

FIRST CLASS MAIL

Bishop Welch, 107,
is America's oldest
living alumnus.

Drew Acorn

Student Newspaper Of The College

Here, he's just
another Trustee

Volume XLII No. 17

DREW UNIVERSITY, MADISON, NEW JERSEY

March 7, 1969

PROPOSED SETUP OF OC, K-K HIT

Story page 2, 3

Eligibility rule review asked

Story page 2



Here she comes...

Tomorrow is the night for the fourth annual Miss Drew Pageant. There will be over \$250 in prizes for the winner and runners-up. Competitors, chosen from preliminary contests, are, front row, left to right, Mary McKee, Joanne Feldhaus, Amy Van Eerde, Marilyn Moore; second row, left to right, Nancy Arre, Mary Jo Waits, Mary Dilg, Jane Johns, Susan Port, and Linda Kirkpatrick. Story page 2.

Candidates state their views

See pages 6-7

Miss Drew tomorrow night

Over \$250.00 will be distributed to the winners of the Miss Drew Pageant, which will be held tomorrow night in Baldwin Gym, beginning at 8 p.m.

Ten girls have been selected as finalists for the fourth annual pageant. They will compete in gowns, swimsuit, and talent, as well as an impromptu question-and-answer session with the judges.

The ten finalists are Amy van Eerde, Linda Kirkpatrick, Susan Port, Jane Johns, Mary Dilg, Mary Jo Wait, Mary McKee, Joanne Feldhaus, Nancy Arre, and Marilyn Moore.

Entertainment at the Pageant, besides the competition, will be provided by Barry Fenstermacher and Lynn Teichert. The new Miss Drew will be crowned by the current title-holder, Holly Jenny.

Nine awards are being given to the girls. The alumni of Drew contributed \$100, with \$75 to the winner and \$25 to the runner-up. The winner will also receive a \$25 savings bond from TCNB, a dress from Katherine Earley, a charm from Rose City Jewelers, a dinner at Rod's Steak House, and tickets to see "My Fair Lady" at the Paper Mill Playhouse.

The runner-up will also receive a \$10 gift certificate from Tepper's plus a charm from Rose City Jewelers and tickets to "My Fair Lady."

The talent award will be given by Alfred's Sport Shop, and Miss Congeniality will be given a charm from Rose City.

The other three finalists (five are chosen from the ten girls) will receive tickets to "My Fair Lady."

Previous winners of the Miss Drew Pageant have been Lynn Teichert, Kendra Cliver, and Holly Jenny.

Smartt faculty appeal today

Robert Smartt, a student ruled ineligible to run for SG President by ECAC last week, is today appealing that ruling to the faculty. Should the appeal be upheld, the election may be re-scheduled at a date later than next Thursday, when it is now set.

Smartt was ruled ineligible because ECAC judged that he had used up his four allotted years of "residence." Mr. Smartt transferred here last fall after three years at a Midwestern school, and he is currently scheduled to graduate from here in January, 1971.

Smartt outlines his reasons for appealing to the faculty in his "Campus Perspective" column in this Acorn. Additional briefs in his behalf have been filed by Peter Hoffman (printed in this Acorn) and by the student senate. The student senate Wednesday night approved a resolution, submitted by Joe Mayher and Dennis Ingolia, asking that the faculty consider giving a clarification of the "residence" requirement. This passed unanimously.

Also approved, by an 11-5-2

vote, was a resolution asking that the faculty uphold Smartt's specific appeal and allow him to run in the election.

President Ken Gates and Vice-President Ted Greenberg had suggested that the Senate ask for a clarification without "getting into the specific appeal."

Tom Hughes introduced a resolution suggesting that the rule be revised to allow students eight semesters of eligibility, or, in the case of transfers, eligibility "until the time of their graduation as projected by the registrar at the time of their admission."

Gates said he opposed this, "because it is giving the transfer an advantage over the Drew student."

Smartt declined to speculate on the outcome of the appeal, which is based on "the vagueness of the term 'residence' and its discriminatory effect on transfers."

"The rule overall is vague," stated a senator Wednesday night. "We shouldn't encourage transfers to come here and then rule them ineligible for anything their

last year here."

President Gates defended the interpretation of the rule, stating that "Drew is a four-year school, and we have to guard against those who would attempt to stretch this out, creating unfair competition. It is arbitrary to some extent, but that is necessary."

Smartt stated that "I think I have a valid point." He expressed hope that "at least the rule will be clarified."

'Student academic influence high'

"You students don't know how much influence and impact you have on educational policy matters," stated Dean of the College Richard Stonesifer to the student senate meeting Wednesday night.

Speaking on academic policy matters for most of his hour-long appearance, the Dean stressed current revisions in the college. "Every single department here is looking thoroughly at its offerings, and many of them are undergoing substantial

Open house review seeks wide scope

An open meeting, to get some of the student reaction to the open house policy of the past three months, was termed "a major success" by Discipline Committee Chairman Gary Zwetckkenbaum, whose committee proposed the policy and is now engaged in evaluation of it.

The meeting did not, according to a committee member, "attempt to get all student opinions. Nor was it a perfect 'open forum.' But it was well-attended and students spoke openly. It was not conclusive, but it was significant."

An estimated 120-170 students attended the meeting. Reaction was overwhelmingly favorable toward the policy of the past three months.

In addition to this meeting, evaluation consisted of reading the over 1200 individual open house reports from all dorms, and obtaining through dormitory Presidents, additional student reaction.

Some complaint was heard that the evaluation setup did not allow for negative views to be heard.

"Presidents went to almost every dorm room," Zwetckkenbaum replied, "and there was the open meeting. Or a student could write down his opinion and send it to me. I don't know how we could have given anyone who was interested any further chance than those. If he couldn't do it in any of those ways, he couldn't be very concerned."

The evaluation next goes through the Faculty Committee on Student Concerns, headed by Dr. Julius Mastro, and finally to the faculty.

At the student senate meeting of February 19, Associate Dean of Students Sue Orvik commented that "there was some opposition in the faculty the first time around. If they are going to make it a permanent policy, there must be sound arguments."

Zwetckkenbaum indicated that "from all I have gathered so far, I feel the policy has been a success."

change," he commented.

Students are helping in this, too, he stated, in response to a question from Herb Jahnke. "Many departments now meet with their students," he stated, "and student opinions, both written and oral, form the basis of much discussion."

He mentioned that ideas are under consideration for the calendar and other programs. Programs like 4-1-4 and 4-1-5 are being debated, and an Urban Semester is under consideration.

He indicated, as he has in the past, that he favors comprehensive and final examinations for seniors. He also expressed interest in a divisional system of courses.

He termed 4-1-4 and 4-1-5 "exciting possibilities" and said he favors both 4-course semesters and the "1" period in between "as ways of helping break a few traditional bonds and helping students do something well in-depth."

Fund committee 'autonomy' questioned

The permanent establishment of a scholarship fund by the student body was recommended to the senate Wednesday night by the committee formed to investigate the subject.

The student senate voted to empower the present scholarship study committee to begin raising funds for the scholarship. It voted to table the report itself until the next senate meeting, pending further consideration of one point in particular.

Administration of the scholarship and fund-raising, the committee recommended, should be undertaken annually by a Scholarship Committee. The committee which gave its report Wednesday night was empowered by the senate to begin functioning in the fund-raising and administrative capacity.

