to a 3-0 lead, with a run in the

third and two in the fourth. In the third, Bruce Antonioti singled with one out. Mike Corbett forced him and then stole second. After a walk to Charlie Dennis, Bruce Johannessen ripped a run-scoring single.

With one out in the fourth, Cary Campbell doubled. George Keever singled in one run with two down in the inning. Walks to Antonioti and Corbett loaded the bases and another walk to Dennis forced in the second run.

Rutgers scored a run in its half of the fourth inning on a single and a double. Two runs came across the plate in the sixth and one in the seventh put the Camden nine ahead. After Griswold's four-bagger, his second in two games, a bases loaded walk to Rutgers' Bob Snyder scored the winning run in the last of the tenth.

ST. PETER'S

St. Peter's Al Griswold's tenth inning triple driving home Bruce Johannessen and Bruce Antonioti's second straight complete game enabled Drew to edge visiting St. Peter's, 4-3, Monday.

Griswold's 2 for 5 afternoon raised his batting average to .315. Over his last nineteen innings, Antonioti has a sparkling 2.15 earned run average. Steve Allen, who has been swinging a hot bat

lately, had two hits and made a fine defensive play on a foul pop. Second baseman Charlie Dennis also contributed two hits, one an RBI double.

Drew was first to score, with a run in the bottom of the second. Cary Campbell led off with a single to right. Tom Makosky laid down a sacrifice bunt and, with two out, Steve Allen sliced a run-scoring base hit to left. St. Peter's bounced back with two tallies in the fourth without getting a hit.

In the bottom of the inning, Makosky lined a single to left with two out. George Keever followed with another base hit to left and the runners moved up on the bad throw. Makosky then scored on a wild pitch. The home nine tallied a go-ahead run in the fifth on Mike Corbett's infield single, an

error on the throw, and Dennis' two-base hit to right.

St. Peter's stayed in the game with the tying run in the top of the sixth on two hits and an error. After 3-1/2 scoreless innings, Johannessen led off the bottom of the tenth with a grounder that the shortstop muffed. Then Griswold clouted a 1-2 pitch into the alley in right-center to bring in the winning run.

CONGRATULATIONS TO
MISS MARY DILG
ON HER ASCENSION TO
THE OFFICE OF
MISS DREW 1969

GOD BLESS YOU,
MARY!!!!

Glen Ellyn in Exile Committee
(GEEC)
Cambridge-on-the-DuPage, Mass

PEDDLERS POST
"Country Store"

Scented Soap and Candles
Enamelware - Glassware
Paper Flowers - Stick-ons "and such"

377-7739 72 MAIN STREET MADISON

Community Service Station

COMPLETE CAR CARE

Motor Tune Up
Brakes
Mufflers
Shocks

Electrical Troubles
Wheel Alignment

Cor. Kings and Green Village Rd., Madison
Road Service 377-9774

Our bathing suits are
"the least"—the MOST

at
the
clothes horse

Madison 28 Cook Plaza
Millburn 313 Millburn Ave.

Rose City Jewelers

Longines Bulova
Speidel Timex
Watch Repairs

57 MAIN ST
PH: 377-2146

Instead of letting your parents sit home wondering whatever happened to their personal investment in higher education, pick up the telephone and call home.

But be economical about it. Some times are cheaper than others, if you're calling long distance. And as long as you are about to do the "right" thing, do it right.

Now, don't you feel devoted and slightly self-righteous already?

Interstate station-to-station rate chart

	MON.	TUES.	WED.	THURS.	FRI.	SAT.	SUN.
7 a.m. - 5 p.m.							
5 p.m. - 7 p.m.							
7 p.m. - 7 a.m.							
Midnight - 7 a.m.							

Shown here are maximum rates for 3 minute station-to-station calls to anywhere in the continental United States, except Alaska. Tax not included.

You can also call station-to-station anywhere in New Jersey for 25¢ or less, plus tax, week nights after seven, and all day Saturday and Sunday. Collect calls not included.

These rates do not apply to credit card calls, calls billed to a third number or person-to-person calls.

New Jersey Bell
Part of the Nationwide Bell System

CLOTHES for a "KINDER" CLIMATE

KURTZ MEN'S SHOP

SHORT SLEEVE SHIRTS
LIGHT WEIGHT JACKETS
LIGHT WEIGHT SLACKS

DIAL 377-0096

73 MAIN ST. MADISON

M.C.A. Campus Shell

COMPLETE AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE

TEL. 377-9855-2035

CORNER KINGS ROAD & GREEN VILLAGE ROAD
MADISON, N.J. 07940

ROAD SERVICE
FREE PICK UP & DELIVERY

Kersey, Brown, Stetler lead

Tennis squad off to 2-0 start

Drew's netmen opened the tennis season with two resounding wins, 8-1 over Delaware Valley April 11 and 7-2 over Rutgers South Jersey April 12.

In both matches the team showed a great deal of depth, as number one player Chris Kersey and freshman star Rory Corrigan both stood out. Despite losing last

year's number one player Dave Freedman to the London semester, the squad has both quality and quantity.

The season, according to players, looks good, with nine matches remaining on the schedule. A strong group of freshmen, led by Corrigan, back an experienced upperclass group.

Saturday, Monday and Wednesday will see home matches: against St. Peter's, Newark State, and Newark Rutgers.