The committee will, each year, submit its nominee for chairman the following year. This will be subject to the approval of the senate. The chairman would have to have not more than seven and not less than five semesters to complete for graduation, meaning that he would have to be a freshman or sophomore at the time of his nomination.

This section brought strong disagreement from President Ken Gates and Vice-President Ted Greenberg.

Commented Greenberg, "What worries me is that we're setting up an independent, self-perpetuating committee, on which the senate would have few checks."

Committee Chairman Tom Hughes replied that "The intent here is to keep this as far out of politics as possible."

Committee member Christel Bunge added that "It might be best, we feel, if people on the

committee, who are most aware of the needs and problems, make the suggestions for future appointments."

Nominations would be subject to senate ratification.

Gates said that "I see no reason for such independence."

The committee recommended that students receiving scholarship aid should meet regular admissions standards, and should qualify for maximum government aid under the EOG program which gives government grants of up to \$1000 to students who otherwise could not afford to attend college.

The principle behind this, according to committee chairman Tom Hughes, is that "the government will then be matching whatever we give, and so the scholarship will be twice as much. It also assures that whoever gets this scholarship will be qualified according to, at minimum, federal government standards."

The primary source of funds, suggested the committee report, "should be raised through student efforts." It recommended accepting ECAC money this year, if the student body raises enough to qualify for the \$4200 set aside last spring, but stated that "in the future, the fund should be financed through student efforts."

The final point raised in the report called for more active recruitment of students. It termed "making additional funds available" as solving "only half the problem."

Students could assist the Admissions office with recruiting, the report commented, "and Dr. Austin Cole, Director, has expressed great interest in such student help."

Other points the committee report recommended included: --The chairman of the committee should be in good standing, and his term of office should be one year. At the end of that year he would submit a report on his term.

--Scholarship money should be administered by the Financial Aid subcommittee, a faculty group, in accordance with Student Association standards.

A minority report of the scholarship committee was also presented, by Robert Smartt and Randy Fenstermacher.

"The existence of such a scholarship," said Fenstermacher, "is undeniably a kind of criticism of past policies here. We are saying by this scholarship that we want things to change."

"Drew needs more underprivileged students here," continued Smartt, "and the lack we have is not essentially one of money."

Gregory speaks Sunday

Dick Gregory--comedian, Civil rights leader, Presidential candidate, and author of several books--will speak here Sunday on the subject of "Civil Rights."

His address will be in Baldwin gym at 4 p.m. All are invited at no charge. Prior to his address there will be a reception, to which all students and faculty are also invited, in room 107 of the University center from 2 to 3:30.

Mr. Gregory's appearance is being sponsored by Academic Forum.

Dick Gregory ran for President in the recent elections, on different tickets and with different parties and running mates in different states. His total

We have already said that the student must meet Drew's academic standards, and we can get him the money. The real problem is getting him to come here.

"I think the thrust of the program--the majority of the money in this program--should be spent on recruiting."

Senate concert appeal, told of athletic interview

The Student Senate Wednesday night passed a resolution supporting the proposal for a sixth paid-admission concert here Spring weekend and was told that the Athletic Board early this week helped interview a potential candidate for a position in the athletic department.

Social Chairman Barry Fenstermacher stated that there is an ECAC rule forbidding more than five paid-admission concerts per year. A concert is tentatively planned for Spring Weekend, and that would be the sixth

of the year. The Senate agreed to petition ECAC for an exception to the rule, while thanking Fenstermacher and Concert Chairman Greg Granquist for their work thus far this year.

In addition to interviewing the physical education candidate, who if hired would replace the retiring Professor Harry Simester, the Athletic Board is inquiring into the possibilities of replacement for George Davis as Athletic Director. No decisions have been made yet, it was announced.

Gregory speaks Sunday

His speeches are still punctuated by humor and many of his lines have become almost standards. One such is his opening remark to many audiences that "this audience is so white I need sunglasses."

From the earliest a supporter of "black power," Gregory has been in the "black" movement with every black leader from Roy Wilkins to Stokely Carmichael. Of the latter he has said, "You may not be able to justify Stokely, but if you'd been through what he had you'd understand him."

In the late 50's and early 60's, he became famous as a comedian in night clubs and books. His first book, "From the Back of the Bus," a collection of jokes on racial subjects, was followed by "Nigger" and others, which moved Mr. Gregory progressively out of the straight-line comic and into more serious discussions.

'Summer and Smoke' going

"You've come around to my way of thinking," says Alma to the Doctor, "and I to your like two people exchanging a call on each other at the same time, and each one finding the other one gone out, the door locked against him, and no one to answer the bell."

Alma is the lead female in Tennessee Williams' play "Summer and Smoke," currently being produced by the Curtain Line Players. Ruth Ann Phinister has the role.

"Summer and Smoke" opened last night. It will be performed again tonight at 8 p.m. in Bowne Lecture Hall. Tickets are available at the U.C. desk and must be reserved in advance. Next week "Summer and Smoke" will be performed for the final three times, Thursday, Friday and Saturday nights, all at 8 in Bowne.

Peter Hoffman plays the Doctor in the drama. Speaking of the inter-personal communications with which the play concerns itself, he says "We tried to find something in each other, but you couldn't express it and I couldn't recognize it."

An early Williams play, "Summer and Smoke" was first produced on Broadway and was a flop. His earlier plays had been hits, but this one required a smaller non-Broadway staging and it did not appeal to the Broadway audiences.

Later it was produced off-Broadway, where it was a major success.

Interest among the Curtain Line Players in doing a Williams play was the reason for the selection, according to Director Dr. Gladys Crane.

The play features, in addition to Mr. Hoffman and Miss Phinister, Bob Hatch and Dona Becan as Mr. and Mrs. Winemiller, Sandy Crary as Dr. Buchanan, Cynthia Owens as Mrs. Basset, Cynthia Sawyer as Nellie Ewell, Marc Paavola as Roger Doremus, Carol Cassella as Rosemary, and Debbie Arrington as Rosa Gonzales.

Also Harry Litwack as Gonzales, Dan Montague as Vernon, Jim Willis as Dusty, and Tim McSwain as Archie Kramer. Melinda Wirkus is assistant Director.

Alma is described as "a normal, healthy sensualist who is unable to understand her problems."

OC composition, selection argued

"The Orientation Committee members should try to create both a school and class spirit and facilitate interaction among the members of the new Freshman class," is the philosophy suggested by the Student Senate's Orientation Revision Committee. The group, chaired by Dennis Ingolia, submitted its report to the Senate Wednesday night.

The report was refused by the senate, which asked reconsideration of several points, including method of Orientation Committee selection and evaluation of this year's program. Chairman Ingolia commented that "I did not approve at all of the way the criticisms were brought up. This committee worked hard, and those people who had criticisms did not bring them to us before this meeting."

"There were meetings of this committee at which these points could have been brought up. But to wait until the senate meeting itself was putting the committee in a very unfair position."

Ingolia indicated that the committee will hold another meeting, "probably open," and then will submit another report to the senate March 19.

The major changes recommended by the committee for the orientation program were changes in the composition and selection of the Orientation Committee itself.

The committee recommended that the past policy of the Student Government President being on the committee be abandoned. It also suggested that the Social Chairman not be on the Committee.

No change was recommended in either the qualifications for or the election of freshman advisors, but it was recommended that they not have sole power to choose committee members.

It was recommended that the two advisors, an assistant for each of them, plus one senator, elected by the senate, form a "core committee" to select the rest of the group.