DREW VS. Delaware Valley
John Fitzpatrick v. Wilton, 1-6, 0-6
Chris Kersey vs. Schaef, 10-8, 6-4

Rory Corrigan vs. Filke, 6-0, 6-1.

Tom Brown vs. Margin, 6-4, 6-3.
Howard Solomon vs. Rose, 6-0, 6-0.

Steve Stetler vs. Miller, 6-0, 6-2
Kersey - Corrigan vs. Wilton Schaef, 6-3, 8-6

Brown-Eric Jones vs. Filke-Margin, 6-2, 6-2

Stetler-Dave Wickenden vs. Rose

-Miller, 6-1, 6-1

DREW vs. Rutgers, South Jersey
Fitzpatrick v. Euksezean, 3-6, 2-6.

Corrigan v. Shaw, 3-6, 1-6.

Brown vs. Hinkle, 6-2, 6-2.

Stetler vs. Mayr, 6-4, 6-4.

Jones v. Wimpling, 6-3, 8-6.

Wickenden vs. DeSanto, 6-0, 6-1

Corrigan-Jones v. Shaw-Hinkle, 6-4, 6-2

Fitzpatrick-Brown v. Euksezean

-Wimpling, 6-3, 6-4.

Stetler-Wickenden v. DeSanto-Mayr, 6-2, 6-2.

B, C rugby squads combine to trample Whitmarsh 5-0

A hard-hitting combination of the Drew B and C rugby teams shut out the A and B teams from the Whitmarsh Club from Philadelphia 5-0 last Saturday. The home game was Drew's first victory of the season, following a pair of ties with Fairfield two weeks ago.

Against Whitmarsh, Freshman star Tim Rothwell scored the try and Rick Doran made the kick. In an otherwise tough defensive battle, the Drew squad continually halted Whitmarsh rushes. Standouts in the game included Ron Tremper, Keith Andrews, Gene D'Agostino, and freshman Steve Dillon, who was praised for his speed and agility. With the freshman players looking especially strong, the Rangers played a good overall game.

The A team had been scheduled to meet the Old Blues (which was a re-scheduling of another cancelled match) last Sunday, but that too was cancelled. The A team record is 0-1-1, with a loss to Villanova and a tie with Fairfield.

The Fairfield game had ended 6-6, with the A game also tied 3-3.

Fairfield was improved over the team the Rangers had beaten the last two years.

Tomorrow the A and B teams travel to Virginia for a game against the highly rated Richmond Rugby Club.

Following that trip, next Wednesday is the Schafer Cup match against Princeton. Drew has never beaten Princeton, losing on a shutout last year after coming close at 8-5 the year before. This year the match is played at Drew.

Florham Park Rugby Club, Rutgers, and Fordham complete the spring schedule this year.

DREW VS. WHITEMARSH
Prop--Gene D'Agostino
Hooker--Wayne Vanderhoof
Prop--Tom Newcomb
Locks--Keith Andrews and John Lanman

Eighth Man--Al Luderer
Wings/Forwards -- Dave Confer and Ralph Sarro

Scrum Half--Tim Rothwell

Fly Half--Rick Doran

Inside Center--Ron Trampler

Outside Center--Paul Farrell

Wings--Steve Dillon and Dennis

Fullback--Dave Feldman

Gerlach's Jewelers

SERVING MADISON AREA FOR 32 YEARS

DIAMONDS SILVERWARE LENOX CHINA

OMEGA-HAMILTON WATCHES

Watch and Jewelry Repairing

61 MAIN ST. 377-0767 MADISON

You keep flunking
your best subject?

Think it over, over coffee.
The Think Drink.



For your own Think Drink Mug, send 75c and your name and address to:
Think Drink Mug, Dept. N, P.O. Box 559, New York, N.Y. 10046. The International Coffee Organization

Gates to close


It was announced this week by John Keiper, Director of Safety, that all University gates except the Main gate on Madison Avenue will be closed, beginning next Monday, at 1:30 a.m. weeknights and 2:30 a.m. Saturday and Sunday Mornings. The Main gate will remain open. All gates will be reopened at 6:45 a.m. every morning.

PARTS...
ACCESSORIES
For All IMPORTS, SPORTS
Imported Auto Center
behind railroad station
Morristown
539-5522
HOURS: Daily, 9 to five
Saturday, to Noon

A&E TELEVISION SERVICE

Radio, Phono, TV,
Tape Recorders

24 MAIN ST. FR7-2702



Whether you're going someplace very exciting, or staying home and making exciting things happen there, you need lots of clothes by the Villager*, Ladybug* to keep up with you. You'll find them at



41 Washington St. Morristown

CHERRY HILL, N.J. EASTON, PA.
GREENWICH, CONN. WESTFIELD, N.J.

MADISON PHARMACY

66 Main St.

WE DELIVER

377-0075 - 0076

MADISON

PHOTO SHOP

Everything Photographic

Discount Record Dept.

Stereo Tapes
at Discount Prices

48 Main Street
3777-9522

The Class of '70 PRESENTS

"RITES OF SPRING"

SPRING WEEKEND 1969

May 2,3,4

FRIDAY: Concert--Jerry Jeff Walker
plus the Family

SATURDAY: Brunch
Drew vs. Princeton rugby

Formal at the Governor Morris

SUNDAY: ROAD RALLY
DREW COLLEGE CHOIR PRESENTS CARL ORFF'S
"CARMINA BURANA"

Watch for announcements--

Celebrate Spring by joining in on this weekend