The key argument against the

report, brought up by President Ken Gates and Claire Connolly, who is running for female frosh advisor, was this clause:

"This just furthers Orientation's biggest problem," said Gates, "and that is the cliché nature of the committee. It is almost impossible for a person who is not a friend of one of the advisors to get onto the committee."

Gates suggested direct election of all committee members. Joe Mayher questioned whether "then it might not become just another type of popularity contest."

Miss Connolly said she felt "it is important for the committee to be able to work together." She stated her criticism of the report was that "it didn't deal enough with the concrete problems of orientation. I had hoped it would include specific ideas and suggestions from this year's advisors and from this year's freshmen. I wanted more opinion from freshmen."

"The Orientation Committee

should represent a cross-section of the student body," the report stated. It also stated that there should be equal distribution between the freshman, sophomore, and junior classes on the committee.

One significant change recommended would not allow RA's (floor counsellors) to be on the Orientation Committee.

The size of the committee was suggested as one member for each twelve freshmen. This would mean that if next year's freshman class is the same as this year's, the Committee would be smaller. This year's committee had 29 members for 320 students, or approximately one for every eleven freshmen.

The second major section of the report dealt with Orientation week activities. "The week should be devoted to helping freshmen to get to know one another, to introduce them adequately to the social and academic aspects of the college...keeping in mind the important aspect of free time."

Recommendations for activities included:

--Dorms should hold a "coffee and donuts" hour the first night. This should be repeated when upperclassmen arrive.

--Readings and discussion groups should coincide with the topic of the Convocations speaker.

--A picnic off-campus should be held; also a field trip to New York with optional planned activities, scheduled with Sycamore Cottage in the spring.

--"A type of imaginative tradition should be developed to knit the class together." Some type of identification--hats, signs, T-shirts--should be required.

--Big Brother and Big Sister programs should be given "special attention."

--One day should be set aside for a thorough ECAC/Athletic student government program.

Also suggested was a special program for transfers, which would emphasize academic more than social, plus special attention for commuters.

Sewing Machine Singers

Drew group stresses originality

by Diana Kirven

Five people -- Uncle Sandy, Brian, Moose, Paul, and Eugene are the five freshmen who have created a fantastic new sound for the Drew campus. They are the Sewing Machine Singers. An up-and-coming folk group, they have already performed at Hayes House, the Thirsty Ear, the coffeehouse at Fairleigh Dickinson, the old folk's home across the campus, and two weeks ago they appeared with Dick Gregory at Fairleigh.

The four boys, Sandy Liebowitz, Brian Edgerton, Paul Tomely, and Eugene Espinosa, are all friends from third floor New Dorm. Each plays the guitar, their common interest brought them together, and because no folk group is complete without a girl, they admitted Cathy Grumbine (Moose). Uncle Sandy's pet pride is their rather original name; no other folk group sports a take-off from the Singer sewing machine, and it definitely adds character to their already distinguished style.

Between the five, the Sewing Machine Singers have one twelve-string guitar, one steel-string

guitar, two nylon guitars, an electric bass, a set of bongos, and a green tamborine. With this selection of instruments, they can and have developed their own accompaniments to the songs they sing. Brian is the lead singer, and he is so devoted to his duty that he does not do much else in the group except take the time to play his tamborine. Paul is rhythm, bass, and harmony, and Eugene and Uncle Sandy are both harmony. To add variety, Eugene also plays flamenco guitar and solos in "Banana Boat." Last but not least, Moose plays the guitar and holds the lone position of female lead.

Together, the Sewing Machine Singers are creating their own style of folk music. Borrowing songs from Peter, Paul, and Mary, Simon and Garfunkel, Judy Collins, Orpheus, and the Beatles, while also using such favorites as "So Happy Together," "Scarborough Fair," and "Mr. Bo Jangles," they write their own accompaniments and give each song new, and personalized interpretation. They have written at least one original

song piece, are now working on these as a group, and are aiming for the day when a major portion of their performance will consist of original compositions.

In case you have not guessed by now, the Sewing Machine Singers are a young, lively, and very forward-looking group, both in their songs and their plans for the future. Right now they are saving their pennies for new microphones -- they have only one, hardly sufficient for a folk group of five. On the public relations side, they are trying to arrange a concert here at Drew, are already well known at Fairleigh, and have dreams of a coffeehouse in Greenwich Village. They and their manager, Rick Doran, are most enthusiastic about the group and are working hard to make it a success. Please, even if you had something else planned for the night, go to hear the Sewing Machine Singers at their next concert, March 14, at the Thirsty Ear in Morristown. I am certain it will be one of your most enjoyable evenings.

Sixth concert

An appeal currently being made to ECAC by the student senate on behalf of the Social Committee (and the student body), to allow the committee to sponsor a paid-admission concert for spring weekend, is one which should be approved.

The ruling is one which was intended to hold what at the time were regarded as inevitable financial losses to a minimum, it was also in coordination with the general ECAC policy that paid-admission events here are the exception, not a common occurrence.

The philosophy is a good one, but the Social Committee this year has shown that concerts need not show a loss, and that from 150 to 450 Drew students can get entertainment right on campus which would cost them twice as much in New York.

ECAC would be serving the students by allowing the one extra concert to go on.

Drew deserve elections?

The following might be termed an informal outline of some thoughts on the Making of a Drew President 1969:

I. Robert Smartt's appeal

A. This asks a major step of the faculty, but its substance is valid.

B. The intent should be to reform the rule, but Mr. Smartt may be forgiven for taking personal interest in the issue.

II. Uncontested elections

A. For the inspiration to the following, see letter by Dr. E.G. Stanley Baker in this issue.

1. Main cause of uncontested elections: a lack of enthusiasm on the Drew campus.

a. This is true.

b. It is too easy to get into something non-competitive here. Someone interested in radio or IRA can easily devote full free time to that; thus only those whose specific interest is politics will go into SG, or be interested in running for office. (Yes, the preceding is an excuse; it's also a reason.)

c. The Presidency requires a special type of person, who is going to be, proportionately, in a small minority anywhere. The job is virtually full-time. It is also thankless. Like in most places.

d. All of which leads to the real problem, with which Dr. Baker surely agrees: there is a lack of structure here.

(1.) This is a worthy subject for a full dissertation. Drew's problem in student activities, the thesis goes, is lack of bureaucracy, which in turn means lack of continuity, lack of training for underclassmen, etc., etc., etc.

(2.) For one of the few exceptions, witness Social Committee this year. Not only orderly, but largely successful and producing a trained and highly competent man, Dave Marsden, to the office next year.

(3.) Didn't the Middle States Report say the whole University has this problem of incomplete structure, ad hoc crisis treatment, etc.?

2. "Representation quotient" of unchallenged officials.

a. It is NOT necessarily less than that of challenged officials.

(1.) "Representation" depends to a large extent on the individual, and to what extent he sees his role as representing. An elected official is largely on his own; he has to go it alone, on his instincts, most of the time. He cannot consult the student body on what to say at each committee meeting.

(2.) Thus the issue is not whether he "represents" students by their election votes, but whether he is worthy of their trust. This is not dependent on his electoral margin.

(3.) As an academic community, Drew students have many other concerns, most legitimate. Thus in any case they will be electing leaders more than representatives.

b. Ken Gates ran unopposed last year and got 500 votes, out of 600 cast. The 500 weren't forced: they must have at least felt him competent.

c. In a democracy, a legislative body is generally thought to be the more directly (or at least equally) representative group. (1.) There is a student senate, (many of whose members were opposed for their seats.)

(2.) Mr. Gates will agree that the senate can act as a check if there is a question on some point.

(a.) Perhaps the senate should be the body whose representativeness is to be questioned.

(b.) If a President seems to be blatantly unrepresentative, the direct action can best come from the senate. While this is admittedly flawed logic, no senator in the past three years (and there have been good ones) has claimed that any President blatantly misrepresented any students.

d. No major office has gone vacant, despite the seeming paucity of candidates. As anti-idealistic as it sounds, students hesitate to run against someone whom they feel is competent or whom they feel they could not beat. There are elements of "consensus" as well as "default."

B. For all the very valid criticism of election-time failures, the fact does remain that with two exceptions out of 15 offices in the past three years Drew students have been "led" by competent persons. Judging by those running now, this will continue.

(Apologies to the English language for the persecution and assassination of correct outline form.)

Campus perspective

On eligibility

by Robert Smartt

Considerable newspaper copy and widespread interest have been generated by the efforts being undertaken to restore and clarify my extra-curricular eligibility for next year. My most sincere thanks go to Mr. Mayher, Mr. Hoffman, and all those who have provided assistance and support in this endeavor.

At this point—before the rhetoric becomes too strained and before the emotional level rises—I would like to make the following observations:

* 1. The prime concerns in my mind are those of extra-curricular participation. The vision of a senior year spent in social and extra-classroom textile is quite frightening. Despite a departure from the normal graduation schedule, I still subscribe to the romantic illusions about

one's final year in school being the culmination of his college career. Instead, I find my development and interests being limited and denied, rather than cultivated and expanded. I am beginning to wholeheartedly agree with Dean Sawin that it should indeed "mean something to be a senior"—even if one is slightly off schedule.

* 2. As a transfer student who sought out Drew because of its overall excellence, I am disturbed at a denial of the opportunity to fully participate in the "adventure in excellence." I am chagrined because it appears that I am being viewed as a transfer first and as a Drew student second. I consider it extremely important, for the interests of the College as well as for the interests of the transfer student,

to insure that such a student can be totally and meaningfully integrated into the Drew Community.

* 3. It is obvious that the faculty and administration must strictly define and vigorously enforce rules of athletic eligibility; failure to do so would result in conference sanctions and the forfeiture of athletic contests. It is also quite evident that such considerations are inapplicable in the realm of other extra-curricular activities.

* 4. The implications of the present situation extend beyond the concerns or merits of a specific candidacy. Rather, the matter at hand raises serious questions about the nature and scope of the non-athletic extra-curricular program and its effect on the interests and activities of the students of the College.

THE LEFT SIDE

Peter Hoffman

It has come to our attention that the faculty committee on extra-curricular activities has ruled that Bob Smartt is ineligible for petition of candidacy in the upcoming SGA presidential election. We have discussed the reasons for this ruling with Dean Sawin. We feel that there were several considerations not fully taken into account in this ruling. The purpose of this memorandum is to brief you on our views concerning Mr. Smartt's candidacy and to state why we think that the ECAC ruling should be subject to a direct appeal preferably to the faculty meeting scheduled for today, the 7th.

To summarize the rationale used by ECAC: the University handbook of 68-69 reads "students are eligible for activities during their first four years of residence only." Mr. Smartt has "resided on a recognized college campus for eight semesters (including this present semester)." Mr. Smartt's application to ECAC for a ruling on his candidacy was based on two considerations: 1. Two of his eight semesters of residence are questionable (i.e. his sixth semester at X college from which he withdrew at mid-semester, and his first semester here as a special student). 2. ECAC could approve his petition for candidacy if such candidacy was deemed "desirable in the interest of the College's students" (p. 51 of the handbook). ECAC subsequently ruled that the two questionable semesters were, by definition, semesters of "residence" and that Mr. Smartt's candidacy was not of sufficient urgency to call for special privileges; that is, simply, the exception from the afore-described rules concerning "residence" qualifications.

To put aside for the minute such legalisms as definitions of "residence" and "semester" and "desirable in the interests of the College's students," we would like to submit an appeal based on a consideration of the spirit of the eligibility restrictions on participants in the student government elections. That is, from what evil does Rule 4 on page 51 aspire to protect the student? It seems to us that the legitimate spirit of this regulation is to protect the student body from the "professional student" or the "university hanger-on," who takes from six to eight years to get a bachelor of arts degree. The law is also designed "to give everyone a chance," to quote Dean Sawin. Well, from our point of view, Mr. Smartt is neither a "professional student" nor someone who has passed up his lawful chance to be a candidate for the presidency of the student government association (this is Mr. Smartt's second semester at Drew). The residency qualification surely was not instituted to protect the student body from candidates like Bob Smartt. Therefore, we find it difficult for anyone to argue that Mr. Smartt's candidacy would violate the spirit of the "residence" qualification. Or it can be said that a person with more than eight semesters would have an undue advantage over other students. We fail to see how ECAC can decide such a subjective matter simply on the number of semesters of "residence." Surely, this matter can be trusted to the electorate's better judgement.

Furthermore, we submit for your consideration these other relevant points which, we think, effectively demonstrate the ambiguity of the letter of the

To Dr. Stonesifer

"residency" qualification and the confusion as to the spirit of this qualification.

A. The "residency" qualification is highly discriminatory toward transfer students, especially those who lose credit hours in the difficult process of transferring credits.

B. As of now, there is only one candidate for SGA president. This situation is terribly absurd; all the more absurd because this is the second consecutive year in which this has been the case. If anything ECAC should do all it can to promote interest in student government rather than discourage such interest. We are of the opinion that this year is a crisis point in student government; continued non-interest will lead to the virtual abandonment of student government as a viable and relevant concern of student action.

C. The "residency" qualification was historically a development of athletic department policy. Most athletic conferences protect themselves from the professional student by certain residence requirements. There has been a somewhat unplanned spillover effect into student government affairs.

D. Mr. Smartt's case is the first and only case on ECAC records concerning a student government official deemed ineligible because of the "residency" qualification. Thus the interpretation of the "residency" qualification was based entirely on athletic precedence. Though there is some similarity between athletic participation and student government candidacy, there also are many differences; the foremost being that student government candidates are responsible to a student electorate while athletes are responsible to a coach and a conference. This difference suggests that the need for residency qualification is much greater in athletics (where conferences impose this rule on the several schools) than in student government politics (where the students in their voting preferences impose far greater and more relevant restrictions than residency on their candidates).

E. The "residency" qualification and the power of ECAC to make exceptions to this qualification are sufficiently vague as to allow for many interpretations other than the one offered by ECAC. This is not to disparage that interpretation; we fully understand it and its basis in precedence, but we feel that the real spirit of the qualification is not brought out by the particular precedence used. The workings of the regulations concerning candidacy in student government are not firmly established, as the ambiguity of the regulations themselves suggest. We do not feel that the many sides to the regulations and qualifications were fully considered in the ECAC ruling. We believe this situation because it relates to the highest office in student government and concerns one of the brighter students in the university is of sufficient importance that a direct appeal to the faculty as a whole (of which the extra-curricular committee is a designated agent) is extremely necessary. Faith in the law rests on the ability of the law to correctly assess and convey the spirit of the necessary moral and technical qualifications implicit in any organization. Therefore, we submit a request for a review and appeal of the ECAC ruling on this matter based on the above mentioned considerations of the spirit and language of the law.

Letters To The Editor:

Is SG due 'serious regard'?

March 3, 1969

To the Editor:

The last minute news in the February 28 issue forces me to ask of myself, and of anyone else who may wish to contribute to the answer, a question which I would much rather avoid but which can no longer be avoided. For three years out of the last four there has been no contest for the Presidency of the Student Government. It has not been uncommon to see other offices go by default. This year, apparently, a new "high" — they

all go by default. As a member of the faculty I must ask why the faculty should seriously regard student government as of importance or the officials of student government as representing a constituency of any sort. To represent anyone effectively the representative must have credentials that are not subject to challenge. Under any version of democracy this means that the credentials be won by being chosen by a plurality of the electorate if not an absolute majority. One can hardly apply the

'Hannibal Brooks': a boy and his elephant

by Merrill M. Skoggs

"Hannibal Brooks" (United Artists) is a kind of "Mary Poppins" among war pictures. It is preposterous and sentimental, with the kind of fey humor that begs to be labeled SUPER-CALIFRAG ILISTIC EXPEALIDOCIOUS. Like "Mary Poppins" it suggests that the majority of Europeans are really pretty swell folks, and the rest are horrid. The latter are always disposed of with gory finesse. The fights allow good guys to beat bad guys; and the more successful episodes will create nostalgia for the presexual violence enjoyed by those who once munch popcorn on Saturday afternoon while watching Roy Rogers and his goody horse Trigger; the hero almost kisses a girl once, but he caresses his elephant often.

If you can believe this story line, you will definitely enjoy this picture: a group of Allied soldiers are captured by Germans in the final days of World War II (a holocaust which, the movie shows us, in no way marred the scenic beauties of the German countryside). They are sent to a stalag where they enjoy much high-spirited joshing until several volunteer for a Munich work-

detail. These clever few are given "cushy jobs" as zookeepers working among pleasant Germans, all of whom speak English. Soon Brooks, an Englishman (Oliver Reed), grows fond of his elephant Lucy, who reciprocates his affection. Their happy life together is marred only by Michael J. Pollard, an American supersoldier who tireless contrives ways of escaping. When the Allies begin bombing Munich (poor Lucy gets a shard of glass in her side), Brooks is sent with one German guard, one Austrian guide, and a beautiful Polish cook (female) to work the elephant to safety at Innsbruck. Enroute the German (thug, bully, drunk, pig) threatens Lucy, so Brooks must kill him. All three of the remaining party then decide to flee to Switzerland. Brooks refuses to leave his elephant, and he and the elephant finally make it. The other two honorably die after they have compressed themselves under pressure.

The only thing besides the scenery (cf. "The Sound of Music"), really worth watching in this film is Michael J. Pollard. He camps up his role outrageously, his wrists limp, his lines slurred.

Needs: candidates, clarity

word chosen to the results of any uncontested election. I can only conclude that either the student body does not regard its own government as of any importance and thus neither should the faculty, or that there is something very fundamentally defective in the governmental machinery. I hope that this comment will stimulate some comment.

E. G. Stanley Baker

Rule the thing

To the Editor:

This issue involving the topic of whether or not Mr. Smartt has in fact reached "extra-curricular menopause", I feel, needs to be clarified. Drew people seem prone to promote issues without prior analysis.

My Senate support of Mr. Smartt's appeal transcends personal considerations. As a Senator I represent the rule invoked upon Mr. Smartt by the Extra-

Curricular Activities Committee was number four under eligibility: "Students are eligible for activities during their first four years of residence only." The rule is an old one with much to be said for it, and ECAC could move only within the context of the established rules (however inadequate). Their procedure, it seems to me, was entirely appropriate and their impersonality beyond reproach. Mr. Smartt is currently in his eighth and last semester of eligibility. Therefore he could not run for elective office for next year. The distinctions of categories of eligibility are nowhere indicated in the handbook, even though by precedent there is a distinction among athletic candidacy, organizational participation, and governmental elective office. Many policies on this campus seem discriminatory of the transfer student. Those re-

strictions on athletic participation I learn are imposed by conference regulations beyond campus jurisdiction. But if, as Dean Stonesifer says, this campus could capitalize on the "transfer phenomenon," certain regulations need to be clarified, if not included, in our handbook for next year. We must define the nebulous wording of what qualifies "residence," and clear up other anachronistic and irrelevant passages.

The reason I endorse the appeal to the faculty is that only they have the power to clarify. The situation has many facets, and a decision based on equity must be made. Finally, there are two considerations involved for the faculty: that of the ruling and that of the rule. The more extensive area of the rule is my prime concern.

Sincerely,
Joe Mayher

Spectrum
Brad Miner

Echo, not choice

WE INTERRUPT THIS PROGRAM TO BRING YOU A SPECIAL WERD NEWS BULLETIN:

"The Drew body politic, suffering lately from apathy, cronyism, and NO CONTEST, died peacefully on the way to Pine Acres Nursing Home where it had designated a quiet place to live out the remainder of its unnatural existence."

Understandably, I was shocked when I heard the news. As a concerned Drew student and a poli.sci.major, I had observed the body politic for some time as quite a unique entity. My first attempt, two years ago during the monsoon season was innocuous enough. I had remarked at the time vis-a-vis an ACORN editorial that political campaigning at Drew was like a day on Madison Ave. after it had rained on a ticker-tape parade. Even so, these external features did not reveal the inner soul of Drew political life. Ah, yes, the good ol' days, when the body politic had a hierarchy to be worshipped. It was a court where Keyko was king, and Chip 'n Dale guarded the palace against that political Metlin, the intolerably infamous, Kenneth Bremner. But this is a bygone error.

The students, caught up in the haste of everyday decision-making, have neglected their political responsibility. Today, satisfied and glutted

with the recent success of open house, this amorphous mass drifts toward an indeterminate goal. Drew is such a peaceful place that were it not for "academic excellence" and the WAYFARER, the campus might pass for an architectural amusement park, and the students, vegetables in a weedy garden. Many students are under the impression that student government is a pleasant convenience, ready to spoon-feed involvement to the entire student body. The student government cannot exist as a legitimate and viable force unless there is sufficient participation by students. It is indeed unfortunate that built in safeguards and shopworn technicalities have weeded out in the primary any hope of a meaningful political contest. Remarkably, this campus has been exposed to such revolutionary ideas as open house and racial awareness that the responsibility and demands of this progress has left the body politic breathless, tired out, whipped! To benefit from this renaissance at Drew there is at once a heed and a dirth of responsible leadership. There is no choice in an uncontested contest, unless, as a die-hard supporter of Ken Bremner you work over, under and around the system, by invalidating every ballot with his name as a write-in. Face it! Without a choice, the best Drew can expect from elections is an echo

jeiffer

WE HAVEN'T BEEN INVITED TO A PARTY IN A YEAR.



WE HAVEN'T HEARD FROM OUR FAMILIES IN THREE MONTHS.



WE HAVEN'T BEEN ASKED OUT TO DINNER IN EIGHT MONTHS.



WE HAVEN'T HAD A TELEPHONE CALL IN TWO MONTHS.



WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN ANY MAIL IN FIVE MONTHS.



WE'RE FREE.



Over 2000 copies 3-2

Candidates present positions

Below are summaries of the positions of the candidates for the various offices in the student government elections next Thursday. Each candidate was asked to submit a statement; the responses appear below.

(There is a possibility that the election date may be moved back, if an appeal by Robert Smartt to the faculty today is granted and if he should then appeal for and be granted an injunction.)

Elections will also be held at this time for commuter senator and President and Senator of McClintock Hall.

President: Joe Mayher

'Leadership the key'

The Student Government is in a somewhat critical period at this time, entertaining concerns in all areas of campus life. It is necessary to carry to conclusion what Ken and his cronies have initiated in terms of a responsible position on Open House the King-Kennedy Scholarship, Athletics, and the academic matters EPPC has before it.

My position goes beyond meeting these responsibilities. I feel strongly on many matters which must be initiated by the students. We all seem quick to criticize, but slow to build. A first priority, of enlisting the support and efforts of the student body, must of course be initiated by its leadership. The alternative is to abandon Student Government as did Dartmouth, and remain stranded with no avenues for progress. I am concerned about next year's frosh and soph classes. Current frosh I have personally met, and whose responses I have read on the Orientation questionnaire, feel unwelcomed in much of the University's life. The fact is that their support is desperately needed, and their support can only breed a closer identity with the University, as well as afford a smoother transition in all our campus offices.

A second critical priority is for coordination and publicity for our campus events. I intend to revitalize the currently dormant position of Intercollegiate Coordinator and attempt to somehow unite the diverse and often competing functions of Academic Forum, Convocations, the Social Committee, and Athletics. Not only could the current conflicting of so many events be lessened, but many could be coordinated by having representatives from these areas meet with the Coordinator on the Student Government Cabinet. Themes or emphases could even be decided upon for several occasions.

The Social Situation (including more than the Social Program) must be considered. I cannot but feel that this year's committee under Barry has done admirably. And particularly financially where we have had our past problems. Given certain financial restrictions on the big concerts, I do feel, however, that the taste of the student body has not been catered to in its diversity. I would suggest that our social taste could include the categories of rock (acid and otherwise), folk, pop, and classical. Would Peter Nero or Van Cliburn at Drew be a joke? I am not certain,

and this is obviously not my field alone, but I am suggesting that the Senate consider itself a sounding board for social tastes. I would like to see the Senate function as much more than the sounding board upon which petty politics and personalities are nailed. Could you bear the burden of another survey of your preferences?

Student opinion has also been invited in most departments of the college which are considering curriculum revisions. We are given a voice that other colleges' scream for, and we only whisper. Here again the Senate can function to enhance the al-

ready open relationship we have with our faculty.

Then there is the matter of the Athletic flare-up. The issues involved are very convoluted. A hack session with the Athletic Board seems less progressive than an effort by the Senate to draft a cohesive list of suggestions and points of view, as much as such diversity can be reflected. My personal feeling is that anyone who was at the basketball game last Friday has experienced the necessity of variety enthusiasm and response. Moreover, the appeal of the school to admissions candidates must be considered.

I believe our administration and faculty to be very open to our responsible directions. I emphasize the need not only for support but for carry-through. This Spring the current frosh class will be called upon, if belatedly, for the basic support in all committees. A large segment of the leadership in the current sophomore class will be abroad next year, and the current Juniors are few in number and basically already committed to their own areas of concern. I feel the success of the Senate relies upon a feeling of open objectivity. I would hope to be open enough, objective and willing enough, to initiate and unite ideas reflected to me by not only SG committees, but by all of you. The parallel to the ghostly thought that "You are what you eat" is that You are Drew.

What's happening this week

FRIDAY, MARCH 7, 1969

Student Interviews: U.S. Naval Air Reserve, UC 102, 9 a.m. - 4 p.m.
College Faculty E.P.P.C., UC 106, 12 noon-2 p.m.
U.C. Board Film: "Bunny Lake Is Missing," UC 107, 7 p.m. and 9:30 p.m.
Malraux Exhibit Ends, Library, 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
College Faculty Meeting, Mead Hall Founders Room 104, 4:15-6 p.m.
Art Exhibit: Sherman-Drexler, Gallery Room Brothers Hall, 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
Art Department: Lecture by Harold Rosenberg, 4 p.m.
Winter Production: Tennessee Williams' "Summer and Smoke," Bowne Lecture Hall, 8 p.m.
Hillel Society, Great Hall Commons Room, 6:30-7:30 p.m.
Social Committee Dance featuring "Of the Body", Baldwin Basement, 9 p.m.-1 a.m.

SATURDAY, MARCH 8, 1969
Miss Drew University Pageant Interviews, Music Lounge #101, 2-4 p.m.
Middle Atlantic Fencing Tourney, Temple Univ.
Art Exhibit: Sherman-Drexler, Gallery Room Brothers Hall, 3-5 p.m.
Miss Drew U. Pageant, Gym, 8-11 p.m.
Miss Drew U. Pageant Reception, New Dorm Multi-Purpose Room, 10 p.m. - 12 midnight.

SUNDAY, MARCH 9, 1969
College Academic Forum Reception: Dick Gregory, UC 107, 2-3:30 p.m.
College Academic Forum: Dick Gregory "Civil Rights", Gym, 4 p.m.
Art Exhibit: Sherman-Drexler, Gallery Room Brothers Hall, 3-5 p.m.

MONDAY, MARCH 10, 1969
Newman Club, UC 106, 4:45-6:30 (Do-It-Yourself Dinner)
Tri-Beta-AIBS Group, UC 103, 8-10 p.m.
College Religion Department Colloquium, Great Hall, 8-9:30 p.m.

Open Art Forum: Sherman-Drexler, Gallery Room Brothers Hall 8 to 9:30 p.m.

TUESDAY, MARCH 11, 1969

College Student Senate-College Fac. EPPC Subcommittee, UC 107, 4-5 p.m.
Women's Basketball, Drew vs. Douglass, Away, 7:30 p.m.
Sigma, Sigma, Sigma, UC 106, 6:45 p.m.
Art Exhibit: Sherman-Drexler, Gallery Room Brothers Hall, 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
College Student Government Candidates' Speeches, Great Hall, 7-9 p.m.
W.A.A. Badminton Tourney, Gym, 7-9:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 12, 1969
Drew University Young Republicans 10th Anniversary Banquet, UC 107, 6:45-9:30 p.m.
Drew-Eds, UC 102, 6:30-7:30 p.m.
University Concert: Rachmael Weinstock, Violinist, Bowne Lecture Hall, 8-9:30 p.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 1969
Art-German Departments' Lecture: Dr. Werner G. Hollman, Prof. of German, Princeton University Hall of Sc. 104, 7-9 p.m.

U.C. Board Arts Film Series: "L'Aventura", UC 107, 7 to 9:30 p.m.
College Student Government Elections, UC 102, 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.
Inter-Varsity Fellows UC 106, 4:15-5:15 p.m.
German Department Discussion, UC 103, Dr. Werner G. Hollman, 3 - 4 p.m.
Winter Production—"Summer and Smoke"—8 p.m., Bowne Lecture Hall.

Graduate School Colloquium: Dr. Jindrich Zelensky, Professor of Political Philosophy, University of Prague, speaking on "Marxist Philosophy," Great Hall, 7:30 p.m.

FRIDAY, MARCH 14, 1969
College Faculty EPPC, 12 noon, room U.C. 106.
Circle K Marathon Basketball game, 4 p.m. until 4 p.m. Saturday.

Vice-President: Dave Bell

'Investigations needed'

As a candidate for student government office, I find the pages of the student newspaper an appropriate place to express my qualifications and views on various issues confronting the student government. During my year and one half at Drew I have been a member of many organizations: Basketball Team, Choir Oak Leaves, Orientation Committee, Convocations Committee and Athletic Board. The people with whom I have come in contact while engaged in these activities represent a cross section of campus thought. Membership on Convocations and Athletic Board has enabled me to get to know members of the administration and the workings of student government. If elected, I would be able to draw from my experiences in those diverse organizations and could keep in contact with student thought through the associations I have made.

This year's student government has set in motion many worthwhile projects which I would like to see continued next year. Next year's senate will have to consider such issues as changing our academic calendar to 4-1-5, an investigation into the possibility of abandoning curfew for upper class women, and the administration of the King Kennedy scholarship fund. Along with these major issues the senate should consider compiling an ECAC handbook which would set down guidelines for various ECAC commit-

tees. Also, I believe that next year's senate should consider the possibility of standardizing the dormitory constitutions. If elected, I will strongly urge the senate to involve itself in an investigation of athletics at Drew recognizing the need for re-evaluation and change in this vital area. There has been a lack of "carry over" in many ECAC activities, that is to say, incoming officers find themselves unprepared for their responsibilities by their immediate predecessors. The total involvement of as many new students as possible - transfers, commuters, and incoming freshmen - in the student government and ECAC activities would be one of my prime objectives. The problem of conflicting campus events is becoming a major issue here at Drew. For example, on Thursday, March 6, three events competed for student audiences. Seminars also cut into the number of students able

to attend the events. There is a need for co-ordinating the calendars and perhaps the budgets of such organizations as Convocations Committee, Academic Forum, the college Social Committee, and the University Center Board functions which pertain to social and cultural events. Next year's senate should consider the possibility of consolidating the aims and efforts of these organizations under one committee or one person. This would be the first step in the direction of hiring a part time professional co-ordinator to plan the semesters social and cultural events, as many other schools have done.

The previous issues are some of the topics to which I would address myself if elected to the office of vice-president. In conclusion, let me say that I consider it both an honor and a challenge to run for this office. I am willing to accept the responsibilities and the work, and I will present my best efforts if given the opportunity to serve Drew in this capacity.

Trustees approve budget, but unable on USenate, bylaws

The Trustees, meeting for the Winter session last Friday, approved intact the University budget, but were unable to vote either on the proposal to include students as voting members of the University Senate or on any changes in the current University by-laws.

The budget, which had been previously cut by half a million dollars from the original requests of the different academic and facilities departments, was approved by the Board. It calls for expenses of approximately 5.6 million dollars.

The proposal to include students as full voting members of

the University Senate had to be delayed until the next meeting because, although the faculties from each of the three University schools had approved the proposal, the University faculty as a whole has not met to vote on it.

The next Trustees' meeting is scheduled for late April. The proposed new University Charter is currently "in the New Jersey legislature." Because no action has yet been taken on it, no formulation of any positive by-laws is possible.

Concerts at Drew have now reached the point where for three dollars, a Drew student can get a good seat to see and hear Rhinoceros, Chuck Berry, and Blood

PEDDLERS POST "Country Store"

Scented Soap and Candles
Enamelware - Glassware
Paper Flowers - Stick-ons "and such"

377-7739 72 MAIN STREET MADISON

CLOTHES for a "KINDER" CLIMATE

SHORT SLEEVE SHIRTS
LIGHT WEIGHT JACKETS
LIGHT WEIGHT SLACKS

DIAL 377-0096

candidate positions, continued

Female frosh advisor: Claire Connoll

'Communication crucial'

The Orientation Committee must in one short week acclimate the entering student to College life at Drew University. The new students must be given a chance to explore the grounds and fellow students. More than just welcoming the freshman and transfers, the OC members must prepare them for the following weeks. The OC must help the new students understand the many forces working in all directions at all times and how to achieve the necessary balance. The academic life at Drew is emphasized; however, the social and athletic aspects must also be adequately presented.

Members of the Committee must be representative of the entire College community. At Drew many points of view are held, and

the Committee should reflect this atmosphere—where each person creates his own standards and way of life. The Committee must be able to generate a class spirit and a loyalty to Drew.

The most successful events of Orientation week 1968, in my opinion, were the picnic and the trip to New York City. This year I would like to see more time spent in smaller groups, with less large group activity and a greater interaction between members of the Committee and new students. I think many suggestions made by the Senate Orientation Revision Committee are good ones.

The responsibility of the Orientation Committee is important. The members must display friendship, enthusiasm, and willingness to help in every way. The new students stand on a threshold as they enter Drew. For many years they have prepared and been prepared for entrance in the University. The last few months have been filled with excitement, suspense, and hope. They are entering a way of life that is painful and exhilarating—they are struggling to be free of an image and yet to retain their identity. The Orientation Committee must extend much more than a smile. The must relate the chance these arriving students have for attaining new levels of existence in the Drew community.

Possible programs

Sweat and Tears, or Earth Opera and the Jefferson Airplane. Compared with prices for single artist concerts at other schools, this is certainly a good deal. We are now aware of what can be done by running double concerts and advertising effectively. Next year we will continue to offer the very best in contemporary entertainment.

Special events, such as big weekends run by the classes, bus trips to events, and trips to New York for "do-it-yourselfers" will provide an opportunity to escape from the Drew scene, something certainly called for every now and then despite any effort a Social Chairman could make.

These comments are not an "elect me and I'll give you this" resume, but rather a few ideas and thoughts that I hope will result in some comments and criticisms from any interested parties.

New location
In the heart of Madison
Druback Florist
FINE FLOWERS
DISTINCTIVE GIFTS
80 MAIN STREET
FRONTIER 7-5454


THE THIRSTY EAR

Films, Live Entertainment
Open 8-12:30 a.m.
Admission \$1.25, Free after 11

Friday, March 7
PETER LEVINE - Blues

Sat., March 8
SUNNY DAY DUNG TRUDGERS

Fiddle, Harpsichord, Bass, Banjo, Guitar,
Happy Incredibility
79 Washington St., Morristown
Route 24 West



KATHERINE EARLEY
for
CLOTHES 'N HOSE

25 Main St.
Madison
Open in st.
Thurs. Eves.



Community Service Station

COMPLETE CAR CARE

Motor Tune Up
Brakes
Mufflers
Shocks

Electrical Troubles
Wheel Alignment

Cor. Kings and Green Village Rd., Madison
Road Service 377-9774

Rose City Jewelers

Longines Bulova
Speidel Timex

Watch Repairs

57 MAIN ST
PH: 377-2146

For your EVERY
Banking Need

TCNB
TRUST COMPANY
NATIONAL BANK

2 Waverly Place
Madison Plaza
Madison 377-3600
Member F.D.I.C.

SEE AND HEAR

Judy Collins

Upsala
Sat.,
March 15
8:30 p.m.

In Viking Hall on campus
UPSALA COLLEGE
EAST ORANGE, N. J.

Tickets Phone 266-7000
\$4.00 for reservations and ticket information


Tickets available at Madison
Photo Shop, 45 Main Street
and at Viking Hall. Mail orders:
check or money order payable to "Upsala College,
East Orange, New Jersey
07019. Send self-addressed,
stamped envelope.



CLOTHES for a "KINDER" CLIMATE

SHORT SLEEVE SHIRTS
LIGHT WEIGHT JACKETS
LIGHT WEIGHT SLACKS

DIAL 377-0096



M.C.A.

Campus Shell

COMPLETE AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE
TEL. 377-9855-2035

CORNER KINGS ROAD & GREEN VILLAGE ROAD
MADISON, N.J. 07940

ROAD SERVICE
FREE PICK UP & DELIVERY

Rangers top Maritime, end 2-15, A.A. retires Clark's number 20

A convincing 76-59 victory over New York Maritime, and the retiring of all-time Drew scoring leader Biff Clark's number 20 Jersey brought an upbeat note to the conclusion of a 2-15 basketball season last weekend.

Following Friday's victory however, the Rangers, suffering from the loss of Clark due to injury, dropped the season's final contest to NCE, 95-42.

Clark, John Kane, and Dwight Davies led the Maritime victory as all three hit double figures. Also impressive in the final home contest of the year was Dave Bell, whose ball-handling and shooting helped the Green and Gold break a Maritime press.

Clark, who closed his career

here with over 1200 points, an all-time record, had begun fast in the Maritime game, getting 19 points by midway through the first half, as Drew built up a 26-11 lead. Then, however, he was injured running into the end wall, and had to leave the game.

Still, on the ball-handling of Bell, and the clutch shooting of Kane and Davies, the team managed to keep control throughout, never allowing Maritime to come within closer than five points.

Davies, a senior, played almost all of the game, scoring 16 points, including six in a row during the first half and, later on, three openfield layups which put the game out of Maritime's reach.

Clark finished the leading

scorer with 19, and Kane had 17.

Following the game, the cheerleaders gave awards to the graduating seniors, and the Drew Athletic Association announced that it was awarding Clark a trophy and retiring his number. Coach John MacKowsky then paid tribute to Clark as "the greatest ballplayer I've ever coached."

First win

Faculty 33-28 over students

An inspired faculty squad, despite playing without two of its starters, defeated a student team 33-28 in the preliminary to last Friday's game against New York Maritime.

Led by Dr. James Nagle and Dr. Don Snelnokopf, the faculty fought back from two deficits during the see-saw match, which was tied 16-16 at the half.

The first quarter was dominated by the faculty, which at that point was still fresh as they sought their first victory of the season. Although the score was only 9-8 at the quarter, the profs had dominated.

Dan Boyer came in to spark the students in the third period, and he had the students into a lead most of the way through the stanza. A last-minute faculty surge in the ten-minute period put them on top, though, 22-21 as it ended.

The final quarter saw the lead go back and forth, as both teams fought for the edge. Finally Snelnokopf put the faculty ahead 31-28 with less than a minute left, and the students were unable to catch up.

The remainder of the faculty's winning squad was composed of

Allen, Acker head sport-upgrade groups

by Rick Chaveas

Last week's proposal to reduce Drew sports to a club basis brought a strong note of protest as the varsity lettermen met to prevent a bad situation from getting worse. The movement is organizing along two lines, to include the whole campus community.

The Varsity Club is organizing along the lines of the dead Varsity D; membership is open

to holders of varsity letters. Headed by Steve Allen of the baseball team, the club will be run by a board made up of the captains of the varsity teams. The club currently plans to launch a fund-raising drive to raise money for recruiting frosh athletes. Since the school policy is unalterably against athletic scholarships, the club plans to use the money to bring athletic prospects to the campus and introduce them to Drew, hoping that this minor added encouragement will be enough to bring some reasonably talented athletes into a sagging program.

Under the auspices of the Varsity Club, Butch Acker is heading up the formation of the Drew Athletic Association, to be composed of all interested students for the purpose of supporting the teams and also to bring pressure on the powers that be to revamp the current structure of the athletic department.

New art show

Pleasant uneasiness

by James Shackford

One does not necessarily have to go to the Basement of Brothers Hall to see four walls plastered with naked women. But the current exhibit of works by Sherman Drexler consists of just that—a large collection of plain nudes in plain backgrounds, in every variety of pose, color, and mood.

Ramsey: must define death

by Kathy Gettemy

The moralists attitude toward the recent emergence of heart transplants was the subject of an address here by Dr. Paul Ramsey last Thursday.

A Drew Trustee, Dr. Ramsey was speaking in the Religion Department Colloquium series. Dr. Ramsey is a Professor of Religion at Princeton.

Dr. Ramsey stated that it is now necessary for us to update the meaning of death. Death is a manifold procedure, involving more than e.g. tests to determine the functioning of the brain.

Said Dr. Ramsey, "One must determine if the patient is alive or an unburied corpse. The machine is considered a part of life. The criteria of death needs to be more clearly defined so that the patient and his family may be properly cared for. We are now faced with the American way of death."

377-8981
SCOTT'S RECORD SHOP
87 Main Street Madison

PARTS...
...ACCESSORIES
For All Imports, Sports
Imported Auto Center
behind railroad station
Middletown
539-5522
HOURS: Daily 9 to five
Saturday to Noon

The paintings range in size from three by five feet to nine by six inches, a challenge to the exhibitor, nicely met by Drew's own. The artist himself liked the way they were hung.

An atmosphere of nervousness pervades the show. The figures seem content to be in nature's state, but the things they do with the visual space around them defies scrutiny, and prevents the viewer from looking at any one painting for any length of time. Some receded into the background some stand out of it, creating a push-pull sense of notion. The faces are completely devoid of any features, a fact which contributes to the tension; the colors in which they are painted clash and wrangle until the eye is fatigued with looking at them.

Mr. Drexler's exhibit is probably the most unusual we have had this year. It is complex and hard to understand, but much of the force behind it should come to light in the open art forum Monday, when the artist will speak.

MADISON PHARMACY
66 Main St.
WE DELIVER
377-0075 - 0076

MADISON
PHOTO SHOP
Everything Photographic
Discount Record Dept.

Stereo Tapes
at Discount Prices
48 Main Street
377-9522



TREAT yourself to
a preview of spring at
at
the
clothes horse

Madison
28 Cook Plaza

Millburn
313 Millburn Ave.

Fencers finish 2-7 overall

By losing its last two bouts of the regular season, the Drew fencing team finished with an overall 2-7 record and a 2-3 league mark.

In a home game last week, Muhlenberg's swordsmen overwhelmed the Green Giants, 17-10. The sabre division was the only winning squad, grabbing a 5-4 victory. Andy Hartman won all three of his bouts. The epee and foil divisions lost 6-3 and 7-2

decisions, respectively.

Making up a game postponed by the flu epidemic in December, Drew bowed to Newark Rutgers, 15-12, in an away match last Friday.

A&E TELEVISION SERVICE

Radio, Phono, TV,
Tape Recorders

24 MAIN ST. FR7-2702

Gerlach's Jewelers
SERVING MADISON AREA FOR 32 YEARS
DIAMONDS SILVERWARE LENOX CHINA
OMEGA-HAMILTON WATCHES
Watch and Jewelry Repairing
61 MAIN ST. 377-0767 MADISON

Your new
boyfriend has a
new girlfriend?

Think it over, over coffee.
The Think Drink.



For your own Think Drink Mug, send 75¢ and your name and address to:
Think Drink Mug, Dept. N, P.O. Box 559, New York, N.Y. 10046. The International Coffee Organization.